	- 7	THE THE TAKE	
_J.	D.	TUTTUTUTO	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.

Dept. No. 11.

, Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge.

---0---

The People of the State of California,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 7373.

Clarence Darrow,

Defendant.

---0---

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT.

VOL. 86

INDEX.

	Direct.	Cross.	Re-D.	Re-C.
E A Cantrell,	6982			
James S. Ruff,	6888	6998	7021	
Geo E Stewart	7023	7028	7045	7047

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY LAW LIBRARY

B, N. Smith, Official Reporter.

## VOLUMES 86 to 89

## Witnesses:

E. A. Cantrell, Vol. 86

James S. Ruff, Vol. 86

Geo. E. Stewart, Vol. 86

Fred Mayer, Vol. 87

H. A. Vance, Vob. 87

J. E. Snead, Vol. 87

H. C. Straub, Vol. 87

F. V. Owen, Vol. 87

C. W. Houston, Vol. 87

C. W. Houston, Vol.88

C. H. Smith, Vob. 88

J. H. Mellon, Vol.88

Al. Levy, Vol. 88

Walter Bordwell, , Vol. 88

Mrs. Lucy F. Franklin, Vol. 89

Aggust 8th, 1912. 10 A.M.

Defendant in court with counsel. Jury called; all present. Case resumed.

THE COURT: Mr Cantrell is on the witness stand. Is he

EDWARD ADAMS CANTRELL on the stand for

here? Unless you vaive his presence for the ruling.

MR GEISLER: Mr Rogers is not here.

THE COURT: We will wait a moment.

8

4

5

6

7

9

14

15

20

further direct examination.

THE COURT: Is the defense ready to proceed? All right;

12 Mr Rogers is here. In regard to the question before
13 the court yesterday, I am satisfied on the first case cit-

ed by Mr Appel, the case of people against Webb in the 70th Cal., that it applies to this question. A clear ruling

unless reversed by some subsequent decision. Elaborate
argument was gone into on that subject, and I found no case
that did overrule or modify that decision. Since adjournment last night, I have examined the authorities subsequent

to the 70th Cal., that had been cited to me, and I found

no case that had modified or changed that ruling. I do
not think the Supreme Court used the word "collateral" in
a klack or careless vay. They used it squarely, and I

think they meant it. This is an impeachment upon a collate:

al matter, and cannot be done. The objection is sustained.

MR FREDERICKS: That is all, Mr Cantrell. We may be able

- 1 to reach the matter in some other way. At the present time
- we will have to withdraw the witness.
- 3 MR ROGERS: Would your Honor permit us two or three mo-
- 4 ments for consultation?
- 5 THE COURT: Yes, take your time .
- MR FREDERICKS: I suppose the ruling is without prejudice 6
- 7 to our attempting to get this in in some other way by some
- 8 other foundation, if we can lay it?
- 9 THE COURT: Well, the count will not anticipate any other
- 10 form that the prosecuting attorney may present, but I am
- 11 satisfied that the question in its present form is improper,
- 12 and will not attempt to rule on anything edse.
- 13 MR ROGERS: If your Honor please, your Honor has ruled
- 14 that the matter is immaterial, and that the contradic-
- 15 tion is collateral. That is a proposition in which we
- 16 think your Honor is eminently justified by the authorities.
- 17 This witness yesterday, either intentionally or by inad-18
- vertence, because not being acquainted with the court room,
- 19 I don,t know which, blerted out the statement that he had
- 20 a conversation such as was indicated by the District At-
- 21 torney's question, with Mr Harriman. Therefore, it is in
- 22 the minds of the jury, which I presume they cannot dis-
- 23 abuse from their consideration of the case, therefore, in
- 24 their minds, there stands the statement of this witness
- 25 that he had such a conversation.
- THE COURT: Where is the answer you refer to, Mr Rogers, 26

1 waat page?

25

26

2 MR ROGERS: I am not sure it is in the record, nevertheless 3 Mr Smith says it is in the record. Now, if your Honor 4 please, under those conditions and circumstances, although 5 we are satisfied your Honor's ruling is eminently well 6 founded, we desire to cross-examine Mr Edward Adam Cantrell, 7 and out of the wishes of Mr Harriman, Mr Darrow waives the 8 legal right which he has established here, in order that 9 Mr Harriman may confront this witness, and in order that 10 he may be cross-examined as to the statements which are 11 alleged to have been made, and we withdraw the objection. 12 MR FORD: If the court please, your Honor has already 13 passed your opinion upon the weight of the testimony by 14 ruling. Having done so, we feel, to allow the witness at 15 the present time to have the question put to him at this 16 time under those conditions would not be to give the tes-17 timony its proper effect before the jury, and we prefer 18 at this time to withdraw the witness until we can lay a 19 foundation which satisfies your Honor as to its material-20 ity, in order that his testimony may go to the jury, and 21 that they be the sole judges of its effect, without being 22 influenced in any manner by the expressions which your 23 Honor has already given as to the weight of such testimony, 24 in deciding it was collateral.

THE COURT: The court has not passed on the weight of the testimony.

1 MR FORD: The very ruling itself, your Honor, and the 2 belief of your Honor at this time that the law does not 3 permit that, certainly is an effect holding that it is 4 not of sufficient weight to go before the jury. Your Honor 5 well knows that the rules of evidence are the rules that 6 have been laid down by the experience of centuries as to 7 what is the best method of arriving at the truth, and what 8 kind of testimony is reliable, and what kind of testimony 9 may be safely relied on by the jury. I am satisfied that 10 the jury by this time have gotten the same impression, where 11 the law excludes testimony, experience finds it is un-12 reliable, where the law holds from experience of ages 13 past, that such testimony is unreliable, and the jury will 14 undoubtedly believe that your Honor has ruled in this 15 matter, taking the matter as he must from different lips, 16 they must have arrived at the conclusion that testimony 17 under these conditions is unreliable, and will not be 18 considered by them and the proper weight from our 19 point of view, would not be given to that character of tes-20 timony, and we prefer at this time in view of your Honor's 21 ruling, to withdraw the witness until such time as we can 22 lay the foundation which will satisfy your Honor as to its 23 legality, and your Honor having ruled upon it, we leave 24

the matter in abeyance until we can find some other means

25



88 1 of impressing your Honor that your Honor's former decision was incorrect, we don't care to go to this jury with 2 your Honor having ruled that the testimony was inadmis-3 sible, and then allow the defendant that right, the 4 testimony having been characterized in such manner by the 5 court's ruling, to go to the jury under those conditions. 6 MR. FREDERICKS. May I say a word or two? 7 THE COURT. Just a moment, Captain. If you have the page 8 I would like to have my attention called to that. 9 MR. ROGERS. Yes, sir, page 6918, if your Honor pleases, 10 line 7. 11 THE COURT. All right, Mr. Fredericks. MR. FREDERICKS. Your Honor, this is a proceeding of law; what the law is, when the court finally decides what the law is, that is the law in this case; the court has

12 13 it is true, while counsel on either side may differ as to 14 15 16 decided in this case what the law is in this case, in this 17 particular. Now, we cannot take the time of ourselves and 18 thetime of the jury and the time of the court to afford 19 Mr. Harriman the opportunity, as he says, to confront this 20 witness, and in a cross-examination that is absolutely 21 futile, even though counsel had made no objection, if the 22 court is of the opinion that the court has indicated here 23 then the testimony of this witness is illegal and improper 24 and cannot be gone into. Now, I think that we have ample 25 to do to take up our time with the things we have to do, 26 scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

and as the court has ruled and as that is the law in this

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

12

14

15

16

26

case, let us abide by it and drive on to something else.

If Mr. Harriman wants to clear this matter he can do so by

civil suit or by newspaper talk or any way he wants to.

Now, we are at a decided disadvantage here. We cannot go

on and ask this witness any questions, because they can 6 stop us at any time they want to and the thing should 7

be either hot or cold, if it is going to be hot, let it

be hot, and if it is going to be cold, let it be cold.

MR. ROGERS. Let us make it hot. I withdraw the objection. 10 MR . FREDERICKS . The court has ruled and we cannot go into 11

it any further, it simply makes it a moot question; we

withdraw the question and we withdraw the witness. 13

MR. ROGERS. I would like to cross-examine Mr. Edward Adams Cantrell.

is nothing before the court and we decline to take up our

It is absolutely a moot question, there MR . FREDERICKS .

17 time and the time of the court and the time of the jury 18 with something/at the behest or at the slightest whim of 19 the defense can be stricken out. 20

MR . ROGERS. I would like to know why he supported Mr. 21 Harriman after knowing him to be a perjurer, etc. 22

MR. FREDERICKS. There is nothing before this court, this 23 witness's testimony stands absolutely nil, and it should 24

remain so. We have enough witnesses to take up our time. 25

THE COURT. The question is before the court here, whe then

- 1 or not at this time under existing circumstances the 2 defense can withdraw its objection. 3MR . ROGERS. If they withdraw the question, of course, we 4 cannot do anything. 5 THE COURT. The question now having been withdrawn, I see 6 nothing upon which I can act in any way, shape or form. 7 The witness is excused. 8 MR . FREDERICKS . All right. 9 MR. ROGERS. Will the Court kindly order that Mr. Edward 10 Adams Cantrell shall remain in attendance on the court. 11 MR. KEETCH. I think that is the order that has been issued 12 by the court on yesterday afternoon. 13 MR. FREDERICKS. Leave your telephone number with us. 14 MR. ROGERS. You might give it to me, I might need it. 15 16 JAMES S RUFF, 17 called as a witness on behalf of the People, having been 18 first duly sworn, in rebuttal, testified as follows: 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION. 20 MR- FREDERICKS.Q What is your name? A James S. Ruff. 21 THE COURT bow do you spell it? A Ru-f-f.
- MR. FREDERICKS. Q Where do you live? A Shermah, Los
  Angeles County, California.
  Q What is your business? A Street railway conductor.
- Q What was your business in the month of October, or in the month of November and the forepart of December, 1911?

A Why, I was occupying the position as street railway conductor.

1

2

14

- Q On what line? A Well, it was at that time called the 3 Los Angeles Pacific Company. 4
- Q And where did it run from and to? A Well, my 5
- division ran from what is known as Crescent Junction to 6
- Hill street station, Los Angeles, here. 7
- 8 Q Through a part of Hollywood? A Well, it is South
- 9 Hollywood, called South Hollywood. Q And what time inthe morning did the car that you had 10
- 11 charge of come into Los Angeles, say the first three runs?
- A Well, my first run, I would arrive inLos Angeles at 12 13 828.
- MR. DARROW. Q You say you would arrive at 8:28? A Arrive at 8:28. 15
- 16 Q What time did you leave Crescent Junction on that
- 17 run? A I left Cresent Junction on that run at 7:58.
- Q Two minutes to 8? A 2 minutes to 8. MR. HOGERS. The witness says he arrived in Los Angeles at 19
- 8:38 and it might be well to find at what point. 20 MR. FREDERICKS. Q Where in Los Angeles? A What is known 21
- as the Hill street station, Fourth and Hill--between 22
- Fourth and Fifth on Hill street. 23
- Q Leaving at 7:58, 2 minutes to 8, and got in here at 24
- 2 minutes to half past 8? A Yes, sir. 25What was your next ron, in leaving Crescent Junction, 26

at what time? A Leaving Crescent Junction at 9:28, ar-

riving an hour and 30 minutes later.

7:58; 9:28 and 10:58? A yes, sir.

And the next run left at 10:58? A yes, 10:58.

scanned by LALAWLIBRAR

Do you know Mr Frank E. Wolfe, or did you know him at Q that time, and did you know who he was when you saw him?

1

2

3

17

18

19

20

7:58 car?

I know him to see him, that is all: I don't know him

4 personally. 5 Now, I am talking about a time, say, for a month prior

6 to the city election in Los Angeles, which was the 5th 7 of December, 1911: state whether or not you were running 8 the car at that time which left at 7:58 and got in here to 9

Los Angeles at 8:28? A yes sir, I was. 10 Now, how often during a week, did Mr Wolf ride on your 11

12 MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object to that on the ground 13 it is not rebuttal; it is incompetent, irrelevant and imma-14 terial for any purpose whatsoever. 15 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 16 MR APPEL: We take an exception. Let me make my objection

more formal, your Honor. THE COURT: yes. Go ahead.

MR APPEL: That it does not tend, that the habits of the witness Wolfe, or what he did upon any other occasion than

21 the morning of the 28th day of November, 1911, do not in 22 any manner tend to contradict him as to any portion of his 23 testimony relating to his acts upon the morning of November 24 28th, 1911. 25 MR FREDERICKS: I call the court's attention to the testimony 26 of Mr Wolfe on the stand --

- THE COURT: I remember it. Objection overruled.

  MR DARROW: I want to add another objection, that is, that
- 3 the witness has not said he knows. The first question
- 4 should be, do you know how often?
- 5 THE COURT: Is that not in the question?
- 6 MR FREDERICKS: If you know.
- 7 MR DARROW: The first question should be if he knows.
- 8 (Question read.)

1

2

10

- 9 MR FORD: He just added it.
- 11 you can answer the question as amended.

THE COURT: The question a s amended or as substituted,

- 12 MR DARROW: If you know.
- 13 MR FREDERICKS: Now, answer the question, if you have it
- 14 in mind. A Well, I don't think Mr Wolfe rode my car more
- 15 than --
- 16 MR APPEL: Wait a moment, now.
  17 MR FORD: Let the witness finish his answer, and then
- make your objection.
- 19 THE COURT: The question is, Mr Ruff, do you know.
- 20 MR FREDERICKS: That is an answer. Now, your Honor, I
- 21 thinks witness should be nemitted to answer the question
- think a witness should be permitted to answer the question and then if it is wrong let it be stricken out: this vay
- 22 and then if it is wrong, let it be stricken out; this way
  23 of stopping a witness, he comes in here, he is in strange
  24 surroundings --
- THE COURT: He might have an swered it. Now, go ahead.

  MR FREDERICKS: Read as far as the witness went.

4 the witness finish it. 5 MR DARROW: I object to that; he cannot testify what he 6 thinks. 7 MRIFORD: That is what any man thinks.

THE COURT: The defense asked the court to admonish the

witness, that is what it amounted to, and the court did.

MR FREDERICKS: Read the answer, as far as it went, and let

MR DARROW: No. THE COURT: Read the entire question and the court will

10 tell him how. 11 (Last question read.)

1

2

3

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

12 MR ROGERS: Now, if your Honor please, I call your Honor's 13

attention to the unwisdom, as defined by the decisions, and

laid down in many cases that doubtless your Honor recalls. the unwisdom of permitting a question that is stricken out,

and cross-examination refused on it, just as happened here a few moments ago: the strike out the answer of Mr Edward Adam Cantrell, and refused us cross-examination. They

20 examined, after we showed what they tried to do was illegal. 21Now, if they get an illegal answer in here, it affects 22 peoples' minds and then we don't get the right to cross-23

examine, because it is illegal. We protect ourselves by 24 showing it is illegal, and then we attempt to cross-examine 25 and we are refused. Now, Mr Ruff may, perchance, know how 26 many times Mr Wolfe went on his car that week. Possibly

took the witness off the stand so he couldn't be cross-

he doesn't. It wouldn't be --

1

- 2 THE COURT: I think we could get at it better if the Dis-
- 3 trict Attorney would ask him if he knows.
- 4 MR ROGERS: yes, ask him if he knows.
- 5 MR FORD: I think there are some statements made by coun-
- 6 sel that are not technically correct. Your Honor knows,
- 7 and everybody knows that has been in a court room, that
- 8 witnesses use on the stand, their every-day, ordinary lan-9 guage. When they say they think, they are not drawing a
- conclusion. The witness says, in effect, that is my 11 best recollection. He is not --
- 12 THE COURT: Well, you can argue that later, Mr Ford.
- 13 MR FORD: And that the witness should be permitted to
- 14 state his answer, and if counsel wants to show that it is
- 15 a conclusion, let him show it is a conclusion on cross-
- 16 examination, and then move to strike it out, but I am
- 17 satisfied --18 THE COURT: The abjection, in its present form, sustained,
- 19 on the ground the foundation is not laid.
- 20 MR FREDERICKS: What is your best recollection, Mr Ruff,
- 21 as to the number of times, say in a week, that Mr Wolfe
- 22 rode on your 8 o'clock car?
- 23 MR ROGERS: Objected to because we don't know what week 24
- he means, incompetent, irrelevant --25 MR FREDERICKS: During the month prior to the election
- 26 on December 5th, 1911. scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

MR DARROW: We object to that still further, on the ground that this witness must first say he know. The foundation has got to be laid. THE COURT: Bbjection sustained. He has not stated that he has any memory whatever.

- MR. FREDERICKS. Q Have you a memory in regard to that,
- 2 Mr. Ruff? A Well, the only thing I can say that he never
- 3 rode more than once a week at any time. I don't know whe-
- ther it was the month of February or any other time. About 4
- once a week was the average, possibly, with my run. 5
- MR. DARROW. We object and ask to have it stricken out. 6
- MR. FREDERICKS. I think that absolutely answers the ques-7
- MR. DARROW Just a moment, counsel disagrees. 9
- MR. ROGERS. Leave it where it is. 10
- THE COURT. Motion to strike out withdrawn. 11
- 12 MR . FREDERICKS . Now, read the answer.
- 13 (Last answer read by the reporter.)

1

8

16

20

24

tion.

- Q And by your run you are referring to the 7:58 run? 14
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- and December 1911? A Yes, sir.
- 17
- Q Did he ever ride with you on the 9:38 run during that 18

Q And you have the 7:58 run during the month of November

- 19 time?
- sequence whatsoever, and not confined as to time. Mr. Ruff 21

MR . ROGERS. Objected to as not rebuttal and of no con-

- 22 doubtless has been with the company a long time, and did
- he ever ride with you on the 9:28 run is of no concern to 23 us or the jury .
- THE COURT. Objectionsustained. 25
- MR FREDERICKS. Q During that time, during the months 26

Now.

MR. FORD. of the Court please, Mr. Rolf has testified that

he remembered that it was the half past eight--or the 8 o'clock car, that it was his custom, that he always came down at that

hour. Now, we have a right--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.0

11

12

13

4

**l**5

16

23

25

26

MR. APPEL. No, he never said anything of that kind.

MR. FREDERICKS That he almost invariably --

MR · FREDERICKS I have got his language here. There is

nothing before the court. MR . FORD. He says, "Have you any special way of fixing that

date--" page 4258--"A Yes, it was my usual time to come to

the office."

MR. DARROW\_ That is not almost invariably.

MR. FORD. Put it in this language. The witness has

testified it was his usual time to come to the office. we have the right to show that the usual time that the

witness went to the office at tlat time was on a car far 17

later than this 8 o'clock or 7:58 car, the car that left 18 Crescent Junction at 7:58, which was in Wolf's vicinity 19

a little after 8. We have a right to show during the 20

month of November it was his custom -- it was the usual 21 time, as Mr. Wolf said, for him to come to the office, we 22

have a right to show that it was much later than that

time to which he testified. 24

MR . APPEL. You cannot show that by this witness.

THE COURT. The question would be collateral and immaterial

matter. Objection sustained.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

16

17

19

MR. FREDERICKS. You knew Mr. Wolf was a candidate for the counsel at that time? A Yes, sir.

MR. FREDERICKS · I think that is all.

MR . DARROW . Q How frequently do the cars run from there

into Los Angeles? A 15 minutes. 8

CROSS-EXAMINATION .

Q Every 15 minutes. Is there one or two lines there to Los Angeles? A Just the one through the Colegrove

Division, South Hollywood. 11 And they run every 15 minutes? A Yes.

Q What time does your car get to El Centro stop? 13

I was due at El Centro 7 minutes past 8.

14 And of course there would be one at El Centro 15 15

minutes before and 15 minutes after? A Yes. The cars always start on time? A Yes, sir.

El Centro at 7:52? A Yes, sir.

There would be a car at El Centro at 8:22 and a car at 18

Yours would not be there at 8:22 or 7:52? A No, the 20 car that left Sherman at 8:11 would reach there at 8 22. 21

Q Now, you couldn't pretend to swear what people get on 22

your car at certain times? A No, sir. 23 Q. You don't know but Mr. Wolf or anybody else might have

24 got on the car some mornings at some time and then skip a 25 week or two, do you? You couldn't swear to that, could 26

Q What day? A I couldn't tell you.

Q Couldn't say? A No, sir.

Q It was always on the same day? A No, sir.

Q wow do you remember exactly once a week, sir? A Well,

you? A Well, he rode once a week with me, whether it was

Q Do you mean to say exactly once a week, or you mean

to say you think about that? A Well, I can say exactly

as I stated before, the gentleman rode about once a week.

Q What do you say, exactly once a week? A Well, it seems to me about that time.

Q Well, you know, don't you, you couldn't say he rode

MR • FREDERICKS 1 think, may it please the Court, the Witness has given his best judgment.

MR . APPEL. Leave him alone now .

exactly once a week?

skipping a week or not --

once a week.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

it.

I think they are proper.

THE COURT. What is the objection?

MR. FREDERICKS. My objection is the witness has answered

MR · FREDERICKS. I am going to make objections whenever

THE COURT. Objection overruled.

MR FORD. If the Court please, we ask leave to make our objections without being instructed by counsel, "leave him alone."

THE COURT. Counsel desires to make an objection he should

preface his remarks with them.

1

2

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR · FREDERICKS. I started to make an objection but there

3 was such a chorus from the other side it was drowned out. 4

MR · DARROW Read it, please. 5 (last question read by the reporter.)

Q Do you understand the question? A Why, no, I didn't

quite get that, just got the latter part of it.

Q you know you couldn't swear now positively that he

rode exactly once a week, don't you? A No, I couldn't.

Is there any reason why you did so swear? A Did I swear?

I so understood it, yes. You are mistaken if you did? Very much so.

How long since you have seen him on your car going either way? A Well, it is six months.

What? A Pretty close to six months. 17 Q Remember when that was? A Well, I have changed my 18 division.

- I couldn't state any particular date.
- 3

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

time.

Q.

of Los Angeles.

- 4 March.

on my first trip in the morning.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

was sometime in March.

avenue, what they call East Hollywood.

run that you saw Mr Wolfe? A I do not.

- - When did you change your division? A Why, about last

What time in the evening do you run out?

run; not the same run. He has changed his run.

What time do you run out of Los Angeles now? A Well. I

run out of Los Angeles now at 6:42 on my first trip out

You don't remember the last time you saw him on the car?

What time do you run in? A 6:42 out of Los Angeles,

MR FORD: We object to that as immaterial on his present

MR DARROW: Where do you run to? A I run just to Vermont

When did you stop running to El Centro? A Why, it

Do you know when in March? A Around about the 10th.

Ever go out with you as well as in? A No, not at that

Any times that he was riding oftener than other times,

Do you know when was the last time previous to your

Q Haven't any idea, have you? A Not the slightest.

if there is you don t remember it, is that right? A I

- I don't mean that; when was it you saw him last?

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

once a week, if that often.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Q

MR FREDERICKS: Just a moment; I didn't quite hear that

answer, and I don't think the jury did.

(Last answer read by the reporter.)

MR DARROW: I ask to have that stricken out; I didn't get it myself.

THE COURT: Strike it out.

MR FREDERICKS: Why strike it out?

MR DARROW: Because it is not responsive to the question.

MR FREDERICKS: Will the court indulge us to have the ques-

tion read? (Last question read by the reporter.) think that is responsive.

THE COURT: I don't think so.

MR FREDERICKS: He says, I don't think more than once a week if that often.

THE COURT: I have ruled on it.

who he was or amything about him.

here, sitting here, and looking away? McLaren is his name? Α

I don't know the man.

Did you ever see him? A I don't have any recollection. Who came to see you first about this matter in reference to coming here? A I don't know who the man's name is, or

26 What did he tell you hisname was? Never told me Q scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

MR DARROW: You know this tall, thin man over here; right

his name.

1

3

10

24

26

- 2 Didn't ask him? A No, I never asked him.
- Where did he see you? A Down at the station. 4 Q How long ago? A About two weeks ago.
- 5
- Could you describe the man? A I would know him if 6 I saw him.
- 7 Can you describe him at all?
- 8 MR FREDERICKS: We can give counsel the name of the man,
- 9 if that is what he wants.
- MR DARROW: pe did not wear a black suit, did he? A There 11 was more than one.
- 12 Q Well, what was his name, Mr Fredericks?
- $\sqrt{13}$ MR FREDERICKS: I am not sure; I would have to find out, 14
- 15 MRDARROW: It is one of your people?

little louder. A All right.

16 MR FREDERICKS: Yes, it was one of our people, it was 17

but I think I know; I would have to find out.

- either Mr Duni or Rockwell, or both, I am not sure. 18 MR MARROW: You say more than one came to see you; is that
- 19 right? A yes. 20
- Q How many? A Well, in all there were four. 21
- All of them down at the station? A Yes sir. 22
- Q At the same time? A Three of them at one time, and 23 two at another.
- Three together? A Yes. 25 THE COURT: Fr Ruff, I will have to ask you to speak a

anned by LALAWLIBRARY

1 MR DARROW: Is that the first time when you saw three came  $\mathbf{2}$ down on you at once; is that the first time? A No, there 3 were only two come the first time I have any recollection. 4 Two the first time. How many the second time? A The 5 second time there were three. 6 And the third time, how many? A I never saw them only 7 twice. 8 Q. You didn't see them? A No. 9 Q That is the same two the second time, or were they 10 an entirely new shift? 11 THE COURT: Did you get the question? A There were 12 two -- two of the same. 13 Q yes, and they brought one new man with them, they told 14 you what they wanted, did they, the first time? A Well, 15 they didn't tell me what they wanted, any more than they 16 vanted me to appear at the District Attorney's office. 17 Is that all they asked you the first time, to appear 18 at the District Attorney's office? A That is all. 19 Q Didn't tell you what it was about? A Never told me 20 nothing about it. 21 Asked you if you knew Frank Wolfe? A Asked me if I 22 knew Frank Wolfe. 23 They did tell you something else? A If that is what 24 you are referring to, yes. 25 What else did they say? A Just asked me if I knew

Frank Wolf, and if I knew what time he came in the morn-

ing on my car.

- Now, we are getting at it. 2
- That is the first time? A That is the first time. 3 Q.
- Q. Did they ask you some questions the second time? 4
- The same questions. 5 Α
- What did you tell them the first time? A I told 6

- them I knew the man to see him; that is all. I never had 7

- 8 personally been acquainted with him. What? A I took them I knew the man to see him; I 9
- 10 knew him when I saw him, it was Frank Wolfe.
- You knew him when you saw him and that is all? 11 Q.
- 12 Α Yes.
  - 13 And that is all you to ded them the first time? A Yes 14 sir.
  - 15 What did you say when they asked you how often he came 16 in on the car the first time? A I told them he rode with
  - 17 me possibly once a week.
  - 18 What did you tell them the second time? A I told them
- 19 the same identical story the second time.
- 20 Did they ask you any more questions the second time? 21 They asked me if I could state whether he came in on
- 22 a certain date in the month of November.
- 23 Well, couldn't you? A I could not.
- Did they say what date? A Well, they designated one 24
- 25certain day, was the 26th day of November, I believe. Asked you whether you could tell whether he came in on 26

- 1 Q At both times you said you could not. A I could not.
- 2 Q After you told them the first time that you could not
- 3 then they asked you a second time. A That is the ques-
- 4 tion put before me the second time.
- 5 Q What is that? A That is the question put before me
- 6 the second time when they approached me.
- 7
- Q And they asked you the first time too, didn't they?

Yes, they asked me the first time.

8

August.

- 9 Q. Then what did you do, come to the Estrict Attorney's
- 10 office? A I come to the District Attorney's office. 11
- Q When? A My first appearance was on the first day of 12
- 13 Q Talk with anybody there? A No, I never had any conver-
- 14sation with anybody any more than the deputy. 15
- Q I don't ask who, but did you talk with anybody? 16 A No.
- 17 Q How? A I talked with the boys that was with me.
- 18 Q That is not what I mean, you know what I mean.
- 19
- MR . FORD. The witness doesn't know, and he is doing the 20 best he can.
- 21 MR. DARROW. Very well, if he doesn't I want to be fair 22 With him. He does know, Mr. Ford, because he did answer he
- 23 talked with a deputy, that is the reason I say he knows.
- 24 MR · FREDERICKS. All right.
- 25 MR. DARROW. Q Did you talk with anybody connected with
- 26 the office in the District Attorney's office?

he says he talked with a deputy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A

ves .

ness.

MR · FORD. No, I was objecting to your criticising the

witness.

MR . DARROW Very well. Q Who did you talk with in the

Anybody you see around here? A No.

street car, etc., and how some men have--

had been a motorman at some time himself.

or something like that? A No, no.

fares? A No, not necessarily.

Is that right? A yes.

MR. DARROW. Mr. Ford thinks he did not.

Q You talked with him about the same matter, did you?

A No, sir, we were talking about other matters altogether.

Did you talk with him about this at all? A No, sir.

Q What were you talking about? A Just street car busi-

Q Well, what? A Well, different matters of how to run a

Q Did he seem to want to get a job at running a street car

Q He was asking you how you ran one and how you took up

I know, not necessarily, but was that it? A No.

What was it? A It was about the front end work, he

This man you talked with told you he had been a motorman?

never saw the man.

District Attorney's office? A l never knew the man's name,

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- Q And so he talked with you about the front end work?
- Q And that is all? A That is all, yes.

you would call a reception room, yes.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

13

16

17

18

21

A yes.

- Q And did he take you into a separate room? A 1
- 5 Q Where did he talk with you? A Right before the rest
  - of the boys that was with me.
  - Q And in the outside office? A Well, it was in some office, in this building, it was not so far away.
  - Q Therewas a reception room and then a private office
- around that? A They didn't appear to be very private.

  Q Was it in the reception room? A I guess it was what
- Q Was it the first room you come into from the hall?

  A Well, yes.

  Q And he only talked with you about his having been a
  - motorman and how to run a car, is that right? A That is all.
- MR FORD Is Mr. Belcher here, your detective?

  MR DARROW . I have no detective . Mr. Belcher would not pass
- 20 under that title, anyhow.
- MR. FORD. Is Mr. Belcher here?

  22 MR. DARROW. He is here.
- 23 MR · FORD. We would like to have him stand up.
- MR. DARROW. We object to his standing up.
- THE COURT. Let the cross-examination proceed.

  MR. APPEL. What is the matter here, this sort of childish

play?

1

10

19

24.

how.

was?

- 2 MR . FORD I understood Mr. Belcher had been talking to him
- 3 and he was the street car man.
- THE COURT 1 think the interruption was an improper one. 4
- MR. FORD. My desire was to save counsel a little time in 5
- 6 cross-examination.
- MR. DARROW It was not your desire, Mr. Ford, and you know 7
- 8 it. Your desire was to try to influence the jury in some
- 9 illegal way against my liberty, and you know it . You
- never had a desire to save me time or anything else. 11 MR . FREDERICKS. If Mr. Belcher was up there--
- 12
- MR . FORD. If Mr. Belcher was talking to the man I thought
- 13 it might same counsel a little time to know that fact.
- 14 THE COURT. Then you are mistaken, Mr. Ford.
- 15 MR . FORD . Yes.
- 16 MR. APPEL. Mr. Darrow has well understood as to your
- 17 endeavors in this case--
- 18 MR \* FORD \* I am endeavoring to do my duty the best I know
- MR DARROW It is a poor way 20
- 21 THE COURT Gentlemen, let us stop this. The interruption
- 22 was improper. Proceed.
- MR. DARROW. Q Do you remember what the last question 23
- 25 (Last question and answer read.)
- 26 Q That is correct, is it? A That is correct.

- Q Did you go to the District Attorney's office again?
- 2 Q You said this was about the first of August, your first 3
- visit? A Yes sir. 4 Did you go there again? A Yesterday was my --
- 5
- Did you talk with anybody connected with the District 6
- Attorney's office yesterday? A Yes 7

A Why, the same party I have reference to; the

- deputy. 9 Q And the same motorman? A The same man 1 was telling
- 10 about. 11
- Q Did he talk with you again about running motors? A Yes, 12 we were talking about motors. 13
- Q Anything else? A yes. 14

What is that?

Q Who?

1

8

25

- Q What else? A There was another man approached us there 15
- that was talking with us, that used to know us on the cars. 16 Q Now, I am asking you about the first man, don't you 17
- understand my question? A Yes. 18
- Q What else did he talk with you about? A Nothing at 19 all, we were simply talking among ourselves, there were 20
- four or five of us talking of street railway work. 21
- Q He didnit talk anything with you about anything but 22
- street railway work, is that right? A That is all. 23And said nothing about this case? A Nothing at all. 24

Nothing at all. Α That was yesterday? A That was yesterday.

And nothing about how often Mr Wolfe came in with you?

1

2

3

4

9

13

26

Q.

office, and twice met by -- once, two men, and another. 5

Q So you have been twice at the District Attorney's

- 6 three men? A Yes.
- How far apart were the two first conversations? 7 8 MR FREDERICKS: Which conversations?
- I mean down at the station? A How far apart were the 10 conversations with who?
- I want you to understand me so that if you don't, get 11 12 it right. You say two men first came to see you and then

three men, at the Hill street station; is that right?

- 14 A yes, first two men, they approached me on the same sub-15 jects.
- And then three men? A And then there were three of 16 17 them.
- And how long was it between the time the two men came 18 19 to see you and the time the three men came to see you?
- 20 Well, there must have been ten days.
- 21 Q What is it? A Ten days. I should judge.
- 22 Q Was Mr Duni, here, who is in the end seat, a brother-23 in-law of Mr Fredericks --
- 24 MR FREDERICKS: What? 25 MR ROGERS: No, that does Mr Duni and Mr predericks wrong,

that is not correct. His brother-in-law is the clerk in

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

1

2

4

14

Judge Bordwell's court.

- MR D ARROW: Don't you give me another wrong steer.
- 3 MR FREDERICKS: I find out, from talking with Mr Reetch,
- 5 and another man, and that Duni probably was not one of them.

probably the men who talked with the gentleman were Bright

- 6 I was simply giving you my guess at it. I can find out 7 absolutely.
- 8 MR APPEL: It is not important; we just wanted to know.
- . 9 MR D ARROW: Now, in that ten days between the time the
- 10 first two men first came down on you, and the time the three 11 men came down, did you talk with anybody about this case.
- 12 about Frank Wolfe? A Well, it was only a matter of two
- 13 or three minutes at either time.
- I am not asking you that; I don't care how long it was. 15 I thought you a sked me --
- 16 Did you talk with anybody during those two times be-17 tween the two times? A No, nobody.
- 18 Q: Did you think about it? A Nothing at all.
- about Have you thought it any more since the first time 19
- 20 you were talked to? A Not at all.
- 21 By the way, do you remember anybody else that rode
- 22 once a week during -- oh, seven or eight months ago, last
- 23 November, do you remember anybody else that rode once a 24 week, if so, who? A I had regular passengers that
- 25 rode every day. 26
  - I am not asking you for regular passengers that rode scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

every day. A No. I cannot think of anybody.

1

15

18

- 2 You cannot think of anybody that rode once a week?
- 3 Α No.
- 4 Can you think of anybody that rode once a month?
- 5 MR FORD: We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant
- 6 and immaterial; the witness might not be able to direct
- 7
- his attention to anybody that rode once a month, but if 8 counsel would point to some person he might tell him.
- 9 THE COURT: He is testing his memory. Objection overruled.
- 10 How about that? Is there anybody that rode once a month?
- 11 No. I don't know of anybody that rode once a month. 12
- Do you know anybody that rode twice a week? A No sir. 13 Do you know anybody who rode three times a week? A
- 14 I couldn't say exactly whether they rode three times or
- two times or four times. 16 Do you know anybody that rode either three or four, Q.
- 17 or when did they? A No.
- on your whole route, if you do, let us have the names. Q. 19 No. I do not.
- 20 Frank Wolfe is the only man you ever carried that you Q.
- 21 have any idea of as to how often he rode; is that it?
- 22 Well, speaking of names --Α
- 23 No. answer the question.

I knew by name.

- 24 MR FORD: Let the witness answer the question.
- 25 MR DARROW: I will let him answer. A He is the only man 26
  - Do you know anybody else by clothes that scwodie, once Larary Q.

- 1 | week, sir? A Oh, yes.
- 2 Q How was he dressed? A I couldn't tell you anything
- 3 | about that, how they are dressed now; that was six or
- 4 | seven months ago.
- 5 MR FORD: Let me make an objection. I move that the answer
- 6 be stricken out, to the question, on the ground it is
- 7 incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial, cross-examination
- 8 upon irrelevant matters, how some other man was dressed
- 9 who came there once a week.
- 10 | THE COURT: The objection is overruled, testing memory.
- 11 Q Do you know anybody by the way they were dressed, who
- 12 rode once a week? A I couldn't describe their dress to you.
- 13 but if I would see them I would know him.
- 14 Q Do you know anybody who rode twice a week by their
- 15 dress?
- 16 MR FORD: We object to that as irrelevant and immaterial.
- 17 The witness has already answered if he would see them, he
- 18 | would know them.
- 19 MR DARROW: We are not bound to believe every word ---
- 20 MR FORD: I object to it on the ground it is incompetent,
- 21 irrelevant and immaterial, and the law does not care for
- 22 trifles, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Answer the ques-
- 24 tion.

- 25 | MRDARROW: You are slow finding it out.
  - Q Do you know anybody by the face, who rode once a week,

who you can describe? A I cannot describe anybody.

1

2

16

22

23

24

25

- MR FORD: I object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and
- 3 immaterial. 4 MR DARROW: You cannot describe a thing? A No.
- 5 MR FORD: Just a moment. I would like your Honor to admonish
- 6 the witness not to answer when we interpose an objection. 7 THE COURT: I think your objection and ruling is in the
- 8 record. 9 MR FORD: I asked your Honor to admonish the witness.
- 10 THE COURT: I will admonish the witness when he needs it. 11
- MR FORD: He just did, your Honor, and I think he needs it. 12
- THE COURT: The court does not. 13
  - MRDARROW: You cannot give anybody's name ordescribe any-
- 14 body's clothes, or anybody's face who rode once a week or 15 twice a week, is that right? A No --
- MR FORD: Just a moment --17
- THE COURT: What is the objection? 18
- MR FORD: The witness has answered.
- 19
- 20
- 21

26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

no need of my making it.

you know out there?

THE COURT . Overruled.

immaterial.

9 10 11

12

13 14 15

17

16

## Q Who gave you his name? A Why, that I couldn't tell you. 18 I heard it several times. 19 All you did was to take fares from him, or tickets? 20 That is all. 21 There are people out there that you knew better than 22 Frank Wolff? A Very few. I never made myself familiar 23 with anybody there. There is people used to get on and 24 speak with me very regularly. 25 Q Are there any out there in that vicinity that you know 26 scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

MR. FORD. Objected to upon the ground the question has

MR. DARROW. Q By the way, is Frank Wolff the only man

MR . FORD. Objected to as incompetent, irrelevant and

MR. DARROW · Q You do N · t know him? A Only to see him.

Q Never saw him except on your car? A That is all I ever

But is his the only name of anybody you know who rode

periodically once a week, twice a week or once a month?

Q Never talked with him? A Never talked with him.

A I don't know Frank Wolff only to see him.

saw of the man was when he was on my car.

A yes, I guess that is right.

already been answered. He has answered again, so there is

- better than you do Frank Wolff? A No.
- Q where are not. We see Know him as well as you do 2
- anybody? A As well as I do anybody. 3
- Q Don't you know anybody, any of your neighbors? A 1 4
- don't live anywhere near Colegrove myself. 5

Q Do they ride on your car from Sherman?

- Q Where do you live? A I leve at Sherman. 6
- Q Well, people ride from Sherman don't they? A yes, but 7
- there is a Sherman car that comes right through there. 8
- MR. FORD . At that time. 10
- MR. DARROW. Q At that time? A No. 11
- Q Anybody? A Nobody that I knew of ever rode with me
- from Sherman at that time. 13
- Q And none of your acquaintances ever ride with you? 14
- MR . FORD. Objected to as incompetent, irrelevant and 15 immaterial. Crescent station is a long waysthis side of 16
- Sherman . 17
- THE COURT. Objection overruled. 18
- MR · FREDERICKS · 1 don, t think counsel understands the 19
- answer. 20

1

9

12

- MR . DARROW Will you read the answer, I guess I don't. 21
- MR · FREDERICKS · He said there was a car, a Sherman car 22
- that went straight through and that is the car the 23
- Sherman people took, they didn't take the local car. 24
- MR . DARROW . Q Didn't any of the Sherman people ever 25
- ride with you? A Once in a while, it was more around 11 and 26 scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 | 12 o'clock and 1 o'clock.
- 2 | Q Well, around 11 and 12, some of them ride with you?
- 3 | A Very rarely.
- 4 Q Well, did they ever? A Yes.
- 5 Q Who? A I couldn,t tell you who.
- 6 Q What did they look like? A I couldn't tell you.
- 7 Q Where do you run now? A I run on what they call a
- 8 jigger line on the Melrose line.
- 9 Q How long have you been running on the jigger line?
- 10 A Four or five days.
- 11 Q Where did you run before that? A I run on the through
- Hollywood, what they call the Venice-Hollywood line.
- 13 Q You have now been promoted to a jigger--jerkwater
- 14 train? A Been promoted.
- Q How long did you run on the Hollywood line? A Well,
- 16 close to six months after I left the Colegrove line I have
- 17 been over there.
- 18 Q Well, do you live at Hollywood? A No, I live at Shermah
- 19 Q When you lived on the Hollywood line-- A At Sherman.
- 20 Q Did any of your neighbors ride with you on the Hollywood
- 21 | line? A once in a while, yes.
- 22 | Q When? A Well, I couldnit tell you.
- 23 Q Who? A Why, they used to go to the beach.
- 24 Q But what neighbors, what ones? A Well, there were
- 25 | people that lived up around the hill where I did.
- 26 Q But I am asking youfor their names. You know the names

times.

## of your neighbors? A I know a couple of them, yes.

Q Who are they? A Well, /it necessary for me to state names of my neighbors?

Q Sure. A Emmens is one and some people by the name of Gasey.

Q Did Emmens ride with you? A His wife and boy.
Q Do you know his wife? A Yes.

Q When did he ride with you? A 1 don't know when A month ago or more she rode with me, went to the beach.

Q A month ago, how often? That was her first trip?

A That was her first trip with me that 1 have any recollection of.

Q Never saw her but once onthe car? A That is all with me.

Q You know-how about the Caseys? A Just once.

Q Just once with the Caseys. Did you ever see anybody

more than once that you knew? A It all depends in what direc
Q Either direction. I don, t care which way you were

going. A yes, I have had people--my superintendent used

to ride with me in the morning going into town.

Q Fow often? A Oh, four or five times a week.

Which, 4 or 5? A Well, 5 times a week.

Not 4? A Sometimes; some weeks it would be only 3

Q And some weeks once or twice? A No, about 3 times on the average.

MR · DARROW \* That is all.

3

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

25

26

MR · FREDERICKS · Q Mr. Wolff you knew was a candidate for

the counsel at the time. This was a time just prior to the city election?

city election?

MR. DARROW\_ I object to that as leading, your Honor.

MR. FREDERICKS. All right, I withdraw the question. That

MR. FREDERICKS. All right, I withdraw the question. That is all.

THE COURT. We will take a recess for 5 minutes. (Jury

admonished.)
MR. FORD. Just a moment, if the Court please.

THE COURT. Wait a moment, Gentlemen.

12 MR • DARROW • May I ask one more question?

13 MR • FREDERICKS • I want to ask this witness if Mr • Belcher

 $_{14}$  is one of the men that was--that man, stand up. Was that  $_{15}$  a man talking to you yesterday? A He came in yesterday

just a short while, he was talking about street car work.

I never knew the man was connected here whatever.

18 MR • FREDERICKS • That is all •

19 MR • DARROW • May I ask him one or two questions?

20 MR FREDERICKS. Was it in the District Attorney's office that he talked to you? A over in the anteroom, I guess that is what you call it.

23 MR. DARROW That was in the witness room Mr. Belcher talked to you.

MR · FREDERICKS · Was it on this floor?

A It was on this floor, yes.

MR DARROW: Were you ever in the District Attorney's office, on the 11th floor? A Yes, I have been up there. 3 Well, what times that you were at the District Attor-4 ney's office, was the time Mr Belcher talked to you? 5 No. 6 Q Will you describe Mr Wolfe? A Well, Mr Wolfe, what I 7 know about Mr Wolfe, he was a man, tall, slender build with 8 gray hair. Q And a mustache? A No, I think he was a smooth-shaven man. 11 Q All the time? A Yes, all the time, now that I think 12 of it. 13 How long since you seenhim? A Oh, I haven't seen him 14 for over 6 months. 15 You remember whether this man here used to ride with Q 16 you on Sundays? A No. 17 Q Mr Appel? A No. 18 Q Don't remember him? A No. MR DARROW: That is all.

1

2

9

10

19

20 THE COURT: That is all. Gentlemen, bearing in mind your 21 admonition, we will take a recess for 5 minutes. 22 (After recess.) 23

THE COURT: There was some little levity this morning just 24before recess, and I want to state again there is no time 25 or place in these proceedings where that is appropriate. 26 It must not be indulged in at any time. I do not wish to

- discommode the people that are interested in this trial,
- but if the matter is done again, there will be a great
- 3 reduction in the number of seats in this court room.
  4

8

25

- 5 GEORGE E. STEWART, a witness called on
- 6 behalf of the prosecution, in rebuttal, being first duly
- 7 sworn, testified as follows:
- 9 MR FREDERICKS: What is your name? A George E. Stewart.

DIRECT EXAMINATION.

- 10 Q Where do you live? A Sherman.
  11 0 What is your business? A Street car conductor.
- 11 | Q What is your business? A Street car conductor.
  12 | Q What was your business in November and December of
- 13 last year -- 1911? A Street car conductor.
- last year -- 1911? A Street car conductor.

  14 Q And on what line were you working then? A Colegrove.
- 15 Sherman.
- Q You run through El Centro? A yes sir.

  Representation of the street of
- 18 A yes sir.
  19 O New what can in the marming what time d
- Q Now, what car in the morning, what time did you leave
  Sherman for Los Angeles, what times?
- Sherman for Los Angeles, what times 21 MR ROGERS: What morning was this?
- 22 MR FREDERICKS: In November and Decembr of 1911.
- MR FREDERICKS: In November and De

two of your runs? A Yes sir.

- 23 A 5:11, 6:41 and 8:11 and 9:41.
  24 Q All right. Now, you left at 8:11 and 9:41. That was
- Q Do you know a man by the name of Frank E. Wolfe, who,

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

MR DARROW: It is not description of a man to say he was a candidate for office.

MR FREDERICKS: Yes, I think it is.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

MR FREDERICKS: Answer it. A I know him by sight.

MR FREDERICKS: part of the description, your Honor.

at that time was a candidate for the city counsel on the

MR DARROW: I object to the last part of it.

Socialist ticket?

MREREDERICKS: Answer it. A I know him by sight.

Q State whether or not he rode with you coming into Los
Angeles on either your 8:11 trip or your 9:41 trip during
the month of November -- during these months prior to the
election, prior to the 5th of December, 1911? A Yes sir.

MR BARROW: Wait a moment. We object to that as incompe-

election, prior to the 5th of December, 1911? A yes sir.

MR BARROW: Wait a moment. We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial, and not rebuttal, and not being of any certainty that could be evidence in any case, collateral to any issue. I would like to have the answer stricken out.

THE COURT: Strike it out for the purpose of the objection, if he answered it. I didn't hear it. Objection overruled.

MR DARROW: Exception.

MRIARROW: Exception.

MR FREDERICKS: The answer is restored?

THE COURT: yes.

MR FREDERICKS: Now, Mr Stewart, how often did he ride with you during the month -- during the month prior to election, about how many times a week did he ride with you on the

8:11 and the 9:41 car?

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

5 tion.
6 THE COURT: I think that is right, if I remember it cor-

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

rectly.

do.

MR FREDERICKS: I do not think we have to ask the witness if he knows a thing.

THE COURT: I am sorry to have to differ with you, but I

MR FREDERICKS: All right.

MR APPEL: There is another thing-THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

you during that time on the 8:11 and 9:41 trip"?

MR ROGERS: On the same day, on the 8:11 and 9:41, that

19 MR FREDERICKS: Yes, or the 9:41 and the 9:41, the same 20 thing, one does not destroy it, I think the question is

question destroys itself.

THE COURT: I suppose that refers to the month prior to the election?

perfectly intelligible, at least, to me.

MR FREDERICKS: yes sir.

MRAPPEL: Your Honor, here is the idea, if you will per-26 mit me: Mr Wolfe was on the stand; he is a witness, he

the further ground it is leading and suggestive, and on

if he knows. There is no foundation laid for this ques-

the further ground you must lay the foundation first asking

MR FREDERICKS: I asked the witness, "Did he ever ride with

testified to a certain date and how he came on that date.

1

2

3

10

11

12

23

24

25

26

Now, on cross-examination, of course, they had the right to go into the question of why it was he remembered on that

date, and in a general way, asked him as to what his habits
were of coming in on the days before, and days after that,
for the purpose of testing his recollection, and testing the

for the purpose of testing his recollection, and testing the
accuracy of his testimony, but when you undertake to impeach
a witness and you want to prove certain facts and circumstances which directly impeach him you must call his at-

stances which directly impeach him, you must call his attention to those things first. They should have said to himk isn't it a fact that either -- in order to prove -- THE COURT: I do not think this is an impeaching question.

13 MR APPEL: It is not rebuttal, then, your Honor. You must
14 lay the foundation.
15 THE COURT: Yes, I think it is rebuttal. Answer the ques16 tion.
17 MRIARROW: Just one minute. We want to put in the objec-

tion on the same ground sas stated to the last question.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

MR DARROW: Exception.

THE COURT: Do you vant the question read? A yes

sir.

(Last question read.)

A yes sir.

A JUROR: A little louder, please. A Yes sir.

MR FREDERICKS: Do you know how often he rode with you,

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

```
1
    Q Once or twice a week. And how often did he ride with
2
    you on the -- and how was that divided between the 8:11 and
3
    the 9:41 trip? A Well, as near as I can remember that it
4
     is about even.
5
    Q.
      About even? A yes, sir.
6
       Now, what time does that 8:11 car pass El Centro street?
7
       About 8:20.
8
    Q And what time does it come to Los Angeles? A 8:50.
9
    Q And your 9:41 car, what time does that pass El Centro
10
    station? A About 9:50.
11
    Q And what time does it get to los Angeles? A 10:20.
12
    Q And you say he rode on that about as often as he rode
13
    on the 8:20, is that correct?
14
    MR. ROGERS. I object to that as hading.
15
    MR. FREDERICKS. All right, he said it. Withdraw it.
16
    MR . APPEL. He did not state it.
17
    MR . FREDERICKS If there is any question--
18
    MR. DARROW. He has testified already, anyway.
19
    MR . FREDERICKS. Yes, all right. That is all.
20
21
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION.
22
    MR . DARROW. Q Where are you running now? A Van Nuys
23
    line.
24
    Q How long have you been running that? A Since the latter
25
    part of February.
26
    MR . DARROW . How long? Read that.
```

1 (Last answer read.)

15

26

A yes, sir.

- 2 Q Did you run on this other line up to that time? A Well, 3 yes.
- 4 Q The same run? A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q The same run? A Wes, sir.
- 6 Q How long were you having that run? A I am not sure
- 7 about that, I think it was about 8 or 9 months.
- 8 Q When did you begin the other run through El Centro?
- 9 A About May of last year, 1 am not sure about that.
- 10 Q Not sure? A No, along about that time.
- 11 Q Did you run anywhere before that? A yes, sir.
- 12 Q Where? A Hollywood line, Hollywood-Venice.
- 13 Q How long have you been running street cars? A About
- 14 4 years.
- sight, is all, he was pointed out to me.

Q How well do you know Frank Wolff? A I know him by

- 17 Q All you have done is to take his tickets and take his
- money, and somebody told you who he was? A Yes. sir.
- 19 Q Is that right? A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q Now, was there any difference in the hours of his rides
- 21 at different months? A No, not that 1 know of.
- 22 Q You have not any idea of any difference from one month
- 23 to another, is that right? A Yes, sir.
- 24 As far as you know, when he rode in February or Jan-
- 25 uary, he rode the same times as he did other times \$

Q You have nothing to fix in your mind as to when he rode or when he did not ride? A Only those two trips, that is all.

Q I mean the dates on which he rode and the dates on which he did not ride? A I dentite

1

2

3

4

17

26

Q

- he did not ride? A I don't-
  Q I want you to understand me, when I ask you a question,

  so that if you don't understand it let me state it again.

  Is there anything to fix in your mind on what date he
- rode? A What date?

  Q yes. A No, sir, not any particular date.

  Uls there anything to fix in your mind any particular regularity of his riding on your 8:11 car? A Only that
- he was a late rider, a late traveler, he didn't come in any
  earlier, and I don't remember seeing him any later.

  Q When was your car before 8:11? A 6:41.

  Q You mean he didn't come in at 6:41? A I don't remember
- of him coming in at that time.

  Q That is all you mean, when he would take any of your

  cars the first one you would see him on would be the 8:11?

  A Yes, sir.
- Q And you remember it. You would swear he never went in on the 6:41, would you? A He might have went in there one time.

  Q Weuld you swear to any time he went onthe 8:11 car?

Not any particular date, no.

- at all, have you? A Only all that he went in on those two trips.
- Q That you have seen him on each of these cars? A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q But that is all, isnetit? A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q The 8:11 car, is that a crowded car or otherwise?
- 7 A Pretty crowded.

2

8

15

16

17

18

26

- 9 habit of coming once or twice a week on the 8:11 car?
- 10 A Yes, sir.

  11 Q In November last? A Well, I couldn't say as to that

And do you know anybody except Frank Wolff who was in the

- date.

  Q Can you swear to anybody that was in the habit of going once or twice a week? A Yes, sir.
  - Q Last November? A Yes, sir.

    Q Who? A Well, there is Brown, a fellow by the name of
  - Brown, a bartender over here, who went to work about that time.
- Q Just a minute. This Brown lived near you? A No, sire Q What? A No, sire
- Q Did he always come on the 8:11? A Not always, no.
- Q How often? A Well, some weeks he would ride every day
  and some weeks he would not ride at all.
- $\mathbb{Q}_{24}$   $\mathbb{Q}_{25}$  Sometimes he would ride every day and sometimes he would not ride at all for a week? A Yes, sir.

Q Or more than a week? A Well, about a week.

```
1
       And you would not swear that he rode every day in any
  2
     week, would you, that is just your impression? A Yes, sir.
  3
     A JUROR. A little louder, please.
  4
     MR. DARROW. Q Do you know of anybody whom you could say
  5
     rode either once or twice a week in November on the 8:11
  6
            A Well, yes, there were quite a few regular
     train?
  7
     riders there, left Sherman.
  8
     Q What I say is, anybody who rode once a week or who rode
  9
     twice a week, do you know of any such person, can you
 10
     give me the name of any such person in November? A No, I
 11
     cannot.
p 12
     Q or three times a week? A No, I cannot recall any right
 13
     now .
 14
        Can you in October? A No, sir.
 15
        January, getting closer now, can you in January?
 16
     A No, sir.
 17
        February? A No. sir.
 18
        And you left in February? A Yes, sir.
 19
        Can yougive the name of anybody and tell when they rode?
 20
        Well, I couldn't give the name of passengers that are
 21
     on the car, that is pretty hard thing to do, I could tell
 22
     them by sight if I would see them, I would know them;
 23
     don't know their names, I don't ask them their rames.
 24
     Q Any of your neighbors ride with you? A No, sir.
 25
        Anybody you are well acquainted with ride with you,
 26
     ever? A Oh, yes.
```

Q Who? A My wife rides with me.

1

2

16

21

- Q When did she ride with you last? A Two weeks ago
- Sunday.
- Q When before that? A A week before that.

  Q What day of the week? A Sunday.
- Q When before that? A Oh, it must have been a couple of
- months before that.

  Q Do you know whether it was a couple of months? A yes,
  sir.
- Q On another Sunday? A No, I can't swear to the day.
- Q can you swear what day of the week it was? A No, sir.

  Q Where did she go? A Went to Van Nuys.
- MR. KEETCH. We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial, not proper cross-examination.
- MR. KEETCH. I think as a test of memory he has covered that sufficiently.
- MR · DARROW · Have I shown he has not one or has?

  19
  MR · KEETCH · A matter of four of five months ·
- THE COURT. Objection overruled.

THE COURT Objection overruled.

- MR. DARROW Q Do you know whether she rode with you in November? A Yes.
- Q When, what date in November? A I don, t know the date,
- 1 know she went to town, though.

How many times? A I would say two or three times.

Q Do you know which? A No, sir, I do not.

4

5

6

7

9

13

15

16

21

22

26

ପୁ

- or the days of the week? A No.
- 2 0
- Or which car she went on? A She went in on the 9:41. 3
  - Q You know she ordinarily would not get up and get around in time for the 8:11, that is the way you know that?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Do you know anybody else but your wife, acquaintances
  - of yours who ever rode with you? A No, I do not.
- 8 Well, you had acquaintances ride with you, didn't you? ପ୍
- Yes, sir; I don't know their names. Α 10
- Haven't you got acquaintances that ever ride with you? 11 A I couldn't quite tell their names.
- 12 Q. 1s there anybody that you know out there? A Yes.
- Is Frank Wolff the only person whose name you know? 14 I would not have known him if he had not been pointed
  - out to me.
- 17 Q Don't you remember now --
- 18
- MR · FREDERICKS · Wait a while, you asked him a question 19 like that, he is thinking.
- 20 THE COURT. Yes, let him take his time.

Who pointed him out? A (No response.)

- MR. DAPROW. All right, take all the time you want.
- Rosenberg, Dick Rosenberg, I think is his name.
- 23 When? A Along about the middle of November.
- 24 Q What time in November? A About the middle of November, 25
  - the 10th.
  - Who is Rosenberg? A He is an operator of a moving scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

picture machine. Q And sometime in November is the first time you knew Frank Wolff by sight even? A Yes sir. Q When in November? MR · FREDERICKS · Wait a moment, the witness started to say something. THE COURT. Have you finished? A Yes, sir, I have finished. DARROW. Q When in November? A Well, it seems to me MR about the middle of it. But you don't know that, do you? You couldn't know it? A I know it was about that time. Q Would you say it was the middle of November? A Well, about the middle of November. Q Might have been the first or last, mightn't it? A I judge not. MR. FORD. We object upon the ground it has been fully answered. THE COURT. Overruled.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

26

- MR. DARROW. Q You mean about the 15th? A Yes, sir.

  Q And did anybody else point him out ever? A Well, he

  passed his cards around, his photograph was on the cards,

  his lithograph.
  - Q Did anybody else point him out to you at any time?
  - A No, I think not.

    Q You think you would have known him from his photograph?

A Whym yes.

1

2

3

14

16

24

- ରୁ Did he have a mustache? A No. sir.
- Q. In November? A No. sir. 4
- You can't be mistaken about that? A No. Q.
- 5 Well at that time in November, then, was the first time Q.
- 6
- anybody had told you that was Frank Wolff? A yes, sir. 7
- 8 since? A No. sir .
- 9 Q Now, to come back a minute: Is there anybody else on that
- 10 line when you was running that line, with whom you had a 11
- speaking acquaintance? A Yes sir. 12 I mean passengers? A yes, sir.
- 13 I mean passengers, who? A Well, I don, t know their names.

Anybody ever tell you since; point him out to you

- 15 Q Arybody whose name you ever heard? A Yes, I have heard
- the names. 17

No. I don't know any names.

Who? A I can't recall them. <u>۾</u> 18

Well, ocassionally.

- Can you recall any name? A No. sir.
- 19 ପ୍ Your neighbors used to ride with you didn,t they?
- 20 A
- 21 Q. pon, t you know your neighbors? A Oh, yes.
- 22
- Who are they? What were they at that time? 23
  - MR \* FORD. To catalogue his neighbors? I object to that
  - as irrelevant and immaterial.
- 25 MR . DARROW\_ I didn't say catalogue his neighbors. 26
  - MR . FORD. You said do you know their names.

THE COURT \_ Objection overruled.

A They lived in Sherman, quite a number of them.

MR . DARROW . Q Well. who?

MR • FORD • Objected to upon the further ground that the city directory of Sherman would be better evidence—the best evidence.

THECOURT . This is to test memory.

MR · DARROW · Q Can't you tell me the name of one of your neighbors? A Sure.

Q Who lives next door to you? A The Goodings.

Q Let's take Gooding. Did he ever ride on your car?

A Mrs. Gooding.

- 1 Did she ever ride on your car? A yes.
- 2 How often? A Oh, three or four times a week, some-
- 3 times.
- 4 In what month? A All the time that I had that run.
- 5 Would you swear that Mrs Gooding rode with you three
- 6 or four times a week? A Yes sir.
- 7 Did Mr Gooding ever ride with you? A He was my motor-
- 8 man.

26

- 9 Q You didn't have to take any fare from Mrs Gooding?
- Oh, yes; supposed to.
- 11 MR FREDERICKS: Objected to as immaterial.
- 12 MR DARROW: That is right.
- 13 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 14 MR DARROW: Who is the next door neighbor beyond Goodings?
- 15 A Cheatam and Hart.
- 16 Cheatum belong to the company or work for the company,
- 17 I mean? A yes.
- 18 Did you have any neighbors that didn't work for the
- 19 company? A No; it is pretty hard to get neighbors out
- 20 there that don't work for the company.
- 21 Have you got any neighbors that were not working for
- 22 that company? A No.
- 23 And you didn't know anybody that was not working for
- 24 the company? A Oh, yes; I knew people that were not
- 25 working for the company.
  - But you can't tell the name of one; is that right?

- Yes. I can tell the name of them.
- 2 Who? A Martin. Q

5

13

18

20

- Did he ride with you? A yes. 3
- When? A Well, he was not a regular rider there; he 4
- How often? A About once a month. 6
- 7 Would you swear it was once a month? A Well, take
- it the whole year round. 8

rode once in a while.

- 9 You don't know ho w often he rode, do you?
- 10 MR FREDERICKS: Now, may it please the court, the witness
- 11 said he did.
- 12
- MRDARROW: I am asking him. A He rode about once a month.
- That is the best of your judgment looking back there, is 14 it? A Yes sir.
- 15 Q That he probably rode about once a month; is that right?
- 16 A Yes sir.
- 17 Q And you wouldn't remember any particular time he rode,
- 19 He runa store over there in Sherman, and lived in Colegrove.
- Go over there to open once in a while on my car when he 21 would miss some other car.
- 22 Once in a while he would go over on your car or some
- 23 other car to open his store? A When he missed his car. 24 You don't know when or how often? A probably once a

would you? A Well, he came over there once in a while.

- 25 month.
- 26 You don:t know when, do you? MR FREDERICKS: The witness is giving his bestonjutogment BRARY

- I think that is answered.
- 2 MR DARROW: Let it go at that. That man, you were well
- 3 acquainted with? A yes sir.
- 4 Talk with him and he with you? A Yes sir. Q
- 5 And you don't recall any other man you know not connect-
- 6
- ed with the company that ever rode with you? A This
  - 7Rosenberg, yes.

9

13

15

19

21

- 8
- Did he live near you? A No, he lived in Sherman some-

where.

- 10 Was he in the habit of riding with you? A Well, he Q.
- 11 would ride probably once or twice a week.
- 12 Do you know which; once or twice? A No, once or twice. Q

You wouldn't pretend to say that, would you? A No,

- 14 not definite.
- Q Where are you running now? A Van Muys line. 16 Got anybody that rides once a week? A yes.
- 17 Who is it that rides once a week? A Well, we got
- 18 regular riders over there. It is pretty hard to say, and
- pick out that just goes out once a week.
- 20 Who is rides once a week?
- MR FREDERICKS: We object to the question and maintain it 22 is not fair. Testing the memory -- it requires a witness
- 23 not only to dig up the name and description of some person
- 24 and then add to that the number of times he comes in.
- 25 If counsel knew somebody that came in from there and asked 26 himhhor many times that man came in during the month, it

would be a fair comparison with his memory, but it simply

1

9

18

Q -

- 2 doubles that proposition now to make him dig out the man. 3
- THE COURT: Well, the weight is for the jury. Objection over 4 ruled.
- 5 MRIARROW: Who do you say rides once a week ? A Fred
- Weddington. 6 7 Does he ride just once a week? A Well, just about that
- 8 Do you know whether it is once or twice or once in two
- 10 times once.

weeks? A Some weeks he rides two or three times; some

- 11 Q And sometimes not at all? A No. he always rides. 12 Some weeks two or three times, and some once? A Yes.
- 13 And when was the last week that he rode with you just 14 once? A He rode with me Sunday.
- 15 0 That is the last time he rode? A Yes sir. 16

When was the last time before that? A Wednesday of

17 last week.

When before that? A A week ago Sunday.

- 19 Was he on some excursion? A No.
- 20 Going to the beach? A Probably going to the beach.
- 21 And that is just last week and the week before, isn't
- 22 it? A yes sir.
- 23 ପ୍ Now, do you remember -- you are talking with reference 24
- to Frank Wolfe's riding; the general custom? 25 MR FREDERICKS: Objected to as argumentative.
- 26 THE COURT: Objection sustained.

MR DARROW: Who saw you in reference to coming here in this

case? A I don't know who they were.

3

4 When? A About July 28th.

5

Somebody that was riding on the car? A

6

Where did they see you? A At the Hill street station.

No sir.

Haven't you any idea who it was? A Well, I suppose

Had you no idea who saw you or where they came from?

I had an idea they came from. They had a note from the

They had an interview? A Yes.

And don't you know who the man or men were? A No sir. And you didn't ask them who they were? A No, they had

a note from the Superintendent.

Was there one or two or more? A Two.

And what did they say to you? A They asked me if they

What else? A And if he rode on my car ever.

And what else? A And what trips they were.

And how often? A yes sir.

Asked you for any particular date? A Yes, I think

What day? A I think that was November 28th they were asking about most particularly.

What did you tell them about November 28th? scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

A Couldn't

26 Q

Where? A On the car.

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0

Q

0

Q.

Q.

Q

Q

Q

they did.

they had a right --

Superint endent.

knew Frank Wolfe.

swear to it what day it was.

July 31st.

1

9

15

24

yes.

Α

- $\mathbf{2}$ When did you see anybody again in connection with it? 3
- 4 Q. Whereabouts? A In the Hall of Records.
- 5
- Who did you see there? A I don't know thegentleman's
- 6 name, who I seen.
- 7District Attorney's office? A District Attorney's Q
- 8 office.

You don't know who it was? A I don't know his name.

- 10 no sir.
- 11 Q Talk with you? A Yes sir.
- 12
- Q What did he say to you? A He asked me about the same 13
- line of questions. 14 He asked you whether you knew Frank Wolfe and whether
- he rode on your car, and whether he rode on your car on 16 the 28th; is that right? A Yes sir.
- 17 And the same questions were asked before? A Yes sir.
- 18 You haven't any idea who that was? A I have an idea. 19
- 20
- Q Well, who? A The gentleman sitting right there.
- 21 MR FREDERICKS: Referring to Mr Keetch.
- 22 MR DARROW: You mean you only have an idea? Was it Mr
- 23 Keetch? A I told you, yes sir.
- 25 you have an idea, and he said yes.

MR FREDERICKS: I submit the question was not fair: and

26 MR DARROW: Perhaps counsel is right. I understood him to answer differently before.

THE COURT: I don't think Mr Darrow understood the answer. I didn't.

1

 $^2$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

18

19

20

25

26

Q

Q

Q

Q.

MR FREDERICKS: I don't think it is important enough to

take up the time. MR DARROW: I don't, either. And he asked you the same

questions? A yes sir. When did you see anybody there again? A Yesterday. Q

Who did you see then? A I don't know his name. Q That was not Mr. weetch, I take it? A No. I seen him

11 passing through the hall. 12 In the District Attorney's office you saw him? A Yes Q. 13 sir.

In the passage or outside office or private room?

15 Out in the lobby, and in a private room. Α 16 Did he ask you the same questions? A Didn't ask me Q. 17

any questions. Did they take down a written statement from you the other times? A yes sir. All three times? A No, not all three times; twice.

21 How many times? A Twice. Q 22 You told them the same story at each time? A I tried ð. 23 tok yes. 24

And you couldn't give them any dates at any time, of course? A Not as to November 28th. You couldn't give them any dates, could you?

1 didn't.

9

15

17

24

- 2 And you would not pretend to? A No.
- 3 Was Ruff with you when a man saw you at the tarn?
- 4 Α No.
- 5 You know him, don't you? A Yes: I think you are
- 6 speaking of Conductor Ruff.
- 7 Q Yes sir. A Yes sir.
- 8 Was he with you in the District Attorney's office?

Yes sir.

- 10 When Mr Keetch was talking with you? A No, not at 11
- that time. He was there yesterday. 12 By the way, do you know who your motorman was in
- 13
  - November? A J. W. Gooding.
- 14 And is he still your motorman? A Yes sir.
- Did you ever see me on your car? A I couldn't say as 16 I did.
- Q You wouldn't swear you did not, would you? A No. 18
  - MR DARROW: That is all.
- 19
- 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 MR FRELERICKS: You have been a street car man for a
- 22 number of years, you said -- I withdraw that and start
- 23 something else. You say that it was along about the
- middle of November that Mr Rosenberg pointed out Mr Ruff 25 to you and gave you one of his cards? A He didn't give

26 me one of his cards. I don't know as one of his cards was scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 handed directly to me. I seen them on the car with his name
- 2 on.

15

16

- 3 That is right. Now, you had noticed this same man,
- 4 Mr Wolfe, on your car before that time, but you didn't
- 5 know what his name was; is that the idea?
- 6 MR APPEL: That is leading.
- 7 MR FREDERICKS: It is leading, but it seems to me so harm-
- 8 less. Simply clears up a matter.

Q Sometime before?

- 9 MR APPEL: It is repetition of these harmless matters.
- 10 MR FREDERICKS: I will withdrawit. I will get just what
- I am after, but it will take longer. 12 Before you were told who Mr Rolfe was, state whether or
- 13 not you had seen him on your car before this time when
- 14 Rosenberg told you who he was? A yes sir.
  - MR DARROW: That is leading, too. You might ask him how
- 17 long.
- 18 MR BREDERICKS: I don't care enough about it. You have ob-19

served the habit of those who go out to seek for office,

forth, on street car men a few days -- a few weeks before

- 20
- endeavoring to impress their business and name, and so 21
- 22 election, haven't you?
- 23 MR ROGERS: Are we getting some personal experiences?
- 24
- MR FREDERICKS: Yes, a little bit. 25
- MR APPEL: It all depends on what kind of a politician 26 the man is . He might be carpet-bagger, and some are more

MR FREDERICKS: That is all.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

Do you remember the first time you ever saw MR DARROW:

Mr Wolfe on your car? A I didn't get the question.

Do you remember the first time you ever saw Mr Wolfe

on your car? A No, I don't.

Q.

Do you remember when? A Well, it was along last fall

sometime. I didn t have any particular reason to notice

any one man.

You don't remember that you ever saw him until last fall on your car? A Not until he was pointed out to me.

1 Q You don't remember ever seeing him until he was pointed 2 out the middle of November, you say you don't remember ever 3 seeing him on your car until he was pointed out on your 4 car? A I had seen him. 5 Q Well, when was the first time you ever saw him, do 6 you know that, before he was pointed out? When was the 7 first time you ever saw him riding on any of your cars? 8 A Well, I guess about -- I remember seeing him about along --9 about a month before he was pointed out. 10 Q Now, the first time that you remember ever seeing him 11 was about a month before he was pointed out? A Yes, sir. 12 Q How long had you been running there? A At that time 13 about 4 or 5 months. 14 You had been running 4 or 5 months before you saw him? 15 A To know him, yes. 16 Q. Before you can say you ever say him? A yes. 17 Q You know he had been living out there about 8 years, 18 do you? 19 MR . FORD Objected to as irrelevant and immaterial . 20 MR . DARROW. Q You don't know? Have no idea how long he 21 had been living there? 22 MR · FORD. Objected to as incompetent, irrelevant and 23 immaterial. 24 THE COURT. overruled.

MR . DARROW .Q How many -- hage you any knowledge as to how

long Mr. Wolff has lived out there? A No. sir.

25

26

remember just when I took that run. 

Yes? A Yes.

MR . DARROW . That is all.

MR . FREDERICKS . That is all.

Q Now, didn't you say you went out there-began working

That is more than 4 months before November? A Yes, sir.

Anyway, you had been since May. You remember it was the

first or middle or last of May? A No, 1 don't. I don't

there in May? A Began working there on that run?

(Jury admonished. Recess until 2 P.M.)