B. N. Smith, Official Reporter.

1	١			August	lßt,	1912.	2	o'clock	P.M.
---	---	--	--	--------	------	-------	---	---------	------

Defendant in court with counsel.

3

4

2

CLARFNCE DARROW ON THE STAND FOR FURTHER

## 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 6 THE COURT: Proceed, if you are ready, gentlemen.
- 7 MR FORD: Mr Darrow, the order drawing the jurors who were
- 8 to appear in court on Tuesday morning, was made on Sat-
- 9 urday, November 25th; is that your recollection? A That
- 10 | is my recollection.
- 11 | Q Do you not recall that the court -- do you wish to see
- 12 the record? A No; go ahead.
- 13 Q At the time the order was made also made the follow-
- 14 ing order, appearing on page 293.
- 15 MR ROGERS: Wait a moment. That is not the way to intro-
- 16 duce the record.
- 17 MR FORD: The record has already been introduced, Mr
- 18 Rogers. Recall that the court also made the following
- 19 order: (Reading:) "It was further ordered that the per-
- 20 sons whose names were drawn as aforesaid, appear and at-
- 21 tend at this court in Department 9 thereof on Tuesday.
- 22 the 28th day of November, 1911, at 9 o'clock of the fore-
- 23 noon of said day." A I don't recall it, but it is
- 24 probably true.
- 25 Q You were not there at 9 o'clock. A Scarcely
- 26 anybody comes into court at 9 o'clock, even if the order

- 1 is made.
- 2 Q You were not there at 9 o'clock that morning? A I
- don't think I was there that early; probaboy about 10 min-
- 4 utes past.
- Q About 10 minutes past? A Now, probably. I wouldn't
- 6 say exactly, Mr Ford.
- 7 Q You wanted to be there at the drawing, and got there
- 8 as quickly as you could?
- 9 MR ROGERS: That is not the drawing; that is the return.
- 10 MR FORD: Counsel is correct. You wanted to be there during
- 11 the time that the jurors were being qualified by the court,
- 12 as quickly as you could? A Mr Ford, no lawyer, especially
- 13 if he has associates, ever thinks he has got to be there
- 14 immediately, but I don't know that any such thing was in my
- Limit out to buy a work of later of the butter of butter of the butter o
- $^{15}$  mind. My business always was to be present at such times.
- 16 Q It was your general practice to be present, however,
- 17 personally, whenever the jurors were being qualified by
- 18 the court? A Mygeneral practice was to be there all the
- 19 time, whatever was going on, Mr Ford, but I was sometimes
- 20 late, and never felt I had to be there at any particular
- 21 minute.
- 22 Q You testified this morning that you considered it
- 23 important that you do be present at such times? A I
- 24 considered it to be important to be present every time.
- 25 Q And yet, although the jurors were to be present in
- 26 court that morning at 9 o'clock, for the purpose of being

- examined, you started to go to the Socialist headquarters 1
- 2 to talk to Job Harriman.
- MR ROGERS: That is objected to as leading and sugges-3
- tive; argumentative and not cross-examination, argumenta-4
- tive, especially. 5
- THE COURT: The objection on the ground it is argumen-6
- tative, is sustained. 7
- JUROR WILLIAMS: May I ask a question? 8
- THE COURT: Proceed. Mr Williams; you may. 9
- JRUROR WILLIAMS: What was the first business to be 10
- transacted that morning? A The court calls up --11
- there were 50 jurors, as I recall it, drawn, and his cus-12
- tom vas to call in about 10 or 15 who would stand here by 13
- the rail, and ask them to present any excuses they might 14 have for not serving -- for instance, they were too old,
- if they were probably old or deaf or not naturalized, or 16
- something else; that was the business; not examination by 17
- 18 lawyers. .
- JUROR WILLIAMS: And then the next 10 or 12? A Then the 19
- next 10 or 12 until the whole panel were passed over and 20
- such excused as the court knew could not serve in any 21
- event, so as to save the time of the court in examining by 22
- 23 lawyers.

- And was that work done entirely by the Judge? A Coun 24
- sel never had a chance to ask any questions at that time. 25
- It generally took about half a day, where there were 50. 26

- 1 JUROR WILLIAMS: That is all.
- 2 MR FORD: You stated on direct examination, Mr Darrow,
- 3 that you were the author of various books? A yes,
- 4 amongst the rest, that pamphlet which you have there.
- 5 "Crime and Criminals"? A Yes sir. That is, that
- is the stenographer's copy of an address that I deliver-6
- 7 ed off-hand in the county jail to the prisoners.
- 8 You have read it since? A I have.
- 9 And found it correctly expressing your ideas?
- MR ROCERS: Objected to as not cross-examination, incompe-10
- 11 tent, irrelevant and immaterial. Now, I will say this to
- 12 counsel, I will enter a stipulation right now.
- 13 Darrow's philosophy or views on general socialogical
- 14 and ethical subjects are matters of consideration here
- and they will put in Mr Darrow's books, I will sent a 15
- 16
- 17 every book he ever wrote, at their leisure. I don't
- 18 believe that they can take isolated sentences or a part
- 19 of a little book, but if counsel will allow me to put in

copy -- three or four copies in and let the jury read

- 20 Mr Darrow's books, I will put them all in in a very mo-
- 21 ments without the slightest difficulty.
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

12

- ₩R. FORD. There is no objection before the court.
- 2
- MR. ROGERS. Object to it as not cross-examination.
- 3 MR. FREDERICKS. If the court please, the forepart of this 4 witness's examination he was permitted to testify as' the
- 5 books he had written and to his business capacity and the
- 6 idea of giving his sentiments and ideas. He named two or
- 7 three or more books that he had written -- I don't remember
- 8 that he named specifically -- said he had written some essays
- 9 and said he had written a couple of novels, and it is pos-
- 10 sible he said some others. Now, Mr. Ford is asking him about
- 11 another book that he now states that he wrote and which he

did not mention at that time, and I presume is going to ask

- 13 him if he did not express certain things at that time and
- if those are not his sentiments and ideas. If the Court 14
- 15 will excuse me for not rising--
- THE COURT. Certainly. 16
- MR . FREDERICKS. If the matter was pertinent at all 17
- 18 showing Mr. Darrow's sentiments, it is pertinent now on
- cross-examination to show the rest of them. 19
- 20 MR . ROGERS. If counsel will mention --
- THE COURT. I do not understand that the direct examination 21
- went into the question of his sentiments as expressed by 22
- his writings; a mere statement of fact that he had written 23
- some books; that he had been a lawyer; that he had parti-24
- cipated in a number of features that had been more or less 25
- in the public eye, inthe public mind, but I think the objec-26

- 1 | tion it is not cross-examination is well taken.
- 2 | MR · FREDERICKS . Well, your Honor --
- 3 MR. FORD. On the morning of Mr. Franklin's arrest, Mr. Darrow,
- 4 did you entertain the following state of mind: There is
- 5 | no such thing as a crime, as the word is generally under-
- 6 stood. I do not believe there is any sort of distinction
- 7 between the real moral condition of the people in and
- 8 out of jail. One is just as good as the other. The people
- 9 here (in jail) can no more help being here than the people
- 10 outside can avoid being outside. I do not believe that
- 11 | people are in jail because they deaire to be. They are in
- 12 | jail simply because they cannot avoid it on account of
- 13 circumstances which are entitlely beyond their control, and
- 14 for which they are in no way responsible?
- 15 MR . ROGERS . Now, if your Honor please --
- 16 MR · FORD · Wait just a moment--
- 17 MR . ROCERS . No, I am going to take an exception .
- 18 MR. FORD. Q Did you not entertain--
- 19 MR. ROGERS. I am going to take an exception right here.
- 20 MR. FREDERICKS. Well, take it.
- 21 MR . ROGERS. I am going to take it. If your Honor please
- 22 your Honor just ruled they could not do that. Mr. Ford in
- the teeth of your Honor's ruling and in defiance thereof,
- 24 turned around and started to read an isolated sentence.
- 25 | I stipulated he could put in Mr. Darrow's works. Now, he
- 26 is attempting to read, and viciously and intentionally, and

in misconduct, to read that which your Honor told him was not competent. Now, what kind of law are we living under here? When your Honor rules, as I understand that is the rule we have to go by. Counsel turns around immediately and intentionally and viciously reads, and doesn't correctly read at that. Now, if your Honor please, we take an exception to that.

MR. FREDERICKS. It becomes another matter when asked

MR · FREDERICKS · It becomes another matter when asked under other circumstances and entirely different ruling undoubtedly would be made under the circumstances.

MR · FORD · Your Honor has ruled practically that we cannot offer books in evidence at this time because no foundation has been laid for their introduction, either by reason of any matter that has already been brought out on direct examination or by reason of any statements made by the witness on cross-examination · 1 am now propounding a ques-

witness on cross-examination. I am now propounding a question to this witness in which I may use material from whatever source I desire to obtain it, but I am asking him for the state of mind on Tuesday, November 28th. If he admits that state of mind I will never be able to put in any books containing those statements, because he admits that state of mind on Tuesday. If, on the contrary, hedenies he had that state of mind then I have some foundation for offering a book, and will present an entirely different situation to your Honor. It is possible I may lay the foundation for the introduction of this book. It is possible I could not, but

may be I have a right to use the book or

whatever/reason

scanned by LALAWALIBRARY

any other material in my possession for the purpose of framing a question to the witness as to his state of mind on Tuesday the 28th day of November. and that is the object of the question, to get the state of his mind on that day; if he admits that the sentiments expressed in my question correctly express his sentiments on that day, that is the end of the book, if I am using a book--and I can state frankly that I am in framing my question -- however, I am not asking him if he wrote this book, I am not asking him if he delivered such sentiments on another occasion before the county jail of Chicago or some other place, I could not under your Honor's ruling, I am not --THE COURT. Mr. Ford, your explanation clears up one thing, that you understood the court to sustain the objection to your last question upon the ground that no foundation was laid. Such was not the ruling of the court, however, the objection was sustained upon the ground that it was not cross-examination, so your laying the foundation will not affect the matter in any way, shape or form.

- MR FORD: I am not laying the foundation for the introduc-1 2
- tion of the book.

- 3 THE COURT: I do not see how you can expect to show the 4
- state of mind on the 28th day of November by lectures or
- 5 addresses or books of previous expressions of opinions
- 6 upon matters not connected with that case; if they are
- instances relating to or connecting that particular case, 8 they are pertinent, but you cannot wander so far afield.
- 9 MR FORD: The point is that I put to the witness on the
- 10 stand this question: "Do you believe, and did you believe
- 11 on November 28th, that a manshould be punished merely be-
- 12 cause he has broken some law and he should answer . "I
- 13
- do not"; I have then a right to follow it up. "Do you not
- believe and did you not then believe that it being wrong
- 15 to punish a man for violating the statute law, that the
- 16 state is doing wrong in attempting to put people in jail
- 17 for breaking the laws, is it not, and was it not, on Novem-
- 18 ber 28th, your opinion that you, as an attorney, had a
- 19 right to do anything you saw fit to do, that would pre-
- 20 vent the law from punishing a man, did you not think you
- 21 had the right to violate that law yourself if it was nec-
- 22 essary; wouldn't the ends justify whatever means that
- 23 you saw fit to employ, wouldn't your duty be, under your
- 24 peculiar opinions, to prevent the state from punishing
- 25 the individual; isn't your opinion that the individual is
- 26 not responsible for his actions, but that society is

- responsible; that they can no more help being in jail
- 2 than they can being out of jail; that the place where they
- 3 happened to be is one over which they have no control
- 4 and is one in which the circumstances of society force
- 5 them, and it is wrong to punish a man, to hold him respon-
- 6 sible for more than his capacity calls for?"
- 7 THE COURT: I see your point, Mr Ford, but I do not think
- 8 it would be proper cross-examination to go into the ques-
- 9 tion of the abstract view of a defendant, or that the de-
- 10 fendant might or might not have held upon a given sub-
- 11 ject as cross-examination responsive to the direct examina-
- 12 | tion brought out here.
- 13 MR ROGERS: In reply to counsel --
- 14 MR FORD: The court has ruled --
- 15 MR ROGERS: -- I am going to reply to your absolutely
- incorrect and knowingly and intentionally representing
- 17 the defendant --
- 18 MR FORD: Now, if your Honor please --
- 19 MR ROGERS: If your Honor pleases, the abstract proposi-
- 20 tion as to criminal responsibility has been a considera-
- 21 tion of philosophers for a long time. I presume I have
- 22 a shelf at home filled with books --
- 23 MR FORD: We will accept the stipulation -- we will ac-
- 24 cept the stipulation to affer this book in evidence.
- 25 MR ROGERS: You offer all his books?
- 26 MR FREDERICKS: All right; offer every one of them.

MR FREDERICKS: Everyone of them.

Farmington --

- 3 MR ROGERS: And the jury may read them at their leisure.

- THE COURT: What is this stipulation? 4
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: That all of the books written by Clarence
- 6 Darrow may be admitted in evidence.
- 7 THE COURT: It is admitted and stipulated that the jury is
- 8 to have these books?

MR ROGERS:

1

2

16

20

- 9 MR ROGERS: Yes sir.
- 10 MR FREDERICKS: They are evidence for whatever purpose.
- 11 THE COURT: To read and take them to their room, at this
- 12 time, or at the time of deliberation?
- 13 MR FREDERICKS: Oh, no, as evidence.
- 14 THE COURT: I want to know what the stipulation is.
- 15 MR FREDERICKS: The stipulation is they are introduced
  - 17 them.

in court as evidence, and the jury is entitled to see

- 18 MR ROGERS: In view of the fact that counsel has mis-
- 19 stated, and deliberately misrepresented Mr Darrow, I want
- to complete my statement --21
- THE COURT: Mr Rogers, you cannot assume that --22
- MR ROGERS: If your Honor pleases, in view of the fact 23 whether intentional or not, he has misrepresented this
- 24 defendant --
  - 25THE COURT: That is different.
  - 26 MR FORD: Well, your Honor --
    - MR ROGERS: -- Then I propose to state this: scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 | MR FORD: My argument was addressed entirely to the court,
  - 2 | Lassumed a hypothetical state of mind.
- 3 | THE COURT: What books are now offered? State what are
- 4 the books.
- 5 MR ROGERS: If your Honor pleases, I propose -- you might
- 6 as well quit it, because I am going to say this, if the
- 7 | court does not stop me.
- 8 MR FORD: We ask the court to stop you.
- 9 MR ROGERS: If your Honor pleases he has stated here Mr
- 10 Darrow has by hypothesis and inuendo entertained certain
- 11 views --
- 12 | MR FORD: I will want to --
- 13 | THE COURT: I assume counsel has some proper statement
- 14 he wants to make, an assignment of error or something of
- 15 | the kind.
- 16 MR FORD: pe interrupted me in the middle of a question be-
- 17 fore I had completed my question, your Honor. Your Honor
- 18 | allowed him to interrupt me.
- 19 THE COURT: Mr Ford, if he hadn't interrupted you. I
- 20 | would have.
- 21 MR FORD: Very well, then, your Honor, I will ask your
- 22 Honor to do the same with Mr Rogers making the statement
- 23 here as to what the state of mind of this defendant is,
- 24 as a matter of fact, before the jury;
- 25

1 he is not under oath and cannot testify and even if he were 2 under oath he could not testify what Mr. Darrow's state of 3 mind was, it would be a mere conclusion on his part as to what 4 his state of mind was. My remarks to the court are not 5 any evidence in this case and counsel has no right to chal-6 lenge the correctness of my remarks to this court for the 7 purpose of addressing the jury. If your Honor desires 8 to hear from Mr. Rogers on that point, then in order that 9 the minds of the jury may not get confused and accept the 10 statements of Mr. Rogers when as a matter of fact they are 11 not evidence. I would ask if the argument is to continue 12 that the jury be excused. 13 MR. ROGERS. After counsel has quit and made his statements 14 now he is too cowardly to stand here and let me make mine. 15 No. sir, that does not go. THE COURT. Wait a minute, Mr. Rogers! The court had 16 17 already stated that it was going to hear you. 18 MR . ROGERS . Very well, sir . THE COURT. And the Court has been very indulgent in hear-19 ing you, Mr. Rogers, but there is absolutely no excuse for 20 21 your remarks just now and the court will not permit you to make such a remark. You have a proper remedy; your appeals 22 23 to this court have never been in vain, and you want to be heard, but you cannot stand here and say that counsel 24on the other side is cowardly and make that kind of a remark, 25 26 it cannot go on, Mr. Rogers.

MR . ROGERS . If your Honor pleases, when I find myself 1 wrong your Honor saw me do it this morning, you wil always see me stand up and admit it. You saw me this morning, 3 when I might have stood still and taken advantage of your 4 Honor's misapprehension or condition of mind that was not 5 true, you saw me take it back. I will always do it when I 6 am wrong. Counsel has undertaken to take an unfair 7 advantage here to influence and misrepresent, and I protest 8 against it and I think I am within my rights. 9 THE COURT- Not when you--10 MR. ROGERS..--And if I am not within my rights 1 would like 11 to know where a man's rights commence. 12 THE COURT. Not when you use that word. We never will get 13 anywhere in the calm deliberation of a court of justice 14 when words of that kind are used. 15 MR . ROGERS . I am frank to say I am not accustomed to mask 16 my statements. 17 THE COURT. That word cannot be used in that way. 18 MR . ROGERS. I call your Honor's attention to--19 THE COURT. Just a moment. You have used a word that 20 cannot remain in the record without being expunged. Do 21 you wish to withdraw it? 22 MR . ROGERS. I do not, sir. I say that counsels attempte see 23 to make this statement and then to shut me off from making 24my statement is unworthy of a lawyer, unworthy of fair 25 treatment in a court room, is unworthy of -- I confess I would 26

not do it for him, if I made a statement and he wanted to 1 make a reply he certainly would have the right to do it 2 and I would not attempt, by calling attention to the fact 3 he still wanted to ask a question or anything else, to shut 4 it out. Whatever explanation can there be for it? 5 if your Honor desires to say anything to me I stand here 6 ready to take it. 7 THE COURT. Be seated, Mr. Rogers, and consider this matter 8 a little bit. I regret to take up the time on it, but it 9 is better to do it now than to let these matters go too 10 The Court felt, when the incident occurred yesterday, far. 11 that it was cleared up this morning, as the best kind of 12 an illustration of the unwisdom of allowing a hasty remark 13 escape from counsel's lips. These matters tend to 14 detract from the fairness and continuity with which the 15 case is put before the jury and I am greatly shocked that 16 counsel should use the term in a moment of excitement and 17 insist upon it at this time, particularly when the court 18 had even before objection to the word that was used, 19 indicated that when the time came he would be heard. The 20 judge of this court is in control of the situation and not 21 counsel on the other side or on the defendant's side, 22 and the weeks and months we have worked here together 23 justifies counsel in assuming that he will be heard; 24 has always been heard if there has been an occasion, when 25 counsel has urgently desired and shown a real desire to be

heard on any occasion and on any question, and if he has been shut off 1 do not at the present moment recall it.

I am going to pass the incident for the present and 1 trust before the afternoon adjournment counsel has had a chance to think the matter over, perhaps, I trust will take proper occasion himself—I have confidence in it.

We will pass the matter for the present until the afternoon adjournment, but I repeat, these things cannot and will not be permitted and the matter will be brought up again before adjournment. Now, Mr. Rogers, I will hear you.

1 MR ROGERS: If your Honor please, the interrogation of counsel, after having been told by your Honor that books 2 of Mr Darrow's would not be permitted, and after my state-3 ment that if they proposed to introduce Mr Darrow's books 4 and his philosophy as a whole. I had no objection, and it 5 might all go to the jury in order that they might under-6 7 stand this man who sits before them and his views -counsed then deliberately picked up a pamphlet and attempt-8 ed to read a few sentences therefrom indicating by his 9 argument a moment later in the presence of the jury that 10 such views were criminal, conducive to criminality, we 11 might say, indicating in his mind that there was no such 12 thing as criminality. That, if your Honor pleases, is not 13 justified by the conditions; it is not justified by the 14 fact that the defendant is a witness on the stand, and 15 that he is being cross-examined; his views upon the sub-16 ject are the views of the very best writers upon penology 17 that we read today. I venture to say I have as good a li-18 brary on the subject as exists in California, and I ven-19 ture to say not one well considered book in that library 20 takes any contrary view from that. If your Honor pleases, 21 the other day there walked into my office a little man, 22 not as tall as mytable, carrying a little cane about as 23 long as a table knife, and he sat up in a chair and his 24feet could not get to the floor. I sat and talked to  $him_{\scriptscriptstyle\parallel}$ 25 for the first time, for a few moments, and I vas astonished 26

and amazed at the marvelous intellect and wonderful capa-1 city of mind that that deformed little person showed. 2 3 I am told that he has three or four brothers and sisters who are the same; his father and mother are people of 4 ordinary size and capacity, and through some mismating --5 that we know nothing about, they have produced these dwarfs. 6 Now, if your Honor pleases, I stand here, and if it is 7 8 criminal. let it be so -- I stand here to say when a man 9 is born with a deformed brain, when a man is born with that 10 kind of short stature, and where a man is bornwith his forehead, as this man's legs were short, that there is no 11 12 such thing in the eyes of God and man as condemning that 13 man for his state of mind any more than I condemn this marvelous little person who sat there because his legs were 14 not as long as from my fingers to my elbow. Now, if 15 that is criminality -- and counsel has argued here in the 16 presence of this jury -- every well-considered philosopher 17 in the world, from Mark Twain's suppressed book "What is 18 man" which has just come on, only 300 books -- from Mark 19 Twain's book to Compte and Kant, you will find it all 20 through the philosophy of this world -- when we breed horses 21 for the purpose of form and figure and speed, and we use 22 one sire and one dam because of their qualities, how can 23 it be it is criminal for a man who thinks and who reads to 24be damned in a criminal court room where he is on trial 25 for his liberty, because he says the same things that 26

philosophers have said for generations?

Now, having answered what I believe to be a mischaracter ization of the defendant, and very briefly at that, having in mind nothing but to reply to his little argument -- we are what we are born to be, subject, of course, to the intervention of Divine Providence and the will, if sowe may have one -- but who knows whose will it is I have. I did not choose my father and my mother -- my grand parents-at all. And if they handed to me an insufficient will along with an insufficient lung, is it criminal for a man to deliver an address as I am doing now, saying that this belief, as it is the belief of every right-thinking man, is criminal? Now, counsel has stood here and denounced this man because he said men were not responsible for what their ancesters handed down to them, and I say it is misconduct, if your Honor pleases, it is the philosophy of the last 500 years. There was a time when they dragged out of court daily, and they hung for stealing small things. In the days of Charles II there were over 200 things for which they hung people. In the days of Christ, when they brought the woman to him, charging her with adultery, they said, "It is the law of Moses that she should be stoned"; in other words, that she should be killed. And what did the Master say? "Go thou and sin no more", and "Neither do I condemn you".

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

Now, I characterize that as misconduct, a condemnation of a defendant for his philosophy which is right in the eyes of every right thinking man in this country.

I stipulate, in accordance with the offer of Mr. Ford, that every book Mr. Darrow wrote, "Resisting Evil", "Farmington", "The Persian Peril" and other essays", and "An Eye for An Eye," "Crime and criminals", shall be taken to the jury room and they are at perfect liberty to read everything he wrote in thosebooks.

11 THE WITNESS. There is an essay on Tolstoi you might add to

MR. ROGERS. An essay on Tolstoi. I do not care to have

THE COURT. Those books are now introduced?

12 that.

- them put in, if your Honor pleases, just simply to have them done as is done, to pick up one isolated statement--
- them done as is done, to pick up one isolated statement—

  THE COURT. I understand the entire books are before the

  jury.
- 18 THE WITNESS. I suppose the jury are not bound to read them.
- 19 THE COURT. The jury may read so much of them as they see 20 fit.
- 21 MR FREDERICKS. And they may be referred to in argument 22 the same as any other thing that is in evidence. .
- 23 THE COURT · Anything that is introduced in evidence must
- 24 be read to the jury unless there is objection to the con-
- 25 trary, probably with the exception of Webster's Dictionary-26 ordinary documents must be read. 18 it stipulated that

these will not be read here in court before the jury but 1

that such portions may be read in argument as counsel may 2

desire but that the jury may take the entire books to their 3

room and read such portions as they may desire.

MR · ROGERS · Yes, sir ·

Mr. Hyde way.

4

5

6

7

11

20

21

22

25

26

JUROR GOLDING. You mean separately or all at once?

they retire for deliberation. 8

THE COURT. All go to the jury under the stipulation when

MR . ROGERS . They don't have to wait to bring in a verdict 9

until they read all those books. 10 MR. DARROW. May I make a suggestion?

THE COURT. Yes, sir. 12

MR . DARROW. I don't like to appear in this Dr. Jyckle and 13

14 THE COURT. Your suggestion is now made as counsel and it

15 will so appear in the record.

16 MR. DARROW. Why not just let the jury take them at this 17

time or tomorrow morning and read them at such time as they 18 see fit or not at all, as they see fit. 19

MR • FREDERICKS • If they are in evidence they are in evidence.

Now I am afraid that we don't quite agree. As I remember counsel said he would not stipulate that they might be in

evidence and not read to the jury, so if that is -- if the

23 record stands that way then we will have--24

For "read to the jury" I substitute stipula-MR . ROGERS. tion that the books may be delivered to the jury and if they

desire to read them they may. scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

MR . FREDERICKS. No, your Honor, I think if they are intro-1 duced they should follow the usual rule of other evidence, 2 that is, they are in evidence to be used in argument, and 3 all such portions as we want to, but that, of course, 4 requires the reading of them to the jury, unless counsel 5 waives that. 6 MR . ROGERS · I waive that and substitute therefor the 7 statement that the jury are at liberty to take them as they 8 retire from the box now as soon as I can get the books, and 9 let them take them and read them themselves. I will not 10 put them in evidence to be manhandled and misquoted in 11 argument, but if they can be given to the jury and let them 12 understand them and read them at their leisure, if they so 13 desire. If they do not desire they need not read them. 14 Let them go as a bulk into the jury room, now, and let these 15 jurors read them if they so desire, read any parts of 16 them that they so desire. 17 MR . FREDERICKS. We couldn't stipulate to that. 18 MR . ROGERS. We are not going to put these books in and have 19 you pick out isolated sentences here and there--20 MR . FREDERICKS . Let's withdraw them and take it up among 21 ourselves. 22 MR. ROGERS. I would rather the books go to the jury. They 23 can take them to their room and read them at their leisure, 24 any part of them. 25 MR. FORD. The law provides a time when the jury is 26

4 counsel knows that is not proper and not permitted by law. 5 Now, it is stipulated they are inevidence and they are 6 in evidence. 7 THE COURT. It is an unusual method. Perhaps if we pass 8 it for a moment counsel can agree on some method and 9 accomplish the end which seems to be desired. Just pass 10 the matter for the present. 11 MR. FORD. You don, t desire to make any stipulation with

entitled to have evidence inthe case, and that is when they

retire for deliberation. They are not allowed to take the

exhibits with them to their jury room at any other time, and

1

2

3

12 regard to this one book, "Crime and criminals"? 13 MR. DARROW. Perfectly willing to let that go with the rest. 14 THE COURT . pass the entire matter. 15 MR . ROGERS. Let that go with the rest. 16 MR. FORD. Q At noon on Tuesday November 28th, or rather 17 at 2 o'clock on Tuesday November 28, 1911, you saw Mr. Davis and he reported to you at that time what had occurred 18 19 between Franklin and himself. You gave him a check for 20 \$10,000 at that place, I believe you testified to all that

this morning? A I said there was some doubt in my mind,

ir. Ford, as to whether I had seen him before, as you

recall.

Q You wish to change it in any way now? A Not at all.

I am going to let it stand as it is. I don't think you

summed it up correctly. Before I forget it, might I correct

one statement of yesterday and explain it? scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

Q In reference to what matter? A In reference to the time I went to Washington.

Q Yes. A I just ask you now because I might not think of it again.

Q yes. A I have been thinking that over since and I believe I came to California before I came to Washington.

Mr. Gompers came to see me before I came here, at Kankakee, with the other gentlemen whose names I mentioned, while I was trying that case, and spent an evening with me. As

quick as I got through with that case I came to Los Argeles, and I went from there to Chicago and from there to Washington, and Mr. Tveitmoe was onthe same train going from San Francisco to Chicago. I am very sure that is correct.

Q You stopped off at Chicago and he went on to Washington? A Yes, and I followed a few days after and met him in Washington. Q Were you retained--that is, did you agree to defend the

McNamaras at/Kankakee conference or was it postponed until the washington conference? A No, I suppose I had fairly agreed at the Kankakeee conference. Couldn't very well come here and then enter my appearance and not go on with it, so I suppose I must have agreed with them at that time.

Q peturning to the events of Tuesday. Where did you go
Tuesday afternoon? Did you stay in court all afternoon?

A I don't remember. Perhaps that record will show.

1 Q I don't know, I will look at it. The minutes of 2 Tuesday, November 28th, show that the case was resumed: 3 "The jurors, counsel amd the defendant J B McNamara 4 present and the examination of juror Edward waskell mresumed 5 and challenge by the people for cause; said challenge 6 is resisted by the defendant. Guy L. Rockwell and 7 William C. Doane are sworn and testified on the part of the 8 people inthe examination of juror Edward Haskell. Further 9 hearing was continued to November 29, 1911, at 9 A.M." 10 The record, as you will observe, Mr. Darrow, does not say 11 at what time court convened, but on the minutes of Monday, 12 November 27th, in the case of People vs J B McNamara, 13 I read you the latter part of the record, which shows, 14 "Further hearing was continued until Tuesday November 28, 15 1911, at 2 P.M." Having read that record to you from 16 page 294 of the minutes of Judge Bordwell's court--17 MR. DEHM. If I may suggest, if you follow those minutes 18 out you will find that they examined the venire of jurors, 19 if you follow those minutes, there are other minutes of 20 that day. That probably occurred in the morning. 21 MR . FORD. The clerk has reversed them. I have read the 22 morning in already. I just wanted to refresh your recol-23 lection that on Tuesday afternoon you were in court and 24 were examining the juror Edward Haskell. Do you remember 25 the sxamination of Mr. Haskell? A yes, that refreshes my recollection, Mr. Ford. I remember Mr. Haskeell's examination 26

- 1 | very well, it was a long one.
- 2 Q There was considerable strife between you and Mr. Horton
- 3 of the District Attorney's office that afternoon over
- 4 Juror Edward Haskell? A I would not call it strife. We
- 5 always got along nicely.
- 6 Q Some contention about it? A Some contention.
- 7 Q what is, you were each fighting each other on the question
- 8 whether the Juror should remain? A I wanted to keep him
- 9 and he wanted to let him go.
- 10 Q And he asked a good many questions which you were
- 11 denouncing as improper at that time? A I am not much of
- 12 a denouncer, Mr. Ford.
- 13 | MR · APPEL · We object upon the ground --
- 14 A Probably objected to it.
- 15 | MR . APPEL. -- it is not cross-examination and immaterial.
- 16 THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 17 MR . APPEL. We except.

- A I remember the examination perfectly well, probably as
- 19 well as I do anything that occurred, probably a little
- 20 better, onaccount of some peculiar circumstances connected
- 21 with it, and I know it was very long on the part of Mr.
- Horton who took generally a long time when he wanted to
- 23 disqualify a juror, and then he called two impeaching
- witnesses whose testimony I also remember. I think that
- 24 Withesses whose sestimony I also remember 1 in Inc.
- would have taken up all the afternoon.
  - Q MR. FORD. Now, M. parrow, if you had made up your

mind on Sunday that both J J and J B McNamara were to 1 plead guilty, if you entertained the same state of mind 2 on Monday and again on Tuesday, why did you resist so 3 vigorously the attack upon the competency of Mr. waskell 4 as a juror? 5 MR . APPEL. Wait a moment, we object to that upon the ground 6 it is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial and not cross 7 examination. 8 A 1 wish you would withdraw it. 9 THE COURT . Objection overruled. 10 A On Saturday and Sunday and Monday I had no more doubt 11 about the settlement than we do about affairs in life that 12 seem settled, although nothing is settled until it is 13 finished. On Tuesday morning Mr. Franklin was arrested. 14 I didn't know how it would affect the settlemen. 15 very much afraid on Tuesday and on Wednesday and until 16 Thursday night that all our efforts would go for nothing 17 and these men might be killed and there was nothing for us 18 to do at any time except to go right along just as we had 19 always gone in the face of the newspapers and the public 20 and everybody concerned, until it was tad. 21 Q you saw Mr. Steffens on Tuesday noon, did you not, at 22 your office, the day of Franklin's arrest? 23 MR . ROGERS . Now, if your Honor please, he has asked that 24

twice inthat form .

MR . FORD . peferring to that event again.

25

26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 | Q Did he not, just after Po'clock, noon, on Tuesdayk
- 2 the 28th day of November, 1911, at your office, to you, he
- 3 and you being alone, say to you that he had just come from
- 4 the office of Meyer Lissner? A I am not certain; I think
- 5 he did.
- 6 Q And did he not at that time and place say to you that
- 7 he didn't think the arrest of Bert Franklin would have
- 8 any effect upon his people, and that the compromise could
- 9 go through? A He said he didn't think it would, but I
- 10 | could very easily see that it very likely might, as it
- 11 did.
- 12 Q When did it? A It cost J. J. five more years.
- 13 Q That is your conclusion? A Yes. Yes, that is all
- 14 | it is, too.
- 15 Q Did you not send Mr Davis back on Teesday or Wednes-
- 16 day to have a conference with Captain Fredericks in re-
- 17 gard to this matter? A Wednesday?
- 18 | Q What time on Wednes day? A You know it is clear out
- 19 of the question for me to be sure. I think it was in the
- 20 morning. It might have been at noon. I heard Mr Davis
- 21 testimony and hevas not certain, either.
- 22 Q You heard Mr Davis say, however, that the District
- 23 Attorney said it would go through? A I did.
- 24 Q And that was on Wednesday morning, November 29th?
- 25 A I think Mr Davis did not say itwas on Wednesday morn-
- 26 | ing.

- 1 A He said it was Tuesday afternoon or Wednesday morning,
- 2 did he not? A No, he did not; he said he was not sure at
- 3 | what time it was on Tuesday.
- 4 Q You knew from the District Attorney, through Mr Davis,
- 5 before Wednesday afternoon, that the arrest of Franklin
- 6 would not affect the compromise of the case, if you would
- 7 consent to let J.J. plead guilty? A Now, you are refer-
- 8 ring to Tuesday or Wednesday?
- 9 Q At any time up to Wednesday at 2 P.M. A No, I did
- 10 | not.
- 11 Q Didn't Mr Davis so report to you? A No, and he didn't
- 12 | so testify
- 13 Q Did you not on Tuesday afternoon call on Captain Fred-
- 14 ericks at his office in company with Mr Davis? A I
- 15 think not; I think it was Wednesday.
- 16 Q Wednesday morning or afternoon? A That I am not cer-
- 17 | tain.
- 18 | Q Was that not before Wednesday afternoon when you were
- 19 examining Juror Haskell? A I am not certain of that.
- 20 MR ROGERS: Wasn't the examination of Haskell on Tuesday?
- 21 MR FREDERICKS: Tuesday and Wednesday. A Better find
- 22 that now, and make sure of it. As I recall it you said it
- 23 | was on Tuesday.
- 24 MR FREDERICKS: The examination was on Tuesday afternoon
- 25 and went over until Wednesday morning.
- 26 MR ROGERS: Counsel just said on Wednesday afternoon.

- 1 MR FORD: I was incorrect. A May I tell what Mr Davis
- 2 reported to me on Wednesday when hecame back?
- 3 Q No. I will come back to that a little later.
- 4 MR ROGERS: I think, if your Honor please, the question
- 5 calls for it.
- 6 MR FORD: There is no question before --
- 7 MR ROGERS: The question calls for it. I think the witness
- 8 has a right to relate it.
- 9 MR FORD: The last question was answered and the witness
- 10 wants to know if he can't volunteer something concerning
- 11 the next day.
- 12 | THE COURT: Let's see what it is. A I think you asked
- 13 | me if Mr Ford --
- 14 | (Last question read by the reporter.)
- 15 A When Mr Davis reported to me on Wednesday --
- 16 MR FORD: That is the one I objected to, your Honor, un-
- 17 | til I reach it.
- 18 THE COURT: I asked Mr Darrow what it was, not for an
- 19 explanation of what it was, what answer it was you wanted
- 20 read? A It was the answer to Mr Ford's question preceding
- 21 that, whether Mr Davis did not report to me on Wednesday
- 22 that Mr Fredericks was willing to accept that.
- 23 | THE COURT: Do you want to go back and amplify your
- 24 answer to that question? A I wanted toadd to it.
- 25 THE COURT: I think you have a right to it.
- 26 A He also reported the same day as he testified, that

- both pleas must be entered together, and mone of us --
- 2 neither of us never knew until after a long conference on
- 3 Thursday, that we could get J. B. to plead guilty with J.J.
- 4 MR FORD. Put weren't you present with Mr Davis when he
- 4 MR FORD: But weren't you present with Mr Davis when he went? A I think not.
- 6 Q Didn't you and Mr Davis together go to the office of
- 7 Captain Fredericks on Wednesday, November 29th? A We
- 8 might have gone at one time on Wednesday, but Mr Davis
- 9 first made a report on Wednesday.
- 10 Q Did Davis visit Captain Fredericks before the time
- 11 you went in company with him? A I think so.
- 12 Q Now, at the time you went in company with Captain Fred-
- 13 ericks -- or, with Mr Davis, to Captain Fredericks' office,
- what confersation occurred at that time and place?
- 15 A The same conversation, that they must plead together.
- 16 o That give it to be in the words as near as you rem-
- Q Just give it to us in the words, as near as you rem-
- ember it. Can you remember it? A Mr Fredericks said
- that he would still put through the agreement for ten
- 19 ... The contemps for T.R. but they both must
- years, with a life sentence for J.B., but they both must
- 20 plæd together.
  - Q Well, on Wednesday, November 29th, 1911, you continued
    - the examination of the jury, did you not, Mr Darrow?
- 23 A The record shows that, does it?

22

24

- MR FREDERICKS: Yes. A Well, I did, undoubtedly, then.
- 25 MR FORD: And it was on Wednesday that the challenge for

cause of the People against Juror Edward Haskell was allow-

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 ed; is that correct? A I don't remember, Mr Ford, but I
- 2 | will assume it is, if you are reading it from the record.
- 3 Q I am reading from the record and the record so says.
- 4 A Well, I will assume that is right.
- 5 Q You remember that among others the name of Mr Krueger
- 6 was drawn in the box on Wednesday, J. J. Krueger? A I
- 7 have no definite recollection of Mr Krueger's name. Of
- 8 course, I have known since it was, and undoubtedly knew
- 9 it then. I think the examination of Mr Krueger must have
- 10 been short, and no special features about it.
- 11 Q And you recall that on Wednesday, the 29th day of Nov-
- 12 ember, 1911, at the hour of 5 o'clock in the presence --
- 13 in theafternoon of said day, that the court drew another
- 14 venire? A I don't recall it. I have heard it read in the
- 15 record, and I have no doubt it is true.
- 16 | Q Well, you kept informed as to what was being done at
- 17 | the time? A I did, Mr Ford.
- 18 Q And your lack of recollection is due more to a lack
- 19 of memory at this time, and not due to a lack of informa-
- 20 | tion at the time? A Oh, no; I knew then.
- 21 | Q You knew that then? A I did, I knew everything about
- 22 | it.
- 23 MR APPEL: You mean an order was made to draw a jury at
- 24 | that time?
- 25 MR FORD: And the names were drawn, the 50 slips of paper
- 26 were drawn containing the names of persons at 5 o'clock on

the afternoon of Wednesday the 29th. And that those jurors were ordered to be summoned and brought into court on Friday, the 1st day of November at 9 o'clock in the forenoon of the 1st day of November? A If the record so states, it must be. MR ROGERS: The 1st day of November? MR FORD: The 1st day of December, 1911. Well, you had assurance at the time that that jurywas drawn that Captain Fredericks would accept a plea of guilty on the part of 

J. J. to ten years, is that correct? A He said he would.

- 98
  - 2
    - 3

- 4
- 5
- .
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

- Q And he also told you at that time that he would accept a plea of guilty from J B and not oppose life imprisonment?
  - MR. APPEL. The witness didn't say that. He said on
- We dnesday, both from Mr. Davis and from Mr. Fredericks that
- he had the assurance that the arrangement would go through,
- provided both of them should plead guilty, that is what he
- said, and he says that he didn't know at that time, during
- Wednesday after receiving that information whether the thing could go through or not.
  - A I was going to add that, Mr. Appel.
  - MR. APPEL. That is what he said.
  - disposed
    A 1 didn't know Wednesday it would be of I had very

very serious doubts all the time, from the time that

- serious doubts, although I thought it would.
- MR. FORD. Q On Wednesday evening at 5 P.M. -- A I had
- Franklin was arrested until we firished.
- Q You knew on Wednesday evening at 5 P.M. that Captain
- Fredericks insisted onboth JJ and J B pleading guilty
- together at the same time? A , did.
- Q You knew that your clients were willing to plead guilty?
- A Separately, yes.
- Q You knew, however, that they would not plead guilty, or
- at least J B would not -- 1 withdraw that question. You knew that J B had also said that he would not plead guilty if
- his brother was sentenced, up to that time? A yes.
- Q And you labored all day Thanksgivirg Day to overcome

- 1 that determination? A We labored sometime Thanksgiving Day, 2 two or three or four hours.
- 3 Q You went there at What time inthe aftern con? A 1 couldn 4
- say, half past 10 or 11. 5 In the morning. And at 2 o'clock Mr. pavis telephoned
- 6 Captain Fredericks that they had not come to any satisfactory 7 conclusion as yet? A I couldn't state.
- 8 you heard him so testify on the stand, did you not? 9 Yes, but I couldn't state.
- 10 MR. APPEL. He is asking for his information.

even the hour that it was disposed of.

- 11 MR. FORD. Q As a matter of fact you had not come to any 12 determination at 2 o'clock, is that correct? A It would 13 be entirely out of question for me to tell the minute or 14
- 15 Q You labored under great strain that day in trying to 16 get them to accept the terms? A wot only that, my 17 mind is so constituted it don't remember little things 18 like that.
- 19 Q Little things like that at the end of a big case.
- 20 Like the fraction of a minute or the fraction of an 21 hour, when it happened seven or eight months ago. There 22 were other things in it.
- 23 Q Isn't it a fact it was late in the afternoon? A 24believe it was.
- 25 Q And isn't it a fact, your best recollection, it was 26 long after 2 o'clock in the afternoon? A No. 1 have no

- recollection as to the time except it was in the afternoon and I think late.
- 3 Q Do you recall where you had lunchthat day? A I don't,
- 4 Mr. Ford, I guess I didn't have any.
- 5 MR. APPEL. What he ate?
- 6 MR . FORD. It was Thanksgiving Day is the only reason that
- 7 1 thought you might remember.
- A 1 missed my Thanksgiving meal. We had company at the house; 1 didn't get it. It didn't bother me much because
- 10 I don't eat turkey.
- 11 Q Who employed Governor Gage to defend Mr. Franklin?
  12 MR. APPEL. We object upon the ground that it is not
- 13 cross-examination.
- 15 MR. APPEL. We except.

THE COURT • Overruled •

- 16 A ar. Davis told me he did.
- 17 MR. FORD. Q Who paid him? A Nobody. Never got a cent,
- 18 unless Mr. Franklin did.
- 19 Q Don't you know of your own knowledge that Mr. Franklin did 20 not pay him?
  - 21 MR. APPEL. Objected to as immaterial and not cross-
  - 22 examination?

- 23 A 1 couldn:t know of my own knowledge.
- 24 THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 25 MR . APPEL. We except.
- 26 MR . FORD . You gave Mr. Franklin, through Mr Davis.

- 6317 1 one thousand dollars on one occasion and three hundred 2 dollars on another occasion after his arrest, is that 3 correct? A That is right, as I remember it. 4 Q When was the \$300 given to Mr. Franklin? A 1 couldn't 5 tell you, Mr. Ford, when either was given to him. I think 6 it was along the middle of the month of December or a little 7 before-\_I couldn't tell you--I think it was sometime then. 8 No, 1 think the \$300 was given to him because he said he 9 wanted some money on account of his daughter's wedding.
- 11 Q A few days? A That was a few days after.
- 12 Q And the other \$1,000 was given about the 15th of December! 13 1 don t care about the exact date? A 1 would think so.
- 14 I wouldn't want to be held down to days, but I think 15 about that date.

Q was that after his arrest? A Yes.

10

- 16 Q You suspected on November 28th, as soon as you heard 17 of the arrest of Franklin, that it was a frame-up?
- 18 , had all kinds of theories, and that was one.
- 19 And did you suspect Mr. Franklin of having framed anything 20 up? A , didn't know. I thought it was entirely possible.
- 21 Q And yet you paid him \$1,000 on pecember 15th, or approxi-
- 22 mately about that date, notwithstanding the fact that 23 you suspected him of having framed something up?
- 24MR. APPEL. That is argumentative and noteross-examination. 25 We object to that as argumentative. We can have the facts
  - and he can draw what inferences he wants.

- THE COURT Objection sustained.
- MR . FORD. You advised with Mr. Franklin about the case up
- until January 14, 1912, did you not? A No, I talked to
- him a few times about it.

- Q When and where did you talk? A Oh, I don't recall. He would drop in my office occasionally. I don't think very
- often, and once in a while say something about it.
- Q Didyou ever ask him on November 28th where he got the \$4,00 that was taken from Captain White or Mr. Lockwood? A T did not. Mr. Davis did. He told me.
- In your presence? A No.
- Did you ever ask Franklin yourself at any time where he got that money? A I don't think I ever did.
- Q Wasn't the reason that you did not ask him because you knew of your own knowledge where he got it? A lt was not;
- no such reason.

  - scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- Q You knew that it was charged that he had given \$4000 to
- 2 Captain White and that 500 of that had been transferred to
- 3 Mr Lockwood? A I knew the charge, certainly.
- 4 Q And you never had enough curiosity to ask him personally
- about it? A Why, I had enough interest in it to haeve him asked by his counsel.
- 7 0 He had been your employe up to that time? A Yes.
- 8 MR ROGERS: That is some what argumentative.
- 9 THE COURT: Do you object on that ground?

- 10 MR ROGERS: Yes sir.
  11 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 MR FORD: You met him daily during that time? A What
- 13 time?
- 14 Q During the latter part of August, September, October
- 15 and November? A I met him daily.
- 16 . Q Talked with him every day about the prospective jurors?
- 17 A I wouldn't say every day, but probably almost every
  18 day
- 19 Q He was in possession of your opinions and secret be-20 liefs concerning the various persons who were called as jur-
- 21 ors? A No.
  22 Q You consulted him daily about the qualification of
- 23 jurors? A I got his opinion and discussed them.
- 24 Q Did you not, on November 25th, at the Alexandria
  25 Hotel, in the presence of Mr Steffens, when you introduced
- 26 Mr Franklin to Mr Steffens, say to Mr Steffens, "Mr Frank-

- lin is one of the few men whom I can trust with my inner-1
- 2 most secrets? A I don't recall ever saving that of Mr
- 3 Franklin.
- At that time? A If I did, I think I was mistaken, 4
- 5 but I don't recall.
- 6 Assuming you were mistaken, you didn't believe you
- 7 were mistaken on November 25th? A I didn't say anything
- 8 of that kind.
- 9 Well, I am asking you. A I don't recall any such
- 10 language, and don't think I ever said any such thing.
- 11 You did have confidence in Mr Franklin on November
- 12 25th, 1911? A I did.
- 13 And having that confidence in Mr Franklin at that time,
- 14 isn't it possible you did say that to Mr Steffens?
- MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object to that upon the 15
- 16 ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial, and
- 17
- not cross-examination, a rgumentative, going into the realms
- 18 of possibility and imagination.
- 19 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 20 MR FORD: Do you recall whether you did or did not say it?
- 21 MR APPEL: Now, he has answered. I object to that.
- 22 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 23 MR FORD: I don't think he has.
- 24THE COURT: I think he has answered it.
- 25I don't recall saying it. Isn't that all a man can 26 say? You know something of psyc hology.

- MR FORD: It is liable to mislead Mr Appel. He thinks 1
- 2 that is something like palmistry.
- 3 You know Ir Franklin was employed to investi gate the
- trial jurors in the McNamara case by you about August 9th, 4
- 1911? 5

16

19

into.

- MR APPEL: Wait a moment. The witness has answered that 6
- 7 time and time again, at the beginning of his examination.
- They asked him when he employed him, about what time he com-8
- 9 menced, and then they went to work, your Honor, and then 10 freshed his memory. They got it when it was.
- 11 THE COURT: Let me have that question. (Last question read
- 12 by the reporter.) I think that matter has been gone
- 14
- MR FORD: On direct examination only.
- 15 THE COURT: I think on cross-e xamination.
- MR FORD: I asked him about employment on the grand jury.
- 17 your Honor, but not on the trial jury. He said, if your
- 18 Honor will recall, that he stated somebody else had em-

ployed him with reference to the grand jury before he got

- 20 here, or found him employed. I haven't gone into the trial
- 21 jury at all oncross-examination. A You are wrong about
- 22 that, Mr Ford. I said after I got here, the first I heard
- 23 of him he came in one day on account of having been called
- 24by somebody else.
- 25 MR FORD: The point I was making was in reference to the
- 26 grand jury. I haven't say anything in reference to the

1 trial jurors.

11

14

- 2 THE COURT: You may be right about that.
- 3 MED TODD ME To a line to all Men Done
- MR FORD: May I see that book, Mr Rogers, to which the
- witness' attention was called the other day?
- MR GEISLER: It is not here in court.
- 6 MR ROGERS: I will get it for you. I will get you that
- 7 entry.
- 8 MR FORD: That is all I desire to look at.
- 9 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 10 A Is it the Bain entry you want?
- MR FORD: Yes.
- MR GEISLER: It is down to the office.
- 13 MR FORD: Can you send for it?

MR ROGERS: Yes.

- 15
- THE WITNESS: Am I to answer that question?
- THE COURT: Yes. A He was employed by the defense.
- I don't remember the exact date.
- 18 MR FORD: Well, you were the one who paid him?
- 19 | Wall Tame the cochion and maid him
- A Well, I was the cashier, and paid him.
- Q And you were the one who employed Mr Davis and Mr Scott
- and Mr Harriman and Mr McNutt? A Not Mr Harriman.
- 22 MR ROGERS: I thought that was gone into to a certain ex-
- t ent.
- THE COURT: Objection or erruled.
- A Not Mr Harriman.
- MR FORD: All but Mr Harriman? A Mr Davis had been spoken scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- to before I came and was recommended, and sowas Mr Scott, 1
- and I approved it, and did go to them and I presume it was 2
- I who you can employed them. 3
- You were the paymaster of all of them? A Of those 4
- 5 lawyers, yes.
- And of Mr Franklin and Mr Harrington? A As far as I 6
- 7 know, yes.

can tell you.

- The first jury venire was not drawn until September 8
- 30th, 1911. Calling your attention to the records that have 9
- been introduced in this case, you recall that fact? A 10
- 11 that is the record, yes.
- Mr Franklin, during the month of August, after the 12
- 9th, about 20 days left in the month, and during the 13
- month of September, was engaged in looking up the jurors 14
- generally as their names appeared upon the great general 15
- list of trial jurors? A yes, he had the whole list and 16
- 17 was looking them up.
- I presume that one of the reasons that induced him to 18
- employ Mr Franklin was the fact that he had lived here. 19
- or you were informed he had lived here a great number of 20

years, and had quite a wide personal acquaintance among the

- persons likely to be called as jurors; is that correct? 22
- MR APPEL: We object upon the ground he has no right to 23
- presume anything himself and then tell the witness that he 24
- presumes it. Let him ask him why he was employed and he 25 26

MR FORD: Ts that presumption -- am I right in so presuming That is only Mr Ford --Wait a minute. THE COURT: MR ROGERS: We take an exception to it. MR FORD: It is answered. Mr Appel offered THE COURT: No. it is not answered. an objection here. Mr Ford stated he had not finished his question, and the court therefore, permitted the ques-tion to be finished. Now, we have one question before the court. I assume that the objection stated and the question was partly asked. Objection overruled. 

A It has been answered.

MR. FORD. I think it has. I said that was one of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

reasons.

Q When the first jury list was drawn on pecember you went over the list at once with Mr. Franklin, did you

not? A , did, all of us did.

Q And you noticed among other names the name of Bain at that time? A lt was on the first list, wasn't it? If it

was I noticed it. Q you had a favorable report upon that name at that time?

MR . APPEL. Wait a moment, we object to that -- go ahead.

To my mind the report was favorable.

MR . FORD. That report. It was not unfavorable, certainly.

That report had been made by one Eckilland on August

18th, as it appears in your book that was here the other day, is that correct? A That I don't recall, Mr. Ford.

The report itself I considered favorable onaccount of his being aworking man and his age and there was nothing unfavorable in it.

Q What I mean is this: That at that time you had before you a report that had been made by one of Franklin's employes Mr. Eckland, who had visited Mrs. Bain on August 18th

1911? A 7 did. Q n addition to that you had a personal verbal report

4

5

26

from Mr. Franklin as to what he personally knew about Bain?

- A He told me what he personally knew about him.
- Q He told you how well acquainted he was with him?
- A I don't recall how well, but he said he knew him.
- Q When that first venire was drawn you directed Mr. Frank-
- lin to get additional special reports on these jurors in
  - addition to the general reports which had been previoulsy
- 8 prepared, is that correct?
- 9 MR. APPEL. We object to that because the witness has not
- | 10 | so testified. The witness has already testified on cross-
- examination and on direct examination that whenever lit
- |12| was necessary they asked him for special reports on any
- particular juror they wanted to find out about. He has
- 14 not stated that he asked him for special reports on all the
- 15 jurors or on these jurors, as the question indicates.
- THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 17 MR. APPEL. Exception.
- 18 MR. FORD. Q Go ahead. A I didn't ask for special
- 19 reports on every juror. Wherever a juror was passed by both
- 20 sides in that case, you know it took a good while before
- 21 We would have enough men in the her to draw probably fr
- we would have enough men in the box to draw, probably from 22 two weeks to three or four and whenevery one was passed
- two weeks to three or four, and whenevery one was passed
- 23 by both sides so we knew the only way to get rid of them
- 24 | was preemptory, I would get a special report, and sometimes
- 25 | would otherwise, so I would have a special report on Mr. Bain.
  - more than one. I was as careful as I knew how to be.

- Q nid Mr. Franklin make a daily report to you concerning investigations during the day? A No, he would make it when-
- 2
- 3 ever it was called for or we thought it was necessary.

24

26

- 4 Whenever any of us would ask him; he made it to me no more
- than to any other lawyer inthe case. 5
- 6 Were those reports of Mr. Franklin in writing or verbal?
- 7 A poth ways.
- Q pid he make you written reports as to what his men had 8
- 9 been doing, or were his written reports confined to his own
- 10 personal investigations? A You mean written reports what
- his men had been doing towards looking up men? 11
- Q Yes. A I don't know, sometimes written and sometimes 12
- verbal, no doubt, that is, he would have a man look it up 13

generally Mr. Franklin would report to one of us lawyers.

- or two men look it up. If we had a special report then 14
- 15
- Q What I am getting at is this, Mr. parrow: Mr. Franklin 16
- made you two kinds of reports, one report signed by the 17
- man he employed, and other reports signed by himself, as
- 18
- to what he himself had been doing. A mhe only reports 19
- that I received of the first character were the ones that 20
- were tabulated in a book, and those purported/be signed by 21
- 22 Q Men who were employed by Franklin? A Men employed by 23

certain men who had made that investigation.

- him. After that if any of us wanted a special report he
- would either make them verbal or in writing, and then on 25

some occasions got other people to make us special reports.

- numbers of those cases because 1 can call your attention to it.
- Q Frequently Mr. Franklin in his investigations of jurors
  would interview the members of the family of the juror, is
  that correct?
- MR. APPEL. Wait a moment—we object upon the ground it is calling for hearsay.
- 8 MR.FORD. I withdraw it. That is correct. Q Frequently
  9 Mr. Franklin made reports to you that he had visited
- | 10 | some relative of the prospective juror, is that correct?
- 11 A A number of reports showed that.
- $|\mathcal{Q}|$  Q Do you recall whether or not he ever made any report
- |13| he had visited Mrs. Bain the wife of juror Rain?
- $^{14}$  A Twe report. in the book shows that the interviewer, who-
- 15 ever it was, visited Mrs. Bain.
- $| \mathcal{Q} |$  You are referring to the report of August 18th?
- 17 A  $_{
  m v}$ es, the Eckland report.
- 18 Q But you had other reports? A I don't recall, Mr. Ford.
- 19 Q This report that is in the book is not the original
- 20 report? A No.
- 21 | Q It is merely memorandum made up from the original report?
- 22 A lt is a copy of the original report, as I understand.
- 23 It was made in Mr. Franklin's office and made so each one
- 24 of us would have one.
- Q When was this book made up that has been exhibited in court? A 1t was made up--it grew, that is, certain number

number of investigations would be made and kept on these

sheets and was in a book, and thenas others were made and

kept they would add to it.

- 1 Q Have you the reports of what Mr Franklin was doing on
- 2 October the 4th? A I have no reports of what he was doing
- 3 any day, other than is contained in the book.
- 4 Q Have you any reports in that book of what hewas doing
- 5 on October 4th?
- 6 MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object to that --
- 7 A I don't know ---
- 8 MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object to that as not cross-
- 9 examination; incompetent, irrelegant and immaterial; not
- 10 the best evidence; not cross-examination.
- 11 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 12 MR FORD: The witness has testified, your Honor, as to
- 13 transactions between himself and Mr Franklin on that day.
- 14 MR APPEL: Because the witness is generous enough to allow
- 15 you to interrogate him improperly is no reason why we
- 16 should allow it.
  - 17 | THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
  - 18 MR FORD: Did Mr Franklin make any report to you that he
  - 19 | had visited Mrs Bain on Friday, October 6th, 1911? A Did
  - 20 he make a report on Friday, October 6th? No, not that I
  - 21 recall.
  - 22 Q No. Did he make a report to you as to what he did
  - 23 do that day at all? A Nothing that I can recall.
  - 24 Q Have you it in that book? A I have no report in the
  - 25 book, except what you saw.
  - 26 | Q I only saw the one to which my attention had been

- directed. A There is no other report regarding Mr Bain
- 2 in the book.
- 3 Q Have you a report of what Franklin did on that day?
- 4 A I know one thing he did, but I have not examined the
- book to find out what else he might or might not have
- 6 done, and probably could not tell if I did.
- 7 Q That is one thing that appears in the book of reports?
- 8 A No. one thing that appears by my check of October 4th.
- 9 Q That he went to a bank and deposited the check? A No,
- 10 I have no such memorandum as that at all. I know he got
- 11 the check.
- 12 MR APPEL: On the 4th, you mean? A Yes, the 4th, that is
- 13 what he is referring to.
- 14 MR FORD: Have you any report of a visit made by Frank-
- 15 lin on Mrs Bain on October 6th anywhere? A I have answer-
- 16 ed that, Mr Ford, didn't I?
- 17 MR ROGERS: Answer it gain. A I will answer it again.
- 18 No.
- | 19 | Q Now, did your eceive any report from him on October
- 6th, verbal or otherwise, concerning Mrs Rain? A Noth-
- 21 ing that I know about.
- 22 THE COURT: The court will take the afternoon recess at
- 23 this time. Mr Rogers?
- 24 MR ROGERS: I have deliberated over the matter, sir, con-
- sidered the record, and the record speaks for itself. Per-

26 sonally, of course, I did not charge Mr Ford with being a

- 1 coward. I say his acts in trying to prevent me from state
- 2 ing my side of the matter, which he had intentionally
- 3 brought to your Honor's attention, in that fact, I reiter-
- 4 ate it; that act was not brave; if one man speaks on one
- 5 side he should permit a statement on the other side also.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr Rogers, he had no power to prevent your
- 7 speaking, and did not do it.
- 8 MR FREDERICKS: I think we can consider that on our side,
- 9 a withdrawal of the word "coward".
- 10 MR ROGERS: I did not apply it personally to Mr Ford.
- 11 Mr Ford and I are personally good friends. I referred to
- 12 his acts.

- 13 THE COURT: There are some of these so-called short and
- 14 ugly words that have no phace in a court room, and that
- 15 is one of them. I feel, gentlemen, that it is a very
- 16 serious menace to the process and proper conduct of a
- 17 trial to apply these words, no consequence what counsel
- 18 on one side or the other may think, it is of no conse-
- 19 quence, going further, what the fact may be; lawyers are

not on trial here in their conduct, and we cannot stop

- 21 every few minutes to try a lawyer on either side and de-
- 22 | termine whether or not he is guilty of some such charge.
- 23 | I expect the gentlemen on both sides to strictly live up
- 24 | to the avowal made here in court a few days ago, upon
- 25 their honor as gentlemen, to refrain absolutely from per-
- 26 | sonalities. The statement was made, and an acceptance

by the prosecution, the statement by Mr Rogers is a withdrawal and I deem the remark and the incident closed, but I feel it proper to avail myself of this opportunity to call the attention of counsel to that positive assurance.

MR ROGERS: In view of the way your Honor puts it -- I never have been unmannerly in a court room in any court, or ever had a disposition to interfere with judicial proceedings, and I certainly have too high a regard for your Honor to interfere with your Honor's personal good will and the control your Honor may personally have over this court room, and if your Honor feels that it is not a matter for the other side, but a matter of consideration for your Honor, if I have offended your Honor's kindness and disposition, I apologize to you, sir.

I am not disposed to think myself, which I certainly have 1 a right to maintain. I had not a right to characterize the 2

conduct, not Mr Ford himself, as I say -- we are too good 3 friends, and have been since we were boys, I know he is 4

not a coward -- I saw fit to say his action in that bahalf 5 was cowardly, and I have not seen any reason to see yet 6

that it was brave -- but I apologize to your Honor for 7 interfering with your Honor's court-room, if that is the 8 view your Honor takes of it, and I take pleasure in apolog-9 izing to you. 10

THE COURT: It is not a personal matter at all, but the 11 less we have of these suggestions or personalities, the 12 better we will get along, and the more quickly we will 13 14 get this case to the jury. Bear in mind your former admonition, gentlemen of the jury, and we will retire for a 15 recess of 10 minutes at this time. 16

(After recess.) MR FORD: Have you that book of reports?

MR ROGERS: We cannot take these pages out, if your Honor

17

18

19

26

pleases, and we will just simply ask Mr Ford not to -- $\cdot 20$ MR FORD: I ask the same privilege be granted to me that 21 22 was granted to them.

THE COURT: You had a loose-leaf book, in which those 23 things can be done, but it is a different physical condi-24 tion; it is a very easy matter to have a copy of it. 25

MR FORD: I am just as much entitled to the whole of that

- book as they weere to the telegrams; however, if the court
- 2 holds I shall not look at any page but that, I shall not
- attempt to, but incress-examination of this witness I am
- entitled to the same courtesy --
- 5 THE COURT: It is not a matter of courtesy; it is a mat-
- 6 ter of right.
- 7 MR FORD: It is a matter of right, then.
- 8 MR ROGERS: We are perfectly willing that Mr Ford shall
- 9 have what we talked to the witness about, anything con-
- 10 nected with that. I do not think we are compelled to
- 11 show him this whole book, and let him go prowling around
- 12 through it and see all these reports.
- 13 MR FORD: Well, that case is ended. Are there any reports
- 14 from Mr Fowler in that book? A There are not.
- 15 | I will let the court examine it and if he finds one give
- 16 it to you.
- 17 MR FORD: Let me look at that pag e then, that is, if
- 18 the court rules that is all I can look at.
- 19 WHE GOURT: I have not ruled that at all. Let us cross
- 20
- one bridge while we are at it and take that one up and
- 21 if another application comes up we will determine it then.
- 22 At the present time there is only one question before
- 23 the court, and that is acceded to, but you cannot tear
- it out because it destroys the book.
- 25 MR ROGERS: Oh, --
- 26 MR FORD: I will not look at any others. The report from

- 1 Mr Eckland, that is a copy of the original report that
- 2 | was handed to you by Mr Franklin, is it, Mr Darrow?
- 3 MR APPHL: No. your Honor. The witness has not stated that.
- 4 MR FORD: I am asking is that true.
- 5 MR APPEL: No. He said Mr Franklin furnished three or
- 6 four copies, one for each lawyer. Now, that is a copy
- 7 furnished him -- A Just a minute.
- 8 MR FORD: This is not a copy of any report all the furnished
- 9 somebody else. A That is Mr Davis' book; it is not
- 10 mine, but mine is like it, so it is a copy of a report of
- 11 some original, I assume.
- $12 \mid Q$  This is a copy of a report that was handed to Mr
- 13 Davis and you had one just like it? A A duplicate, yes.
- 14 Q And where is the original that was handed to you?
- 15 A The original was not handed to me.
- 16 Q Where is the book that was handed to you? A I don't
- 17 know where that is; it is just the same as this, however.
- 18 MR FORD: I will read this into the record, if there is no
- 19 objection, so that we can refer to it. (Reading:)
- 20 "Robert T. Bain --" I suppose that should be "F" Bain?
- 21 THE WITNESS: I presume so. I am willing to correct it.
- THE WITH ESS; I presume so. I am WILLING to correct it.
- 22 MR FORD: (Continuing reading:) "Age 69; residence, Los
- 23 | Angeles; American; carpenter; veteran G.A.R.; protestent;
- 24 republican; owns home; Examiner; Equibable Savin gs Bank.
- wife has no decided opinion; on his way East to New York to attend encampment; does not belong to any union; will be

- 1 absent about one month. (Wife)(August 18, 1911.) (Eck-
- 2 | lund)."
- 3 Q I call your attention to the word "wife" occurring in
- 4 parenthesis. That indicated to you that the investi-
- 5 gator had got his information from the wife, did it not?
- 6 A That is what it indicated. It might or might not make
- 7 it very good.
- 8 Q I beg yourpardon? A Which might or might not
- 9 make it very good information, but that is what it indi-
- 10 cates.
- 11 Q So you gathered from that that Mr Ecklund had visited
- 12 the wife of Mr Bain on August 18th, 1911? A If that is
- 13 the date.
- 14 | Q yes, that is the date. A No, I don't need to look
- 15 at it. Yes.
- 16 | MR APPHL: The date of the report?
- 17 MR FORD: Yes, the date of the report is August 18th, 1911.
- 18
- 19
- 20.
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

5 MR. ROGERS. No. they do not run alphabetically. 6 MR. FREDERICKS. What is there on the book, if anything, to 7 indicate the date the report was made? A Why, the only 8 thing to indicate is. I think the leaves were put on at the

visit -- August 22nd, August 23rd, August 21--

MR. FREDERICKS. How do these come, by alphabet?

MR . ROGERS . I don't know whether that is the date of the

report or the date of the visit, it might be the date of the

1

2

3

4

24

Q Where is that index?

4p

9 back, and that is near the front. 10 Q on where? A 1 think the leaves are put on--excuse me--11 I think they started that the first and added to, here, 12

all these are later inthe book and those are earlier and

13 I think that indicates it was very early (indicating on 14 book.) 15 Q Now, Mr. Darrow, is that the only report you ever got 16 through Franklin or any of his investigators concerning

17 Robert F. Bain? A You mean in writing? 18 Q yes. A As far as I know. 19 Q Do you know whether there are any other reports on Mr.

Bain in that book? A Why, I practically know there are 20 21 none; I have never been through that book for that pur-22 pose, but I am sure there are no others, Mr. Ford. We have 23 an index for that and the index refers to this page.

MR. GEISLER. It is down at the office. 25 26 THE WITNESS. I can bring that up in the morning.

- MR . FORD. Q Now, you stated you received no report, as 1

far as you recollect, from Mr. Franklin on the 6th of October

- 3 concerning any visit to Mrs. Bain on that date?
- Q Did you ever receive any report on any date that he had 5
- visited Mrs. Bain on October 6th, 1911? A No. 6
- Q After examining Mr. Bain in court you were satisfied that
- 7 the answers agreed with the previous information which you
- had upon the subject and that he was a juror satisfactory 9
- to you? A Well, the answers never agreed exactly with 10 the previous information, but substantially, in this case,
- 11 but  ${f I}$  was satisfied that he was very much better than the 12
- ordinary run for me; it was very hard to get an un-13
- prejudiced juror in that case, there had been so much talk 14

Q All in all you were satisfied, then, that he would have

- about it, so much feeling over it. 15
- been a satisfactory juror? A I was--I wont say I was 17
- satisfied, I thought so.
- 18

A l recall none.

2

4

8

16

19

21

26

MR . ROGERS . What is that? 20.

Q You still think so?

- (Question read.)
- M. APPEL. It makes no difference what he thinks now. 22
- THE COURT . Objection sustained. 23
- A I don:t know what I think about it. 24
- MR . FORD . Q' Coming down to the -- may I have that check, 25
  - Mr. Smith, the Franklin check-- A The one we introduced

1 | you mean?

have.

- 2 MR . FORD · Yes .
- 3 THE CLERK. is that the last one introduced?
- 4 MR. FREDERICKS. Yes, the last check.
- 5 THE CLERK. It is in the clerk's office.
- 6 MR. GEISLER. We asked that it be kept in a some safe place.
- 7 | THE COURT. ves, there were several exhibits taken down
- 8 to the clerk's office.
- 9 MR. FORD. I hope this clerk is a safe place.
- 10 THE COURT. Well, a safer place.
- 11 | THE CLERK. Shall I get it?
- 12 THE COURT Yes, go and get it.
- 13 MR . FORD. Q When Mr. Franklin had gone over the list of
- jurors with you, the original list, before any venires
- were drawn, he told you he was acquainted with George
- 16 N. Lockwood, did he not? A I do not recall it; he might
- 10
- 18 MR. FORD. Did you find any reports in there, Mr. Rogers?
- 19 MR · ROGERS · Suppose I do · I want to see the materiality
- 20 of them.
- 21 MR. FORD. I would ask permission of counsel to examine any
- 22 report they find on Mr. Lockwood there.
- 23 MR. ROGERS. What for? How does it become cross-examina-
- 24 tion? Mr. Lockwood was never in the box.
- 25 MR . FORD. Well, he has testified, however--
- 26 MR . FOGERS . He testified he never spoke a word to Mr.

Darrow in his life or Mr. parrow to him.

1

5

18

26

- MR . FORD. But the witness on the stand has testified con-2
- cerning his relations with Mr. Franklin and Mr. Lockwood. 3
- MR . APPEL. But, he says that Mr. Franklin never talked with 4
- A 1 said I could not recall that he had, that he might
- 6 have. 7
- MR . FORD. Q You do not recall that you ever had any 8
- reports on Mr. Lockwood? A 1 recall that there is a 9
- report in this book. 10
- Q On Mr. Lockwood? A Yes. 11

him about Mr. Lockwood.

- MR . FORD. May I see that report? 12
- MR . APPEL. No, it is not a report from Mr. Franklin. 13
- MR . ROGERS. It is not a report from Mr. Franklin at all.
- 14
- MR. APPEL. The only cross-examination on this witness 15
- would be in reference to what conversations he had, either 16
- written or verbal from Mr. Franklin concerning Juror Lock-
- 17 wood, that would be cross-examination; if he had 100,000
- letters or reports from 100,000 different individuals 19
- given to him concerning Juror Lockwood it would not be cross-
- 20 examination and, furthermore, there is not any power in
- 21 the court or any one else to compel a defendant to furnish
- 22 any efidence. 23
- THE COURT. This application is not made to the court.
- 24
- Mr. Ford is addressing counsel. 25
  - MR . FOGERS . Was it a personal request?

MR . FORD' That is it .

THEWITNESS. If you do not object 1 do not object.

MR . ROGERS. A personal request. Very well, I am per-

fectly delighted to accommodate you.

MR. FORD. Q You do not recall having ever read a report about Mr. Lockwood? A I recall having read a report about Mr

Lockwood, certainly.

Do you recall having discussed the report of Mr. Lockwood

with Mr. Franklin? A Now, wait a minute, Mr. Ford. Do you mean, do 1 recall --you said, do I recall ever having read a report. l do.

- Yes. A I don't know whether you think I mean I recall
- having read one before it was drawn out of the box, or 2
- ever, as you said -- I just don't want to be misunderstood, 3
- and I do not suppose you want me to be. 4
- Do you recall discussing on that general list, before 5
- the first venire was drawn, the subject with Mr Franklin.
- the names of some of the persons with whom he was personally 7
- acquainted? A I do. 8

wish.

1

6

14

- Do you not recall having discussed the name of George 9
- N. Lockwood? A I do not. 10
- Before September 30, 1911, with Mr Franklin? A I 11
- do not. I might have, but I do not recall it. I can tell 12
- you the reason why one was true and the other not, if you 13
- MR APPHL: Explain it, Mr Darrow. 15
- MR ROGERS: Go ahead and explain your answer. 16
- Go ahead. A Mr Bain was passed by both THE COURT: 17
- sides up to peremptories, and we invariably got all the
- information we possibly could, before we ever left a man 19
- in the box. Mr Lockwood was never called in. 20
- I am talking about Lockwood. 21
- MR APPEL: I know, and he says he can explain the difference 22
- in his mind; the statement concerning one --23
- MREREDERICKS: If the witness understands wedare talking 24
- about Lockwood. A No, Mr Fredericks, he says, "You do 25
- recall discussing other names, but you do not recall Lock-26

- 1 wood": evidently drawing the inference of why one and not
- 2 the other.
- 3MR FORD: No. I asked you if you do not recall having
- 4 discussed with Mr Franklin the names of various persons
- 5 with whom Mr Franklin was acquainted, before any venire
- 6
- was called, and you said you did -- I did not ask --
- 7 MR ROGERS: Let us see if he did.
- 8 A If youasked that question. I answered it wrongly.
- 9 do not recall any particular name I discussed with him
- 10 before any venire was drawn.
- 11 I was not asking you that question. Do you recall the
- 12 fact, whether you recall the names or not, that you did
- 13 discuss with Mr Franklin the names of persons with whom he
- 14 vas personally acquainted and wonder whether or not they
- would be called into the box soon, or things of that sort? 15
- 16 Leave off the last and I will answer it yes. Α
- 17 I will leave off the last, then? A All right.
- 18 I don't remember what I wandered.
- 19 Answer therest of the question. A I will answer 0
- 20 the rest of it yes.
- 21 Did he ever at any time or place tell you that George Q.
- 22 N. Lockwood was a former associate of Mr Franklin's in
- 23 the sheriff's office? A I don't remember it, but it is 24 very likely he did.
- 25Did it ever happen, Mr Darrow, that a report came in 26 concerning some personal friend of Mr Franklin's, some re-

- port made by one of Franklin's employes, which Mr Franklin
- 2 disagreed with?
- 3 MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object to that because that
- 4 is a conjecture, your Honor, and it is speculative, and
- 5 fishing, and it is indefinite; it does not tend to illus-
- 6 trate any point one way or the other, out of 1600 names,
- 7 and it is not cross-examination. If they want to know
- 8 anything concerning the jurors in question, let them come
- 9 right up to the point, get hold of it, and stay with it,
- 10 and not go fishing around here, meandering around the
- 11 outskirts of the question.
- 12 THE COURT: Read the question.
- 13 | (Question read.)
- 14 A I do not recall it.
- 15 THE COURT: I think it is speculative. The objection is
- 16 sustained on that ground.
- 17 MR FORD: Do you know Mr Holmstrup? A I do not.
- 18 Q Do you know who he was? A I recognize the name as
- 19 being one that was on reports.
- 20 Q Employed by whom? A Mr Franklin.
- 21 Q I will ask you to look at this report. A On Lock-
- 22 | wood?
- 23 Q Yes. And state whether or not you ever read that
- 24 report at any time? A I can state that without looking at
- 25 | it.
- 26 Q Yes. A That I have.

- When and where? A I have read it since this case begun.
- 1 2 Did you ever, before this case began, read that report?
- 3 That I don't know. There were 1600 odd in here, and I
- couldn't possibly remember. 4 You have no recollection of ever having read that report 5 O
- or having discussed the name of Lockwood with Mr Franklin, 6
- prior to the 28th day of November, 1911? A I don't 7
- remember: it is possible that I did, however. 8 Did you, on November 28th, 1911, ask Mr Franklin who 9
- 10 Mr Lockwood was? A Ask him who he was?
- 11 MR APPRL: November 28th, he says. A No.

MR FORD: Read the last question and answer.

13 (Question and answer read.)

- 14 You mean, referring to the name, ask him who he was? Α 15
- Yes. A No. 16 Q At the time he was ar rested, or after he was ar-
- rested, you lærned that a man named Lockwood and a man 17
- named White were implicated in the transaction that occur-18 19 red on November 28th? A I did.
- And you never asked Mr Franklin who George N. Lock-20
- 21 wood was, or who C. E. White was?
- 22 MR APPEL: We object to that on the ground it is not 23
- cross-examination; it is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial, and upon the further ground that the acts and decla-24
- 25 ration of the defendant after the alleged commission of the 26 offense are never evidence, either one way or the other.

Objection overruled. THE COURT:

MR APPEL: We take an exception. Any question about the

law, your Honor?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT. No, I think not. I think it is one of those matters opened up on direct examination on the question of motive.

MR. APPEL. We never asked him what conversations he had with Mr. Franklin concerning Lockwood.

THE COURT. On the same theory--

MR. APPEL. It is after the arrest of Franklin.

THE COURT. Yes, I know.

MR. APPEL. It is not cross-examination, your Honor, therefore, upon that ground. We asked him concerning whether he had any transactions with him onNovember 28th and he denied seriatim, word by word, the testimony given here by Mr. Franklin concerning the transaction. Now, that we failed to ask him concerning Lockwood after Franklin's arrest, or whether he asked him anything concerning that is not evidence or cross-examination and would not be evidence, that he failed to ask him, and would not be evidence that he did ask him. You cannot prejudge a

man by what he does, as to whether or not he was guilty of any crime yesterday by his acts after the alleged commission of the offense, which they have fixed as the 28th day of

November, 1911, about the hour of 9 0 clock on that day.

Now, any statement made by him, any statement made by

him showing he had previous knowledge or any omission on his part to make any statement can never be given in evidence.

lf there is any question--

1 THE WITNESS. I would rather answer, if you do not mind. 2 MR. APPEL. I understand, but it is a question of right 3 and justice. 4 THE COURT. Let me give you my point of view of the 5 matter and then if you want to be heard I will hear you. 6 I am admitting this as part of the cross-examination upon 7 the theory it is properly directed to the examination in 8 chief as to the state of mind and lack of motive. 9 MR . APPEL. State of mind when, your Honor? 10 THE COURT. State of mind at the time, on the 28th day of 11 November. 12 MR . APPEL. That he failed to say anything, for instance, if 13 he had been asked a question, "Didn't you discuss it imme-14 diately after the arrest of Mr. Franklin, didn't you discuss 15 it with him? " And the witness says, "No, I did not." 16 They can call his attention to any declaration made by this 17 witness, but has failure to have any conversation, his 18 failure to ask questions himself, can never be given in 19 evidence; the mere acquiescence of a defendant to a situa-20 tion without making any declarations or acts himself can 21 never be given in evidence against him, not even if you 22 talk to a defendant, if you say to the defendant things 23 after things and he does not act with reference to it, he

does not say anything with reference to it, his conduct in

that respect can never be given in evidence in chief, and

if it could not be given in evidence inchief, how much less

24

25

- on cross-examination? The subject was not touched upon. 1
- THE COURT 1 realize the force of your argument, Mr. Appel, 2
- but this question of the state of mind showing lack of 3
- motive--4

- MR . APPEL. State of mind after the arrest of Mr. Franklin? 5
- THE COURT. State of mind with reference to all the acts and 6
- declarations in and about that time.
- MR. APPEL. The situation? 8
- THE COURT. The situation, the facts. 9
- MR . APPEL. The fact that a man does not say anything, does 10
- that show his state of mid? 11
- MR. FREDERICKS. Where he should say something. 12
- MR. APPEL. What right have they to say that he should 13
- say something? That is the very point, your Honor, that 14
- there is not any power on earth that has ever made pevidence. 15
- I venture to say, your Honor, that the Supreme Court of this 16
- state has said often where you have said to a witness,
- 17
- "Now, here, Mr. Franklin has been arrested, what are you 18
- going to do about it?" And he makes a denial of his com-19
- plicity in it, that that declaration made by the witness 20
- could not be admitted in evidence. 21
- THE COURT . Let me have this question. 22
- MR . APPEL . That you cannot come down here and get up . 23
- before the jury little suspicious circumstances --24
- THE COURT . Let me have the question .
- (Question read.)

25

- $MR \cdot ROGERS \cdot$  That is indefinite as to time.
- 2 | MR . FORD. At any time.

6

16

17

19

- 3 | THE WITNESS. Well, let me answer it.
- 4 MR. APPEL. We want a ruling. If you want to try the case--
- 5 THE COURT. Let us get a ruling on it. Objection overruled.
  - MR · APPEL. Exception.
- 7 A Now, what is the question? I wont bother you again,
- gentlemen. 1 ought not to do it, I know.

  [9] (Last question read.)
- 10 A That question does not follow from the one before.
- 11 MR . FORD' I did not make any claim it did.
- 12 A Yes, you say in the question before, "You never asked 13 him".
- Q I have asked you that question and the court has ruled
- it is admissible. A I talked with him at least as to
  - who he was and I think I talked with him on the afternoon of the 28th. When you asked the question before, when I
- talked to him on the 28th, I assumed you meant in the morn-
- $|\mathcal{Q}|$  Where did you talk with Franklin on the afternoon of the

ing, because Franklin said he talked with him--

- 21 28th about Mr. Lockwood? A Something was said about him
- 22 at that time, we had our meeting.

  Q Where? A At the place we had it, I think it was Mr.
- 23 Franklin's office.
- 25 Q What was said?

A You mean in reference to LockwOod--

26 MR . APPEL. He has answered that already this morning.

MR . APPEL. He said --THE COURT , think that has been answered. MR . FORD . No, Lockwood's name was not mentioned. MR . APPEL. Yes, he said he was bringing that man over there todeliver him to some officer. 

I certainly said that, Mr. Ford.

- 1 MR APPEL: He has been examined fully on that point.
- 2 MR FORD: Le t me ask the witness one question.
- 3 Q Did you, in telling it had occurred at Mr Franklin's office this morning, or wherever the meeting was, in relat-4
- ing the conversation, did you say anything that was said by 5
- Mr Franklin about Lockwood? A I think I said that he said 6
- 7
- he was taking him over to the corner to deliver him up.
- 8 and --
- And -- A And at that time I knew who he was. 9 Ø.
- 10 At that time, you knew who he was? A Yes.
- 11 From whom did you get the information? A Knew it
- 12 from the newspapers, and from general gossip and from
- 13 everybody you would meet during the day.
- Did you ask Mr Franklin at that conversation who 14
- Lockwoodvas? A I do not recall, but I undoubtedly said 16 something to him about who he was, and Franklin replied
- 17 what he was and how long he had known him.
- Q Did Franklin at that time tell you he had been to see 18
- Lockwood? A No -- you mean been to see him previously 20
- to that?

19

- 21 Yes. A No. Q
- 22 Did he ever at any time or place tell you he had been
- 23 to see Lockwood?
- 24MR APPEL: Now, if the question relates to Mr Franklin
- 25telling Mr Darrow after his arrest, after the alleged com-26

mission of the offense, we object to that as absolutely

1 immaterial, and it would not be evidence, even on direct 2 examination; declarations made to a defendant by a third 3 party as to what he did before would be a recital simply 4 of past events by alleged coconspirators, and they are 5 never given in evidence, mere recitals even from one co-6 conspirator to another, are not evidence of what he had 7 previously done; the declarations of a co-conspirator 8 when in pursuit of the object of the co-conspiracy, may 9 be given in evidence against his con-conspirators, but mere 10 recitals and historical facts of things that had trans-11 pired, would not be given in evidence, cannot be given 12 in evidence, and that is a posttive and absolute rule of 13 law; and if it is a question relative to whether or not 14 Franklin said anything to him as to who Lockwood was be-15 fore the commission of the offense, the objection, of 16 course, would not be tenable, but the question being one 17 that does not give the time or place, and it assumes to 18 cover all time -- and I object on the ground it calls 19 for declarations made by Mr Franklin as to past offenses, 20 either to the witness or to anyone else concerning his 21 alleged relations to Mr Lockwood, and would not be evi-22 dence. 23 MR FREDERICKS: It is not put in under the rule of the tes-

MR FREDERICKS: It is not put in under the rule of the testimony of an accomplice, but the declarations of a defendant in regard to a crime he is charged with, which are admissible at all times.

24

25

- 1 MR APPEL: Not what Franklin said to him.
- 2 MR FREDERICKS: Wh ether they are after the crime or be-
- 3 fore the crime, the declarations of a defendant in regard
- 4 to the crime are admissible always, and conversations he
- 5 has had in regard to a crime are always admissible.
- 6 MR FORD: The witness has testified to his relations with
- 7 Franklin up to the 14th of January, long after the com-
- 8 mission of the offense.
- 9 MR APPEL: That has nothing to do with that.
- 10 THE COURT: That question changes your argument, then,
- 11 | Captain Fredericks, whether admissions of a defendant are
- 12 admissible -- if you are asking this on that theory, the
- 13 question does not ask for declarations of defendant, but
- 14 of Mr Franklin.
- 15 MREREDERICKS: All conversations with the defendant, elim-
- 16 inating the idea of conspiracy, the theory upon which you
- can give the conversations between a defendant and someone
- 18 else, and in so doing give what that someone else said.
- 19 THE COURT: But this question does not ask for a conver-
- 20 sation.
- 21 | MR FREDERICKS: I think it does.
- 22 THE COURT: It asks for what Mr Franklin says.
- 23 MR FREDERICKS: Yes, and that is permissible as showing
- 24 the reply which the defendant made thereto, if he made any.
- 25 If it is made after the commission of the offense.
- 26 THE COURT: If you want the conversation, I think you ought

to ask for it.

MRFREDERICKS: Lassume it is intended to get conversa-

3 tions.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the stand.

MR FORD: If the court please, this witness has testified

on direct examination as to his relations with Franklin. covering a period long after the commission of a crime.

and he has testified that Franklin said certain things

about the commission of that offense, for instance, that

Franklin said that he was trying to trap George N. Lock-

wood. Now, when he has told one conversation, we are entitled 11 not only to the whole of that conversation but to every

other conversation he ever had with that man upon that subject. George N. Lockwood. That is the law, and I am ask-

ing purely, as a matter of cross-examination, regardless

of what theory it was put in upon direct examination. I am asking it simply because it was a subject matter

17 that was gone into on direct examination, namely, his 18 relations with and conversations with Franklin, conversa-

tions and relations on the part of this witness who was on

MR APPEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you want the conversation you better ask for it, and you will get a ruling on it, but the question

in this form, the objection to it is sustained. MR FORD: Did you ever, at any time of place, between the 28th

day of November, 1911, and the 14th day of January, 1912,

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- or on the 14th day of January, 1912, have any conversation with Bert H. Franklin other than the conversation you have related that you had in Franklin's office on the 28th day
  - MR APPEL: Wait a moment.

of November, 1911?

4

- 6 Q About and concerning George N. Lockwood?
- 7 MR APPEL: We object to that on the ground it is not
- 8 cross-examination, that it is incompetent, irrelevant
- 9 and immaterial, that it is seeking to introduce conversa-
- 10 tions between Mr Franklin and the defendant other than those
- upon which he was examined in chief in his own defense;
- 12 | your Honor will remember that we went over the testimony
- 13 of Mr Darrow prior to the 28th day of November --
- 14 THE COURT: I remember the conversation he had gone into
- 15 after his arrest, which was in regard to the bond mat-
- 16 ter, and the fine.
- MR APPEL: Yes, we simply answered the testimony of Mr
- 18 Franklin in that respect. Mr Rogers went seriatim over
- 19 one conversation after another.
- 20 THE COURT: That brings us down to the question of whether
- 21 or not Mr Ford is right about his contention that he is
- 22 entitled to any conversations upon that subject matter.
- 23 MR FORD: If the court please, the witness has testified
- 24 that he never gave this money to Franklin, that he never
- 25 gave him any money to bribe Lockwood; he has testified now
- 26 he never read any reports upon Lockwood and to some slight

- 1 extent has testified to what conversations he did have
- 2 about Lockwood; those conversations did not go into the
- 3 subject matter fully, the subject matter, his conversations
- 4 with Franklin about Lockwood. Now, I want to go fully
- 5 into that subject matter, and to know whether the conver-
- 6 sations here related as occurring between himself and Frank-
- 7 lin were all that ever occurred upon that subject matter,
- George N. Lockwood.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Mr Ford, you are mistaken in one statement 10
- 11 THE COURT: The only conversation --
- 12 MR FORD: What is that?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Pardon me, you are mistaken in one statement.
- 14 MR FORD: Correct me, if I am.

there.

- 15 THE WITNESS: I did not say I did not ever read any report
- 16 on Lockwood at that time; I said I did not recall it, but 17 I very likely had.
- 18 THE COURT: You are asking now for conversations after
- 19 Franklin's arrest?
- 20MR FORD: Yes, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: The only conversations if my memory serves me
- 22 right, the only conversations upon which this witness was
- 23 interrogated in his examination in chief occurring after
- 24Franklin's arrest, were in regard to the bond matter, and
- 25the money to pay the fine and to rehabilitate himself. 26 under some other circumstances.

MR FREDERICKS: Those are Franklin's conversations.

THE COURT: Conversations between this defendant and Frank-

3 lin.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR FORD: This witness has, on his direct examination, made an omnibus denial of every conversation that Franklin ever testified to; that Harrington ever testified to, and several other persons, made a general omnibus denial of all those things. It has been held in this court --

THE COURT: Perhaps you are right --

MR FORD: -- If a defendant takes the stand and testifies about this subject, simply saying. "I am not guilty of such a charge", and should stop his direct examination right there, that would open up the whole field on crossexamination. This witness here has denied paying money to Franklin for Lockwood, has denied having any such relations with Franklin at all; has denied ever learning anything from Franklin about Lockwood, except this one thing, that Franklin claimed he was trying to catch Lockwood because Lockwood had solicited a bribe from him. Franklin. That opens up the whole field for every conversation that this witness ever had with Franklin about Lockwood for the purpose of, of course, on our side, showing, if we can, and if such be the fact, as we claim it is the fact, that this witness has not correctly related the circumstances as they occurred; that, as a matter of fact, he did give Franklin the money and that the reason he never asked

Mr Franklin who Lockwood was, was because he knew from pre-vious declarations of Franklin, and that the reason he never asked Franklin where he got the money was because he knew he himself had given the money to Franklin and had given it to him for that purpose. THE COURT: Well, do not argue the facts. The question is a proposition of law under which this tender is made. I will hear you, Mr Appel, on it. 

6361 MB . APPEL. Well, suppose, your Honor, the best way to do 19s 1 2 is to read an authority. MR. ROGERS. Rardon me, Mr. Appel. Let me have that question 3 (Question read by the reporter.) 4 THE COURT No, that question, the objection was sustained. 5 It was the long question following that. 6 (Last question read by the reporter.) 7 MR . APPEL. Now, the only object in asking him that is to 8 show him whether or not if he said he had conversation with 9 him to show whether or not in those conversations he asked 10 Franklin who Lockwood was. Now, your Honor, the witness 11 has already testifed that on the 28th, that Mr. Franklin 12 stated to him that this man Lockwood there stated to him 13 there onthat day or a day or two after, as it appears in 14 evidence here, that Franklin not only had said he was in 15 the act then of turning Lockwood over to officer down there 16 at the corner, but they had discussed the fact that Mr. 17 Franklin said, in the presence of Mr. Davis, and in the 18 presence of Mr. Darrow, that Lockwood had come over to his 19 office and that he had come down there prior to that time 20 to solicit a bribe, and he discussed the fact with his wife 21 present, and eeither his son or his daughter was present at 22 the time that occurred. Now, to ask this witness after-23 wards, whether after that conversation, he says, Who is 24

this man Lockwood, or ask him anything about it, would be

perfectly superfluous. There was, as the witness stated

25

he had information who Lockwood was, that it was a 1 that 2 matter of general discussion down here inthe court room 3 immediately after arrest of Franklin; that it was a 4 matter that had been discussed here publicly; there 5 were rumors there that he claimed to be a juror. The evidence is here that immediately after the arrest, at the 6 time of the arrest that he was present, while that Mr. prowne 7 said to him, "This man is under arrest; I am going to 8 arrest him." The evidence is already here that Mr. Darrow 9 heard in the court room within half an hour or so after 10 the arrest that Mr. Browne had said to him, "I have arrested 11 this man for jury bribing." That he came here in the court 12 room and it was a matter of general discussion, and to say 13 that under those circumstances that /Mr. Darrow after that 14 didn't say to Franklin, "Who is this man Lockwood," a 15 and to argue from that, having all that information in his 16 mind, because he didn't say that, and that is the idea 17 of getting this question now, is to circumvent the ruling 18 of the court a little while ago, your Honor sustained the 19 objection, as I understood it, or having it under considera-20 tion, if you please, why didn, t you ask him? That is the 21 argument, and counsel has made here this reason why they 22 want to ask him because he didn't say to him after knowing 23 who tockwood was and after having seen Franklin state, 24 "I was going to turn Lockwood up," and then supplement 25that explanation, that Franklin had come down to his office 26

to solicit a bribe, would it be any evidence against the defendant because he didn't question a man and ask him who was Lockwood? Your Honor can see there is absolutely nothing in it, but I do say, as a matter of right, they have no right to cross-examine this witness concerning any conversations to which he testified. Mr. Franklin went on and stated a number of conversations which he said he had between the 28th day of November and the 14th day of January, 1912. He stated seriatim what conversations he had. He said that after the 14th day of January--after that he didn't talk to Mr. Darrow. Mr. narrow has said that he don, t remember having met him or talked to him after that, and we responded to those conversations; we asked him what did Mr. Franklin say in this respect, and what did you say . Did you say so and so? And wherever he saw that Mr. Franklin had made a misstatement he has contradicted him. Wherever he saw Mr. Franklin has made a true statement he has corroborated him. Now, can they go into other conversations? I say it is not cross-examination. Now, upon the other point, suppose Mr. Franklin had said to this man, "I went down there and to bribe Juror Lockwood." This man had already took it. Mr. Franklin has said here upon the stand that he was maintaining his innocence all the way through up to the time that he plead guilty. That is the evidence of Mr. Franklin here. Now, to say that Mr. Franklin would say Mr. Darrow at any of November, 1911, hatime between the 14th day of January and the 28th any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

**1**0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussion concerning his guilt, in order to draw an 1 admission or acquescence on the part of Mr. parrow here 2 that he himself was guilty of any complicity in this crime, 3 I say is not cross-examination, and it is not admissible 4 Now, Chief Justice Beatty in the case of 5 in evidence. People against Deshard, (Quoting from authority). 6 Now, there are a number of other cases on that 7 point that after the commission of the offense, your Honor, 8 where ever any one says to the defendant here anything, 9 or conducted himself with reference to the crime that he has 10 committed, that where the defendant is during all that 11 time and to the present time denying his complicity in the 12 crime that any statement made to him as a statement by 13 other persons or by any one who claims to have been a co-14 conspirator with him in the commission of the offense, is 15 not evidence, it is hearsay. Now, that is affirmative 16 evidence. They undertake to introduce here. It is not 17 cross-examination of any conversations to which Mr. parrow 18 has admitted. It is true that they don't Mr. Darrow to say 19 what was said, or what he said in response to anything 20 that was said, but they want to show acts and conduct on the 21 part of Mr. parrow here, independent of his evidence that he 22 gave here in direct examination, which is a part and parcel of 23 their case in chief. They had a right to say to Mr. 24

Franklin, Did you talk to Ir. Parrow concerning what you and

jockwood did? Yes. Did Mr. Darrow ask you who this man

25

Lockwood was? No. That would have been all right. The inference would have been irresponsible, at least the argu-

ment would have been made that it was not necessary for

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

17

22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Darrow to ask him who Lockwood was, because there was a perfect understanding who he was and what the transaction

was, but to extract that portion from the defendant 1 say

is making him a witness against himself inthe most innocent way, and yet, your Honor, I say it is incompetent, irrele-

yant and immaterial and not cross-examination.

MR. FORD. On page 6053 of the transcript the witness

practically denies everything. He has defied on page 6054:

12 "Q Are there any conversations here that come to your

12 "Q Are there any conversations here that come to your
13 mind now that you recall without my going over them and

denied categorically--I wish you would make a general statement about these matters, if you can, if not, I will

taking the time to repeat every word or line and have you

go over it? A I think it has been all covered." And there

was the answer of the witness. People against Deshara

has nothing to do with the cross-examination, and the point

we make, your Honor, is that Franklin has related various conversations had with this witness after his arrest, up

to and including the 14th day of Januaryl 1912. This

witnesshas, generally speaking, without taking the trouble to deny each specific conversation, denied that any of

those things occurred. Now, I am going in, on cross-examination, to the various conversations he had with Mr.

Franklinafter his arrest, up to and including the 14th day of scanned by LALAWYLIBRARY

January, which is strictly cross-examination. It matters not what the effect of it is. I don't have to stand here and argue the admissibility or non-admissibility of it. The question here merely is, is it cross-examination. Does it cover the subject matter that was testified to on direct examination by this witness? This whole point, your Honor, I am not offering it specifically for any particular purpose, because I don't have to. MR. ROGERS. If I understand it, your Honor please, this is a question directed to Mr. Parrow asking him if he had any conversation of any kind with Mr. Franklin after arrest, about Mr. Lockwood, other than those he has related, am 1 about correct in the general purport of it? THE COURT. That is substantially the question as the court understands it. MR. FORD. Substantially, yes. MR . ROGERS . Mr. Appel and I agree that the objection that, in that form, should be withdrawn. The witness can answer whether he ever did have any conversation after arrest with Mr. Franklin about Mr. Lockwood at all. The question is not clear -- this question, of course, don, t follow the line of interrogation which was suggested by me, having given this document -- we have strayed a long way from that document.

THE COURT Then the objection is withdrawn?

MR . ROGERS. The objection is withdrawn provided it is

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

understood that it doesn't follow that line of cross-examination, and relates -- so that it is thoroughly understood, relates to the question and the matter after Mr.

Franklin's arrest. Mr. Darrow had any conversation with Franklin about Lockwood other than those related.

- 1 THE COURT: That is your question, is it, Mr Ford?
- 2 MR FORD: The question is before the court, and --
- $3 \cdot$ if you will just read that question to the court.
- 4 MR ROGERS: It is not here.
- 5 MR FORD: Well, to get at it this way, I will put it brief-
- 6 ly along this line: Did you ever have any conversation
- 7 with Mr Franklin concerning Mr Lockwood other than those
- 8 you have related?
- 9 MR APPEL: That is not the question. Your Honor sustain-
- 10 ed an objection to that. Your Honor remembers, and then he
- 11 limited --
- 12 MR FORD: Between thecates November 28th, 1911, and Jan-13 uary 14th, 1912. A There were other things he said to
  - me.

- 15 What were the other things he said to you about Lock-
- 16 wood? A I don't know whether I could tell them all. I 17

could tell some of them.

- 18 About Lockwood? A yes.
- 19 All right. Tell us everything he told you about Lock-
- 20 wood. A I don't know as I can tell you everything at
- 21this time.
- 22 Everything that you recall; that is all we ask for, Ď.
- 23 of course. A He told me Lockwood had been to his office 24once or twice to solicit a bribe. He told me that he had
- 25 known him in the sheriff's office, and known him well, and
- 26they were friends, and that he was also a friend of the

- 1 District Attorney -- I am referring to Lockwood now.
- 2 He said Lockwood was, and that he had been connected with
- 3 the jail here for -- outside of it, for a number of years.
- 4I don't remember how long: Captain of the chain-gang.
- I don't remember what else he said. He was a friend of 5
- 6 Captain White's.
- 7 When did he tell you of this? A Different times soon Q.
- 8 after.
- How many times did you see him between the 28th day 9 Q
- 10 of November, 1911, and the 14th day of January, 1912?
- 11 I didn't see him very often.
- Approximately, how often? A Oh, perhaps five or six 12
- 13 times:
- 14 Once a week? A I saw him more at first than I did Q.
- 15 later.
- 16 For the first two weeks you saw him nearly every day,
- 17 did you not? A I don't think so. I saw him a number of
- 18 times.

25

- 19 Take the period from his arrest up until the time of
- 20 his second preliminary examination upon the Bain charge,
- how frequently did you see him? A Well, the first week
- 22 after his arrest. I didn't have much chance to see him,
- 23 if I had tried, because I was very busy. I probably saw
- 24him -- oh, I don't know, six or eight times.
- house? A I don't think he ever told me any such thing at 26

Did heever tell you that he had been out to Lockwood's

- any time. 1
- Neither at the time it occurred or since that time? 2
- 3 He did not.
- Did you ever ask him if he had been out to Lockwood's 4
- house? A I asked him frequently about it after the first 5
- 6 few days.
- MR APPEL: You mean after his arrest? A Yes, a few days 7
- 8 after his arrest.
- 9 MR FORD: Did you ever ask him if he had been out to
- Lockwood's house, is the question. 10
- 11 MR APPEL: Object to that. The witness has been asked
- 12 that question and has answered it.
- MR FORD: He has not answered it. He has been asked that 13
- 14 question, but he has not answered it.
- 15 THE COURT: Let's have another answer.
- I don't recall whether I ever asked him that question 16
- 17 or not. I don't recall ever asking it of him.
- Isn't it a fact you never asked him? A I don't
- know whether I did or not. 19
- 20 Did you receive a copy of the transcript of the pre-
- liminary examination in Judge Young's office? A I did not 21
- You know the one I refer to? A yes, because I have 22
- 23 seen it since.

MR FORD:

- You have seen both since, on the Lockwood and the 24
- 25Bain matter? A I have.
- That was since this trial began? A yes. 26Q

- 1 Q Since the trial began? A I wonet say that.
- 2 Q Well, within the last couple of months? A During
- 3 either the trial or the preparation, this one, mine.
- 4 Q Since your indictment? A yes.
- 5 Q You never heard before your indictment that Lockwood
- 6 claimed Franklin had come out to his house on the 4th of
- 7 November, 1911, and that he visited there on Sunday night,
- 8 November 26th? A I didn't say that.
- 9 Q Well, had you? A Yes.
- 10 Q Well, when did you first learn that? A Right away.
- 11 You said first heard and then you said lærned; which do
- 12 you mean? A Either one. A They are different.
- 13 Q Well, did you ever hear? A Yes.
- 14 Q When? A Right away.
- 15 Q Right away after what? A After his arrest.
- 16 Q From whom did you hear that? A Newspapers.
- 17 Q Did you ever ask Franklin at that time if that was true?
- 18 A I think I did; I am not certain.
- 19 Q Who was present them you asked him that? A I don't
- 20 know whether I ever asked him when anybody was present, or
- mion siloniol 2 ovol abitou milat only body was part and
- 21 I ever asked him at all, but he told me.
- 22 Q What did he tell you? A He told me about his relation
- 23 with Lockwood.
- $24 \mid 0$  Did he tell you that he had been out to the house?
- 25 A I don't recall that he ever did. He told me Lockwood
- 26 had been to his office.

- 1 Q How do you recall that you asked him?
- 2 MR ROGERS: He has answered that two or three times that
- 3 he doesn't remember. That is not fair.
- 4 MR FORD: You saw in the paper that it was alleged that Frank-
- 5 lin had been out to Lockwood's house? A Yes.
- 6 MR APPEL: He has a lready answered that.
- 7 MR FORD: He has answered now for the first time.
- 8 Then, you asked Franklin if that was true.
- 9 MR APPEL: Wait a moment.
- 10 MR FORD: Well, did you? A I have answered that a good
- 11 many times.
- 12 Q Now, I haven't got an answer to it yes or no.
- 13 A Well, very well. I will give you another one. I don't
- 14 recall whether I asked specifically if he had been to Lock-
- 15 | wood's house or not.
- 16 Q Do you recall whether you had any information upon
- 17 that subject other than newspaper reports? A I talked
- 18 with Mr Davis about it, and I talked with various people
- 19 about it. Various people about it.
- 20 Q This charge you thought at that time would have a
- 21 serious effect upon the negotiations which you say were
- 22 pending at that time?
- 23
- 24
- 25

Already asked

MR. APPEL. Fifteen times. 3 THE COURT. Objection sustained. 4 MR. FOPD. Q Attracting your attention to the fact that 5 you did so testify, I will ask you if that didn't sause you 6 to ask Mr. Franklin whether it was true that he went out 7 to Lockwood's house on November 4th? 8 MR . ROGERS · Objected to as not cross-examination; argu-9 mentative and already asked and answered. 10 THE COURT. Objection sustained. 11 MR . FORD. Q Recalling that to your mind that it was im-12 portant to you, and recalling to your mind the fact that 13 you had seen that in the paper, does that refresh your 14 recollection now as to whether you really did receive 15 that answer from Mr. Franklin personally on that matter? 16 MR . ROGERS . Receive an answer -- now, if your Honor please, 17 that assumes, "Receive an answer", it is assuming what 18 the witness has declined 4 or 5 times to say that he 19 asked him. 20 MR . FORD · 1 withdraw it. 21 MR . ROGERS. That would have been a nice thing to get 22 in the record. Counsel shouldn't ask that question. 23 MR. FOFD. Oh, it is a shame. Q Refreshing your recol-24 lection by these events to which I have called your 25 attention, is your memory now refreshed on the subject of 26 whether or not you received any information from Frankling

MR. ROGERS. Objected to as argumentative.

and answered and not cross-examination.

32s

1

- personally on the subject of his going to Lockwood's
- 2 house?
- 3 A 1 don, t know whether he told me specifically whether
- 4 he went to Lockwood's house or not, but he told me about
- 5 his relations with Lockwood and where Lockwood had seen
- 6 him and what he was doing on the street.
- 7 Q That was in regard to Third and Los Angeles street, and
- 8 with regard to Lockwood going to Franklin's office and
- g soliciting a bribe? A Going to his office to solicit a
- 10 bribe, I think, on several occasions.
- 11 MR . FORD. Q Mr. Darrow, up until the 14th day of January,
- $_{12}$  | 1912, the position assumed by Franklin, as far as you were
- 13 informed, and as far as you knew, wat that he, Franklin,
- 14 was innocent, and that Lockwood had attempted to solicit
- a bribe from him, Franklin, isn't that correct?
- 16 A Up until the 14th of January?
- 17 Q yes. A No.
- 18 Q Well, that was your understanding on the 28th and 29th
- of November, 1911? A My understanding was that he said so,
- 20 yes.
- 21 Q yes, that he said so. Now, did you ever know him to
- change that story up until the 14th day of January?
- 23 MR. APPEL. That is aimmaterial. I don, t care whether he
- changed it or not. There has been so manychanges, now we
- are getting to the changes--it is immaterial whether he
- 26 knew he had changed it or not. The action of Mr. Franklin

1 after the commission of the alleged offense to change or fix it up is immaterial. Mr. Franklin had three or four 2 3 different theories up until the time someone got hold of him and put it on Darrow. That is about the substance of 4 the whole testimony here, because Mr. Franklin, although he 5 said he had heen seen by two or three parties on January 6 14th, and then he commenced to put it on Darrow, yet at the 7 same time he swore right here in open court he never 8 accused Darrow. He says never in his life he accused him. 9 10 MR. FCRD. I withdraw that question to save argument. THE COURT. Question withdrawn. 11 MR . FORD. Q Now, let me get you right. You knew on 12 November 28th and 29th, 1911, that Franklin said that 13 Lockwood had tried to solicit a bribe from him? A November 14 28th and 29th? Yes. 15 Q Now, when were you informed from any source that 16 Franklin did not claim to have been approached by Lockwood 17 attempting to solicit a bribe, if ever? 18 MR. APPEL. Objected to as immaterial. What difference 19 does it make if any one came down and told him that? 20 Is that evidence? I wouldn't hang a yellow dog on such 21 evidence as that, because somebody came to Mr. Darrow and 22 told him Franklin got money from Mr. Ford or that he went 23 and picked Lockwood out of the ocean down here and tried 24 to choke him with a bribe of \$4,000, or any one else, all 25 those statements made to him would not make him understand

his situation; wouldn't make him change his own opinion 1 2 of his own innocence, his own conscience of his own innocence. They can ask him what he did do, that would show 3 any complicity in the crime, but as to what somebody told 4 him, your Honor, I just read a decision that what somebody 5 told him is not evidence, it is not cross-examination. The 6 defendant, according to Mr. Ford, ought to go to work and have 7 his tongue cut out and his ears choked up so as not to hear 8 anything or say anything after he is suspicioned of having 9 committed a crime, for fear if he says something he is 10 guilty, and if he don't say antyhing, having the ability 11 to say it, he is guilty, so by cutting his tongue out the 12 defendant could come on the stand and say, "Why, I didn't 13 hear it because I can't hear and I can't talk because I 14 have no tongue." That is about the only protection a man 15 has so long as the District Attorney's office is run by Mr. 16 Ford. 17 THE COURT. I think the door to this cross-examination is 18 opened by interrogating the Witness in chief as to any and 19 all of the conversations that Mr. Franklin and he had. It is 20 found at page 6050 and to 160. Objection overruled. 21 MR. BOGERS. Tardon me, your Honor has not apprehended 22 the question. 23 THE COURT . Let's have it . 24

MR . FORD · I will change it to "by Franklin," instead of

(last question read by the reporter.)

25

26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

1 "any source."

ME. APPEL. The witness has not said Franklin told him

anything whatever.

THE COURT. Objection sustained.

ė

1 MR FORD: Were you ever informed by Franklin prior to the 14th day of January, that Lockwood did not attempt 3 to solicit a bribe from him? A I never was. 4 Were you ever informed by Franklin prior to the 14th 5 day of January, 1912, that the first discussion between 6 Franklin and Lockwood on that subject was on the visit 7 by Franklin to Lockwood's house on the 4th day of November, 8 1911? 9 MR ROGERS: Now, this style of cross-examination is object-10 ed to, your Honor please, as not cross-examination. We 11 do not object, as your Honor has suggested, to their asking 12 what Mr Franklin said to him; what he said to Mr Franklin, 13 but now they refer to a part of the testimony which we are 14 not disposed to admit to be true, we will say, and say, 15 didn't you lærn thus and so; no foundation laid for it at 16 all. You cannot ask a witness what he learned about some 17 testimony that somebody may have adventured in the court 18 room. They may ask him, without objection, if they see fit 19 what Mr Franklin said to him; what he said to Mr Franklin 20 under all conditions and circumstances. 21 MR FORD: Withdraw the question. 22 You said Mr Franklin told you that Lockwood had visit-23 ed him, Franklin, at his, Franklin's office. Now, did 24 Franklin ever tell you that he, Franklin, had visited Lock-25 wood's house before Lockwood came to Franklin's office?

MR APHEL: Now, he has answered that several times.

- when is this going to end? If it isn't going to end
  tonight, we would like to take an adjournment until next
- 3 year. He is asking it time and time over again.
- 4 THE COURT: It may be you are right. I am doubtful of
- 5 | it.
- 6 MR APPEL: Within the last ten minutes, even, if we have
- 7 any memory at all, it has been asked, and finally that
- 8 brought up the discussion, and we read this Beshara case.
- 9 I remember that Deshara case, your Honor, and then we
- 10 withdrew the objection and the witness was allowed to answer.
- 11 THE COURT: Objection overruled. A Read it, please.
- 12 (Last question read by the reporter.)
- 13 A No. 2. N. 6378 l. 22-25
- 14 | MR FORD: Never at any time?
- 15 MR APPEL: Now, then, there you are, your Honor.
- 16 | MR FORD: Now, did you learn -- withdraw the question.
- 17 Did Mr Franklin tell you on November 28th, 1911, where he
- 18 got the \$4000 that was taken from the person of White and
- 19 Lockwood? A He did not.
- 20 Q Did he ever tell you at any time that he had the
- 21 | \$4000? A He did not.
- 22 Q Did Mr Davis ever tell you at any time that Franklin
- 23 | had the \$4000.
- 24 MR APPEL: Wait a minute. We object to that upon the
- ground it is incompetent, itrelevant and immaterial, and
- 26 not cross-examination. He can ask him, your Honor, what

- 1 Mr Davis said, because this witness has already testified
- 2 what Mr Davis said in answ er to Mr Franklin's statement.
- and several conversations of that kind. He can ask him 3
- what he said, but to put to him exactly, did he tell you 4
- in so many words, such and such a thing. I say it is not 5
- cross-examination, and it is immaterial. Suppose Davis 6
- 7 told him that Franklin says he got the money from a man who
- pretended to be from San Francisco or Chicago, and describ-8
- 9 ed that man. What difference does it make?
- 10 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- MR APPEL: We except. 11
- 12 What is this?

23

- (Last question read by the reporter.)
- I don't recall that he ever said exactly that. 14
- 15 MR FORD: Did he ever tell you anything in substance like
- He told me that Franklin had once said that he 16 that? A
- got the money from somebody out of town. 17
- 18 Now, when did Mr Davis tell you that?
- MR ROGERS: Just a moment. Let him finish. 19
- MR FORD: Pardon me. 20

so Mr Davis told me.

- 21 I don't know whether he said San Francisco or Chicago
- 22 or where, but he said he got it from somebody out of town,
- 24 When did Mr Davis tell you that? A I think it was Q
- 25the early part of January.
- 1912? A That is what I think. I wouldn't be certain 26 Q

- 1 as to the date.
- $2\mid$  Q The 14th day of January? A Yes.
- 3 Q Was that the first time you ever karned that, or heard
- 4 that, rather, I will say, from any source? A The first
- 5 time I ever heard he got it from somebody out of town?
- 6 Q Yes. A I don't recall whether anybody else said that
- 7 to me or not. I don't know who else green and it up to the
- to me or not. I don't know who else ever said it up to that
- 8 time.
- 9 Q Was that the first time you ever heard that Franklin
- 10 had \$4000? A I didn't hear that he had.
- 11 MR APPEL: I submit he has asked that question, and Mr Dar-
- 12 row has explained repeatedly, that on the 28th, in the
- 13 afternoon, it was a matter of common notoriety and discus-
- |14| sion. He said that.
- 15 MR FORD: We will eliminate the newspaper; other than news-
- paper talk. A I probably heard it as a matter of common
- gossip over and over again from that time on.
- 18 Q Well, you knew that Mr Franklin didn't have \$4000 of
- 19 his own money on November 28th? A I didn't suspect him
- 20 of it.
- 21 Q Youare quite sure he didn't have it, are you? A Well,
- 22 I didn't suppose he had it.
- 23 Q Now, did it ever occur to you, getting at your state of
- 24 mind, before Mr Davis told you that some out-of-town man
- 25 had given Franklin the money, did the possibility of Frank-
- 26 lin's having \$4000 on that day ever occur to you?

- 1 MR APPEL: Now, that is immaterial. That is argumentative.
- 2 What difference does it make after the alleged commission
- 3 of the offense, they go and ask him how many different ideas
- 4 crept into his mind and how he thought this and how he
- 5 conjured that.
- 6 THE COURT: I think it is going too far.
- 7 MR FORD: When did you learn from Mr Davis that Frank-
- 8 lin had admitted receiving \$4000 but said it was from some-
- 9 body out of town? Why didn't you ask Mr Franklin about
- 10 it yourself? A I was afraid of him.
- 11 Q Afraid of him at that time? A Yes sir; long before.
- 12 Q When did you first become afraid of him? A V ery
- 13 soon.
- 14 Q Very soon after when? A After he was arrested.
- 1516
- 17
- 18
- 1920
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

6 Q You had reason to be afraid of him because of the fact
7 you knew you gave him the money?
8 MR. APPEL. Now, your Honor-9 MR. ROGERS. I am going to take an exception to that

Q How long after his arrest? A 1 couldn, t tell. Very

week, but I thought he would be a mighty good man to leave

Q A day, week or a month? A Probably sooner than a

24s 1

2

3

4

5

10

20

soon.

along.

11 | I take an exception to it in the manner it is asked.

12 | You may answer.

13 | A | I did not for any reason on that account, not the

14 | slightest in the world, but I had reason because you were

question. I will not object to it, you may answer it, but

after me, and would give him his liberty if he would get me.

MR. FORD. Q That was a few days after the arrest of

Franklin? A Right straight, and I knew the other interests

that would be glad to do it, and have known it from that time

to this.

some money from some person out of town? A I believed a lot of things were possible, that among others.

Q Well, as soon as you heard that he had \$4,000, did that fact not convince you that Lockwood was not soliciting a bribe but that Franklin had offered the bribe? A No, you observe you have a double question there so I answer it no

Q Did you believe the story that he had received for

- Q Do you wish to modify it in any way? A No, it will
- 2 stand. That is a good answer to that question.
- 3 Q When you heard that Franklin really had \$4,000, did you
- 4 then believe that Lockwood had solicited the bribe?
- 5 A I didn't know what the arrangements was between Franklin
- 6 and Lockwood and the other people or how such a thing
- 7 happened to be done in that way, and who was responsible
- 8 for it, and I am not sure yet.
- 9 Q Mr. Davis gave you the name of the person from whom Frank10 lin got the money? A He did not.
- 11 Q pid he say it was John R warrington? A He did not.
- 12 MR APPEL. He just said he didn't say. What is the use
- 13 of arguing this matter.
- 14 MR. FORD. I am not bound by one answer. I can ask
  15 another on the same subject.
- 16 MR . APPEL. He can't argue his answers in that way.
- 17 THE COURT. Do you object to it?
- 18 MR. APPEL. certainly.
- 19 THE COURT. Objection sustained.
- 20 MR. FORD. Injust want to ask you one question before
- 21 adjourning: Q You paid Mr. Harrington \$2500, you testi-
- 22 fied, I believe? A , did.
- $_{23}$   $\mathbb Q$  Was that in cash? A It was in cash.
- Q When? A Either the first of December or very soon after.
- 25 | after.
  26 | Q And from what place did you get the cash? A Don'

1 you know?

knew it.

- 2 Q I am asking you. A I got it from Mr. Davis who got it
- 3 on a check from me because we were threatened with
- 4 attachment proceedings by a faker here in town who did
- 5 attach some money, and we were threatened with other
- 6 attachment proceedings, and the money was drawn out of the
- 7 bank and he gave me that for that purpose. That is how
- 8 1 got it. I told Mr. Harrington that and I supposed you
- 10 Q What date? A l couldn't tell you.
- 11 Q What I know is not in evidence, Mr. parrow. A I know,
- 12 but you wouldn't have to ask me if you knew it.
- 13 MR. ROGERS. Just a moment. Counsel got from me a book
- 14 which he got as a personal matter on the strength of his
- going to introduce it in evidence. I inquire if he is.
- 16 MR. FORD. I intend to at the proper time.
- 17 MR. ROGERS. Isn't the proper time now?
- 18 MR. FORD. I will introduce it at my own free convenience.
- 19 MR ROGERS Will you do it tomorrow? Shall I bring the
- 20 book back?
- 21 MR. FORD. Yes, bring the book back. Thank you for your 22 courtesy.
- 23 MR. APPEL. May we ask a question to see if we want to have
- 24 our other witnesses here tomorrow?
- 25 Discussion.)
  - 26 | (Jury admonished. Recess until August 2, 1912, at 10 A.M.