J. D. FREDERICKS. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Dept. No. 11. Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge. The People of the State of California, Plaintiff, No. 7373. VS. Clarence Darrow, Defendant. REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT. VOL. 69 INDEX. Direct. Cross. Re-D. Re-C. Le Compte Davis, 5595

July 26th, 1912. 10 o'clock A.M.

Defendant in court with counsel. Jury called; all present. Case resumed.

4

5

6

2

3

LE COMPTE DAVIS on the stand.

MR APPEL: We turn the witness over for cross-examination.

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 MR FREDERICKS: Mr Davis, I attract your attention to

10 Thanksgiving Day -- A You say for me to do so?

12 You remember on Wednesday before that, that you had an

I will attract your attention to Thanksgiving Day.

appointment with me that you would call me up at 2 o'clock

Thanksgiving afternoon? A Yes sir.

Q And didn't you call me up at 2 o'clock? A I did but

you were not there.

Q No, I was there, and you talked with me. A Not

Thanksgiving day; you were away, is my recollection. I

would not be positive about that, Mr Fredericks.

world not be positive about that, we fredericks.

Q See if I cannot refresh your mind -- your memory.

Didn't you call me up at 2 o'clock Thanksgiving afternoon

and tell me that you were still working on that proposition,

that you didn't know whether you could bring it through or

not, and asked me if you couldn't come out and see me at

9 o'clock that night, and didn't I reply to you, "There

is absolutely no need of your coming out, and I don't want

- to talk to you about it unless they are both willing to
- 2 plead guilty." A Yes, I remember that now, distinctly.
- 3 Q You remember that conversation? A Yes sir.
- 4 Q Happened just about that way, didn't it? A Yes sir.
- 5 Q Now, you came out then, about 9 o'clock? A Sometime
- 6 in the evening; I don't know what time it was. I would
- 7 presume, though, about 9 o'clock.
- 8 Q Did you call me up before you came out? A I don't
- 9 think so.
- 10 Q And tell me that you would be out in a few minutes?
- 11 A I don: t remember it. I know I had an appointment and
- 12 I donet think I did.
- 13 Q I have substantially related all the conversation that
- 14 occurred over the telephone at 2 o'clock, have I, or
- does anyother occur to you? A I remember that I said
- 16 we had difficulty, would have difficulty with John --
- 17 | with Jim.
- 18 Q Did you tell me what the difficulty was?
- 19 MR DARROW: Let him finish. A yes, that is my recollec-
- 20 tion, I told you that.
- 21 MR DARROW: Let him finish the answer:
- 22 THE COURT: Have you finished, Mr Davis? A Yes sir.
- 23 MR FREDERICKS: Now, had you ever talked to me about
- 24 whether or not either one of these men were willing -- did
- 25 you ever admit to me that either one of these men were
- 26 actually willing to plead guilty up until Thanksgiving

- 1 Day? A Oh, on Wednesday I told you so, and -- on Monday
- 2 I told you we would not have any difficulty with Jim,
- 3 but on Wednesday I told you that there was no difficulty
- 4 about Jim pleading guilty at all in the matter, and there
- 5 would be no difficulty with John, if it were left to him
- 6 alone.
- 7 Q You remember Mr Darrow and you coming up into my office-
- 8 the District Attorney's office, rather, on the afternoon of
- 9 the day Franklin was arrested, and having a talk with me?
- 10 A No, and I don, t think we did. We were there the day
- 11 after.
- 12 Q Wednesday? A yes sir.
- 13 Q You remember that conversation? A That conversation
- 14 was along the line I have already said that you and I had
- 15 a conversation along. We were up there to see the best
- terms we could get, and it was then that you said that you
- 17 wouldn't let him off unless he took a term of years, and
- 18 I insisted on knowing what you meant by a term of years.
- 19 Q Now, Mr Davis, isn't that the very first time that
- 20 the question of J. J.'s pleading guilty, or both of them
- 21 pleading guilty was ever seriously discussed between you
- 22 and me?
- 23 MR ROGERS: That calls for a conclusion, "seriously dis-
- 24 cussed".
- 25 A I talked with you about it --
- 26 THE COURT: Wait a moment, Mr Davis. There is an objection.

- 1 MR ROGERS: That is objected to as calling for a conclu-
- 2 sion. No objection to what was said, but, "seriously dis-
- 3 cussed" --
- 4 THE COURT: I think it calls for a conclusion, "It was
- 5 seriously discussed. Objection sustained.
- 6 MR FREDERICKS: It is a conclusion, in a measure, it is true
- 7 The objection is sustained?
- 8 THE COURT: yes sir.
- 9 MR FREDERICKS: Isn't this what occurred up there on Wed-
- 10 nesday, the day after Franklin's arrest: didn't you and
- 11 Mr Darrow come in and sit down, and didn't you say, "Well,
- 12 what do you want?", and didn't I say, "I want both of
- 13 thes e men to plead guilty, and Mrs Bain is sitting out
- 14 here in the room just adjoining me and wants to come in and
- 15 tell me a story, and if you are going to do anything, I
- 16 think you better hurry up about it", and didn't Mr Darrow
- 17 | say. "Well, I don't think we can ever get J. J. to do it,
- 18 but we will go over and try?" A Is that the end of the
- 19 question?
- Q yes. A That was not the exact conversation; shall I relate it?
- 21 Q Yes sir, do so.
- 22 A My recollection of the conversation is that I came in
- 23 and I said to you --
- 24 Q The question was, you and Mr Darrow both being present.
- 25 A Yes sir, that we realized that the arrest of Mr Frank-
- 26 lin was a serious matter, and I had come back to see if what

you said before would still go, and you said that "what I said then will still go, but there must be a plea of guilty by both these men, and they must plead guilty at the same time". And I said to you at that time, I did not think we could ever get J. B. to consent to J. J. entering a plea of guilty, and you said J. B. would be hung and J. B. would be hung also, and I said that Mr Darrow had come up to have a talk with you also, at the same time, and about that time Mr Ford came in to whisper something in your ear, and then you said to me, "Mrs Bain has come through", after Mr Ford left the room, I said, "Come through with what?", and you said, "With the fact that Mr Bain had been also given money." Now, that is about the conversa-

remember it; you will remember Mr Ford coming in, too.

tion that occurred there, Captain, I think, if you will

Q I would not say that is not correct. It probably is,

although I do not just recall it now. Did I ever say to

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

26

3 you, or was there ever any discussion with you as to what difference it would make as to whether both these men plead 4 5

guilty at the same time? Was the matter ever discussed? A That matter was discussed with us, because we wanted to

let J. B. plead guilty and take a sentence before he knew that J. J. was going to be sentenced, and you said, "We will

do this: we will not let him enter a plea of guilty, not have him enter a plea of guilty in the Times case, Which is the murder case, but he may enter a plea of guilty in the Llewellyn case, but they must be entered at the same time."

Q yet me refresh your memory a little bit. Don't you recall, at the very first time that the Llewellyn case, that the idea of having J. J. plead guilty in the Llewellyn case

came up was Thanksgiving night out at my house? A No, sir, Captain, it came up--Q And I was the one that brought it up? MR . DARROW. Let him finish. THE COURT. Finish.

20 Q You think it came up before? A lt came up onthe Monday 21before? 22 Q Monday? A Yes, sir, the Monday before. 23 Q After you left my house that night, Thanksgiving night,

you say that we had agreed that so far as we could -- where did you go? Where did I go? I went back home. Α

- Q pidn't you go out to Mr. Darrow's house? A No, I called up Mr. Darrow afterwards.
- 3 C Thursday night, Thanksgiving night -- A That is my

 $\mathbf{2}$

- 4 recd lection, 1 called up Mr. Darrow afterwards about it. 1
- 5 wouldn't be absolutely positive, but my recollection now, the
- 6 picture that is in my mind is that I went home and tele-
- 7 phoned.
- 8 Q Well, now, didn't you tell me there you would have to go and see Darrow now and tell him that I had agreed? A I
- o and see Darrow now and tell him that I had agreed? A I told you that I would go back and see Mr. Darrow and that we
- would see the boys in the morning, and that we would be ready at that time, I thought, without any question.
- Q wow, of course, you understood that the District Attorney could not make a bargain as to how many years a man was to
- get? A Absolutely 1 did.

 16 Q And that would not be binding or final? A Not be binding or final, but I knew--
- 18 Q And that-19 MR. DARROW. Let him finish the answer--
- 20 A --and 1 knew you would not tell me he would get that unless you felt so satisfied.
- Q Put all I could do would be to recommend? A That is it, and you said, "If I don't recommend it you can know that
- 24 | 1 cannot do it, and if 1 do recommend it you can take my
 25 | word that 1 am satisfied that it will go through."
 26 | Q | And if 1 did not recommend that would mean that it was all

- off, that they were not to plead guilty? A You would 1 recommend it, so far as that is concerned, but if you didn't 2
- tell me that it would go through, why, then it was all off, 3 but you said you were satisfied that it would, and we were 4
- satisfied, from what Mr. Steffens had said to us it would. 5 Q And that was to be told to you, of course, before they
- 6 plead guilty? A Before they actually answered their plea, 7 yes, sir. 8
- Q And if that had not been acceded to, that is, if that 9 arrangement had not been made, of course, you would have gone 10 on with the case? A It was not afterwards made, and we
- did not go on with the case. 12

19

20

- Some similar arrangement was made? A Some similar ar-13 rangement. If it was to be left entirely to the Judge we 14 certainly would not have done so. 15
- Q You/have gone onand tried the case? A Certainly. 16 And you never knew until Friday morning that the arrange-17 ment could be made, did you? A No man ever knows anything 18

positively that comes up, but then we felt satisfied that

- it would. Q You felt satisfied after Friday morning? A I never
- 21 doubted your word at all. 22
- I don't mean about that, I mean my ability . 23 I mean, when you told me so I felt absolutely satisfied. 24
- I didn't tell you until Friday morning? A You told me 25 that was your desire, that you would recommend it, you would 26

do everything you could to bring it about, and Friday morn-

ing you had not been able to--

1

2

3

23

24

25

26

Q 1 told you also--read the answer-- (Armer read.)

A You interrupted me. Friday morning you told me it would have to be 15 years. I asked you to have the matter

6 postponed until afternoon, that we wanted to talk it over

7 with the boys, because we didn't want John to go in and be

g called upon to take a sentence of 15 when we assured him it

9 | would be only ten. 10 | Q vou did, then, go over and talk with them to see if

they would still take 15? A did with John.

Q You did that very morning? A There was other things I talked with him too, that you put in on Wednesday.

Q On Wednesday the day before Thanksgiving? A yes, that somehow or other had escaped our attention, that is, you

wanted a statement in writing of the guilt of the boys. I

mean that escaped my attention when I was testifying yester
day, but it didn't escape my attention when I went there on

the day of Thanksgiving, because at that time I sat down and wrote out, at the dictation of J. B. McNamara, his connec-

tion with the matter, which I afterwards showed to Mr.

Darrow, because that was in our presence, and then again we

went over there and it didn't suit you, what I told you I had gotten, so you went with me and you and I sat down while J. B. made his statement, and I wrote it down in your

presence.

Q Are you sure you ever told me that you had gotten a statement prior to that from J.B.?

A yes, I told you, and gave you the substance of it. Captain, is my recollection, and it didn't suit you, and for that reason you went over yourself. I said, "Come along with me; have you there and let you indicate what you want." Well, then, Mr Davis, you never knew -- nobody knew until Friday morning after a talk with the Judge, that these two cases were actually going off? A Well, I didn't talk with the Judge. Well, I mean; after I did. A I only knew it in Q. the manner I have detailed to you and the jury heretofore. And I told you that Judge Bordwell was not one of the judges who had been haddling criminal work, and I didn't know him any better than you did, and Iwasn't sure what he would do. A Yes. Now, I want to go back to the day when you testified

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 17 Q Now, I want to go back to the day when you testified 18 that I first discussed the matter of either one of these 19 men pleading guilty, with you. Now, this that we have been 20 talking about now was in the week of Thanksgiving? A That
 - Q The time when you say I first mentioned this to you was the week before that? A Was the week before and I fixed the day by being the day before Mr Fremont Older came here.

was in the week of Thanksgiving 2 yes sir.

Q Well, I think it is in testimony here that Fremont

older was here on Thursday, so that would be on Wednssday, then? A That is my recollection, that it was on Wednesday.

13.

the trial.

Q And up until Wednesday, you had never had the slightest intimation from Mr Darrow or Steffens or anybody else that there was anything of that kind in the atmosphere?

A No sir. You had spoken to me several times during the course of the trial.

Q In a bantering sort of a way? A Yes, in a bantering

sort of a way, but I never had an idea there was any negotiations going on for this settlement until you spoke to me about it, and I thought then that you were speaking in the bantering manner that you had been during the course of

Q That was Wednesday, the 22nd? A I am pretty positive that that was the day.

Q And you didn't mention that to Mr Darrow until next day? A Until next day, when he told me that Mr Older was there and what he had come for.

Q So the reason that you didn't mention it to Mr Darrow until the next day, was because from the tone and manner of the conversation and all, you thought I was simply bantering you? A Well, probably if I had it come to my mind, Captain, I would have remembered it afterwards, but

it may have been that that didn't make the impression on my mind that it otherwise would have done, and I didn't do

scanned by LALAWALIBRARY

it until the day that Mr Older came.

1

5

11

- 2 Well, as a matter of fact, weren't we talking at
- 3 that time more or less in a bantering way, without being
- 4 very serious about it at all? A Well, I thought you were
- until you told me you had the paper that they had made you 6 the proposition, and you said Mr -- you didn't call him
- 7 Lincoln Steffens, you had some name for him, what was it,
- 8 some pet name.
- 9 We will call him Lincoln Steffens. A You had a pet
- 10 name for him. You said he had come in here and was bringing
 - it about, and I knew Mr Steffens was here then when you said you had a paper, I thought certainly there was some-
- 13 thing in it.
- 14 Now, did I tell you at that time that Lincoln Steffens
- 15 had ever offered to have both these men plead guilty, or
- 16 just one of them? A At that time?
- 17 On Wednesday? Α At that time you told me that he
- 18 had offered to let them both plead guilty because I said
- 19 I would never consent at that time that J. J. enter a plea 20
- of guilty to anything. 21 Because J. J. was the representative of organized labor
- 22 and a plea of guilty on his part would be a confession of
- 23 organized labor to this offense, is that the reason?
- 24 The reason was that I thought you didn't have probably
- 25 as good a case against J. J. as you had against the other 26
 - man, and that you would let him go.

- Q That we couldn't put it over? A Well, you may have
- 2 it in that light, I didn't say. I didn't think -- I knew

6

21

22

23

25

26

- you had a strong case against him because you told me you
 had telegrams and letters -- he had told me heretofore you
- 5 didn't. When I went to him and told him you had them he
 - had to admit you did.

 Q You knew there was a lot of telegrams, clocks and
- Q You knew there was a lot of telegrams, clocks and bombs, and one thing and another tied up by judicial pro-
- 9 cedings, and one thing and another back in Indianapolis,
 10 both your side and our side was fighting for possession of
- them? A I saw it in the press.

 12 Q You knew that fact? A I knew it as well as I could
- know it from that kind of testimony. I didn't doubt it.
- 14 Q You knew it, being an attorney and an associate of Mr
- Darrow, and your side of the case, you knew your side was
- fighting for that stuff, and we were, too? A Yes, I knew
 we were fighting for it.
- 18 Q Where was this conversation, Mr Davis, up in my office--
- 19 I say, up in my office -- where was this conversation on
- Wednesday the 22nd of November, that you had with me?
 - A It was in your room when I was there with reference to the steel rail and also with reference to some dynamite papers.
- Q Wrappers on some dynamite? A Something, I don't know
 - Q Was it in my own office, or was it in room 26 down

whether it was wrappers or dynamite.

the hall? A I think we were in both places.

Q Do you know how much of the conversation occurred in room 26? A I don't think -- I don't know that any of it occurred there. My recollection was that it was in your room, but I do know that you told me that the steel was in room 26, and so forth. I know the steel rail was in your room for a long time.

Q That was the iron beam? A The iron beam.

cums tances.

Q That was broken by the dynamite at the time of the ex-

plosion. So you came up to my office on another matter altogether at that time, not connected with these men plead-

ing guilty or anything of the kind? A No.

Q You hadn't any thought about them pleading guilty at that time? A Not at that time.

Q And didn't you tell me at that time when I suggested it to you that I never could get a conviction of J.B. with that jury, that the jury would never convict him, J.B., the fellow that was on trial? A I probably did, because I wouldn't have said to you that you could, under any cir-

Q Well, don't you remember that you did say that? A 1 don't remember that I did, but I say I probably did. I wouldn't have said whatever I thought.

Q Now, then, just what was that conversation as you remember it, the entire conversation so far as it referred to these men pleading guilty?

MR. FREDERICKS. This was Wednesday the 23rd up in my office-Wednesday the 22nd up in my office the dat before you met Lincoln Steffens. Well, I came in and was

MR. DARROW. This was Wednesday the 23rd?

talking about the business that I had and you said "Now, what is the use of fooling around about this? What is the use of fo oling any longer? Why don't you come through and

scanned by A A AMILIBRARY

quit this horde play?" You said, "You know you are going 1 to plead guilty. You know the boys are going to plead $\mathbf{2}$ guilty and why don't you come through and do it? " I said, 3 "I wasn't hired to enter a plea of guilty for these men; 4 they could do it without me, if they wanted to plead guilty." 5 6 You said, "There is no use talking that way to me; this proposition has been made to me and you know that Mr. Sinken 7 Leffens -- I know that you reversed the name some way, has 8 been taking this up with the committee, and they have made 9 a proposition to me to let him plead guilty," I said, 10 "What are you giving me?" You said, "I am giving you 11 straight goods," something like that. Well, I said, "I never 12 heard anything about it and you have got to show me." 13 You said, "Well, if you haven't heard anything about it," 14 you said, "Old Boy, they are keeping you in the dark about 15 something." Well, I said, "I don't believe so. I think 16 I know pretty much about the case, "You said, "Well, I 17 can get the paper and show you the direct proposition that 18 has been made to me." I said, "I would like to see it or 19 I would have to see it," and you went for the paper in your 20 desk somewhere but you didn't bring it out." 21 Q Well, you thought I was bluffing, to be frank about it? 22 I had an idea you was bluffing pretty good until you 23 commenced to talk about Wr. Steffens. I thought there might 24 be something Mr. Steffens was doing in that matter I hadn't 25 heard anything about. 26

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9/
- **(**0
- 11
- 2
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 21

- 22 23
- 25
- 26

- Or anybody else knew anything about except him?
- MR . APPEL. That is asking for his opinion and conclusion.
- THE COURT. Objection sustained.
- MR . FREDERICKS · I withdraw the question.
- THE COURT. The question is withdrawn.
- MR . FREDERICKS. Q is that about all the conversation?
- Well, we talked some more about the business --
 - About that part of it, of course? A Yes. You said to me, "You take hold of this Darrow and make him come through
 - and tell you what he is doing", and I said, "I never had
 - any trouble yet that I know of to make him come through and
 - tell me what he was doing, " he was leading counsel in this
 - case and I presume when he wanted me to know it he would
 - let me."
- Q Then all day Monday, the 20th, and all day Tuesday the

- 21st, and all day We dnesday the 23nd, you and Mr. Darrow
- were working together on this case? A Yes.
 - during those three days as you were during the time George Eehm was here, weren't you? A Yes, we always worked to-
- gether.
- Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday were talking about letting 24
 - J.B. plead guilty? A No.
 - parrow didn't tell you that? A #e did not.
 - I suppose you do not maintain that Mr. Darrow never met

Q And you were in as close relations, so far as you know,

Q And you didn't know that Mr. Darrow and Mr. Steffens on

- George Behm except in your presence, do you? A I would be very foolish to. I certainly do not.
- 3 Q Well, then, on Thursday you met Mr. Older and Mr. Steffens
- 4 and Mr. parrow-by the way--1 withdraw that question--what
- time of the way was this on Wednesday you were up to my
- office, do you remember? A Sometime in the afternoon.
- 7 Q After court, after 5? A Either before court began, or
- 8 2 o'clock or after that, sometime in the afternoon. I know
- 9 it was, it might have been there was an adjournment then--

we frequently took adjournments in that case when wew

- 11 manala wana sant fan hut I sauldnit san hut I know it
- panels were sent for, but I couldn't say, but I know it
- was sometime inthe afternoon.

 Q Are you sure that was not Thursday? A Absolutely
- 14 positive it was not. I would not say Wednesday nor
- Thursday. I will say this: I am sure it was the day before
- 16 Mr. Older came here, I don't know the day of the week.
- 17 Q Are you sure it was not the day that Older came?
- 18 A It was not the day older came.
- 19 Q on the forenoon? A No, sir, it was not.

10

24

25

- 20 Q What is it that makes you sure? A Recause I told them
- 21 that I had had the conversation on the day before.
- Q And when was this conversation on Thursday that you had
- 23 with Mr. Older and Mr. Steffens, what time of the day?

- 1 I think it was about noon; it might have been either 2 a little before or a little after: probably a little after 3
- 4 Q Where was it? A I think that we came back from the 5 court room, we found Mr Older had arrived on the train 6 during the time we were in court.
 - Q Well, about noon? A After noon, I think it was, yes sir.
- 9 Q. Where was it? A It was up in Mr Darrow's office, 10 in the Higgins Block.
- 11 In the Higgins Building. And was there anybody present 12except you. Steffens -- A When it began there was my-13 self, Mr Darrow, Mr Steffens and Mr Older and Judge Mc
- 14 Nutt came in shortly afterwards.

noon.

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 15Was Judge McNutt in there while you were talking about 16 J.B. pleading guilty? A Yes sir. He coincided with the
 - views of Mr Darrow and Mr Older, and I differed from him.
 - That is, you didn't want him to plead guilty? A Not at that time.
 - And they were making no talk there among them about Q. anybody pleading guilty at that time. except J. B., is that correct? A At that time they said that the proposition was satisfactory that J. B. plead guilty, and that J.J go free, and that there should be no prosecutions.
 - Any further prosecutions of nobody? A Yes. When I say that I was not willing for Mr J.B. to enter a plea of

- guilty, I mean this: that for some time I had thought that

 it was the best thing if he could save his life and enter

 a plea of guilty, but I was not willing to enter a plea

 of guilty, until there should be some conference with the

 persons who were really employing us.
 - Q All right. Now, this is Wednesday or Thursday? A This was the day Mr Older was here --
- 8 MR ROGERS: Wait a minute --

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 9 MR FREDERICKS: I thought the man was through; I waited
 10 for a while. A Yes. When I am not I will call your at11 tention to it.
- Q And Mr Steffens told you then that he understood the prosecution was willing to permit J.B. alone to plead
- guilty and dismiss the case? A That is what he said. He said that the committee had assured him, and he had a lit-
 - Mr Lissner had furnished him the paper.

tle piece of paper, and he said -- my understanding is that

- Q And that is what he showed your? A That is what he showed me.
- Q Did he show it to you? A He showed it to Mr Older and I saw it myself and read it.
- Q That is what it provided for, as you said, J.R. should plead guilty and receive any punishment except death, and all other cases should be dismissed? A Something
- Q That is what they maintained was agreed upon? A Tha

like that. I have never seen it since.

- is what they said and what I said that you said would never be accepted.
- 3 Q All right. Now, then, when was it you sent this tele-
- gram to the representatives of organized labor, either to
- 5 Mr Nockels or Mr Gompers? A I never sent it. I didn't
- 6 see it before it was sent. I was told it was sent, and I
- 8 Q You are the one that insisted on its being sent there
 9 that day? A I insisted that we know something more from
- 11 Q And there, that day? A I said we ought to that day.
- 12 Q And the telegram was sent at that day? A I don:t know.
- Q Well, didn't Mr Darrow tell you it was sent? A He said he either had or was going to send a telegram; I don't
- 15 know which.
- Q And at that time that telegram was sent there was no talk on the part of Mr Darrow or Mr Steffens about any-
- body pleading guilty, except J.B., is that correct? A There was after what I said --
- 20 MR DARROW: Let us have that question.

don't know when it was sent.

organized labor about it.

- 21 THE COURT: Wait a minute.
- 22 A I will withdraw that.
- 23 (Last question read.)
- 24 MR FREDERICKS: I don't mean what you said, I mean on
- 25 their part.

7

10

26 MR DARROW: My objection to that question is, he says at

- that time that telegram was sent. They had the tele-
- gram, I take it. I think it was the day before, but I am not sure, and Mr Davis is not sure; he has already said
- and Mr Davis is not sure; he has already said he is not sure, and I object to the question in that
- 5 shape.
 6 MR FREDERICKS: We think the telegram was sent the day
- 7 before, but --
- 8 MR DARROW: That is what I said, I do not want the wit-9 ness misled.
- 10 THE COURT: I think he ought to be shown the telegram.
- 11 A I couldn't say if it was sent either after or before
- they talked about this, I know what I talked about with them.
- 15 ever telegram was sent was sent the day before.

MR FREDERICKS: Mr Darrow says that the telegram, what-

- 16 MR DARROW: I think it was, Mr Fredericks, but I wouldn't be sure now. I think you know.
- 18 THE COURT: We have the telegram: let him look at it.
- 19 MR DARROW: I think they have the telegram.
- 20 MR FREDERICKS: I have not the telegram.
- 21 THE COURT: The telegram is in evidence.
- 22 MR FREDERICKS: No, that is the telegram to Lincoln
- 23 Steffens that is in evidence.
- 24 MR DARROW: We are speaking of another telegram to Mr
- 25 Gompers.
- 26 THE COURT: All right. I see.

MR FREDERICKS: We are speaking of a telegram to Mr Gompers which I am asking this witness, Mr Davis, if that telegram was not sent.

THE COURT: My impression was that telegram was in evidence, but I recall now it was not.

MR FREDERICKS: If that telegram was not sent on his demand and his insistence that Mr Gompers or someone in authority should be notified that he had -- that they were willing that J. R. should plead guilty, providing all other cases should be dismissed, and at that time Mr Davis, I think the question is pending -- at that time the talk between Mr Steffens and Mr Darrow and Mr Older was that J. R. only was to plead guilty, wasn't it?

6p 1 That was the talk until I spoke to them about what you 2 had said.

- 3 Then you told them that I had said they were both going 4 to plead guilty and they said "No, that is not it at all?"
 - They said that was not the proposition.
- 6 They said that was not the proposition, so they maintained still the same proposition, that there was only one of them 7
- to plead guilty? A That was the understanding that the 8 9 committee had given them every assurance if one man would
- plead guilty, then I told them I was satisfied both would 10 have to plead guilty and told them the number of years, and 11

they asked me what you mentioned and I said, "Mr. Fredericks

- said that the court would have to fix it, " and I said to 13
- them at that time, "I am sure you never will get a plea" 14 in this case without John entering a plea of guilty to some-15
- thing and taking some sentence." 16 Q I understand that statement, but I do not think the jury

5

12

17

22

23

24

- would understand it. Just read it again, Mr. Reporter. 18 (Last answer read.) 19
- Q you mean you knew the prosecution would not do anything 20 in the matter of this case unless John should plead guilty 21
 - and take some sentence? A ves, I impressed that on their minds and if they wanted to take the matter of compromise
 - up they might as well take that into consideration right now.
- Q Yes, but did Mr. parrow tell you that the day before that 26

while they were satisfied only J.B. was going to have to plead guilty, they had already said to Mr. Compers to send a man out here to consult about the matter? A I don't know whetherhe said he had sent the telegram or was going to send one.

know whether he said he had sent the telegram or was going Q I show you defendant's Exhibit M; this is a slip of paper, or a similar slip of paper that Mr. Steffens showed you that day -- "Party on trial to plead guilty and receive such sentence as the court may administer, except capital punishment, all other prosecutions in connection with the affiair to be dropped." A That is my recollection of the contents of the instrument. I could not positively iden-tify that instrument.

Q Now, did you talk to them, to Mr. parrow and Mr. Steffens again that day? A Not that day.

Q Or Mr. Older? A No.

Q And did you talk to them or did you talk to Mr. Darrow or Mr. Steffens the next day in regard to these pleas of

guilty, that would be Friday? A I think Mr. Steffens came in either the next day or the day after that and said that the connittee said it would go through on the exact terms that he had mentioned in that—that he had been promised in that slip of paper.

Q I want to know how much of that you are sure of, Mr.

pavis? A I am sure one or the other of those days Mr.

Steffens came in and said that, that he had talked with the

committee and that they were satisfied, that he was satisfied and they had told him that it would go through. Q Now, I want to talk about this conmittee a minute and see whether there ever was any committee. Did you ever meet with any committee in connection with this matter? A You mean any of the committee that Mr. Steffens was --Yes . A Never. Q I don't mean a committee in relation to your own people, the labor people. A No. Q I mean the committee composed of Mr. Lissner, Tom Gibbon and Harry Chandler or Mr. Slauson or any of those those people. A 1 never met with the committee, nor I never talked with any individual of the dommittee at any time about it, either at that time or since that time. Q Did you ever talk with anybody representing the prosecution in this action except the District Attorney? A Never. Q Do you of your own personal knowledge know that any one else connected with the def ense, and I will include Mr. Steffens in that question, talked with anybody in regard to this matter? A I don't know it except that they talked with us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q Except what he said? A I say, I know they talked with us about it, but as to whether they have talked to any of this committee you have talked about, composed of Mr.

Lissner and those people, I don't know.

- 1 2 3 5 6 7 8
- 4

- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

MR . APPEL . Your Honor, the word "committee" has got into

indirect examination what Steffens said that a number of

this, and I allowed it to go in. Mr. Davis here has given

Q you know Mr. Steffens and Mr. parrow talked with you

Q Put you don't know whether there was any committee in

existence or whether they ever met any committee, of your

own knowledge? A From my own personal knowledge 1 cannot.

gentlemen -- now, nobody, as far as the witness himself is concerned, he has not called it a committee except in the

- sense they were a number of persons, that is a committee,
- it had an understanding between them, or appointed by some
- one-- I suppose a committee is a number of persons consti-
- tuted by a larger number to do something or constituted by
- themselves to do something, but in the interest of someone else, combined together to further some plan or scheme or
- some Aroposition.

about it? A Yes.

committee? A No.

I suppose that is meant by committee, but I object to the question of counsel on the other side, because he assumes that the witness here said, or has ever said, that there existed a committee. He has simply stated what Mr Steffens said, but the parties named, or however you may call them. had assured him of this, and he had said this was going on and the proposition would go through, but the witness himself here has never testified that there existed a committee at all; he is assuming on that/line of questions, and I simply do it out of precaution, so that his testimony may not be misunderstood. MR FREDERICKS: We propose to show, your Honor, that there was never any committee meeting with Mr Steffens or anybody else in regard to this matter, until the night before Thanksgiving, and that all this loose talk in which some of the witnesses have indulged about going down town and talking to committees is not true and is not correct, for there never was any committee. Now, that is what we propose to show, and that this witness on the stand, I wish to show that he knew of no such committee of his own knowledge, and then we will follow it by others. He cannot use this witness for the purpose of MR APPEL: impeaching/Mr Steffens as to his understanding of the case by showing that this witness had no knowledge of the existence of the fact; you cannot put him on the stand and

say, "Now, you don't know there was a committee of your

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

scanned by 1 &1 &\&\IBRARY

own knowledge." "No, I don, t know." "In fact, you never talked to any committee?" "No." And then use that want of knowledge on the part of this witness to impeach the testimony of Mr Stefe ens. Mr Steffens' testimony is very clear upon the subject. Mr Steffens said with whom he talked, and they suggested the calling of a number of persons, and Mr Steffens has said, your Honor, that not until after the plea of guilty was entered, there was a meeting down there of representative men at which he met a number of gentlemen; that a certain proposition was carried through -- in regard to the collateral matter in reference to the MCNamara case --THE COURT: Just a moment, before you go away, Mr Petermich-Read the question. (Last question read by the reporter.) I wanted to interrupt you for the purpose of hearing the question. MR APPEL: yes sir as I say, he assumes that Mr Steffens ever said to this witness that there was a committee. MR FREDERICKS: I understood this witness to use that language himself. A If I may explain what I meant by the committée, was --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2\$

it, but what Steffens meant.

MR APPEL: He has a right to know what the witness meant.

MR FREDERICKS: I don't care what the witness meant by

THE COURT: I think the witness is right there. He used the word "committee". He has a right to explain.

5625 MR-FREDERICKS: No, your Honor, now listen a minute. That is not the point at all. If this witness used the word "committee" he has a right, of course, to explain what he meant by committee, but I don't care what he meant by "committee". I am after what Lincoln Steffens meant by "committee". Now, he is supposed to be reciting here what Lincoln Steffens told him. THE COURT: yes. The witness has just now stated that he used the word "committee" and desires to explain what he meant by "committee". MR FREDERICKS: But if Ma Steffens used the word "committee" and this witness is reciting what Lincoln Steffens said, his explanation won't help any -- ch, well, let him make it. Whatever this witness meant by the word MR APPEL: "committee" would not explain or unexplain what Lincoln Stef fens meant. I insist on his answering what he meant. THE COURT: We have all agreed that the withess is to explain what he meant by the word "committee". A When I used the word "committee, I probably -- it was a number

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

or anything of the kind, but they all had one object in view.

MR FREDERICKS: Don't you know, Mr Davis, that there wasn't any number of men, that the only men that were con-

of men who were working together for a common purpose. I

didn't know that they had ever been appointed by anybody,

sulted by Mr Steffens in regard to this matter before 1 Franklin was arrested, was Mr Lissner and Mr Gibbon, and 2 that they talked Mr Chandler and Mr Brant to me? 3 Well, I didn't know with whom they talked. Captain. 4 He said -- my recollection there were three men at least 5 mentioned, Mr Earl, I know he mentioned Mr Lissner's name, 6 Mr Gibbon's name, Mr Earl's name, and I didn't understand 7 by him thathe talked directly with Harry Chandler, but 8 that some of them had. Those four names were mentioned. 9 Harry Chandler, Earl, Lissner and Tom Gibbon. 10 Now, you understand the time we are talking about now, Q 11 12 is the time between Thursday, the 23rd, and the day that Franklin was arrested? A That is my recollection. 13 That is the time I am asking you about? A yes sir. 14 And come back to where we were -- I asked you if you had 15 ever talked to either Mr Steffens or Mr Darrow after Thurs-16 day the 23rd, and you said that either Friday or Saturday, 17 Mr Steffens had said that he had seen his committee, and 18 19 they had agreed with his proposition, that J. R. alone should be punished, was the one that was acceptable, and 20 21 would go through. 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

> 19 20

> > 21

22 23

24

25 26

THE COURT. The question is--MR . APPEL. The question before was "his crowd". Now he says "his committee." THE COURT. The question is amended to say, "a committee." A I wont state sure, but that is my recollection he said, "a committee." MR. FREDERICKS. I don't care which it was. A I can't be absolutely positive as to one word. , didn't intend to use the word, "his" in the sense of ownership, but something to tie the words together with. Now, that was Friday or Saturday? A Lither Friday or Saturday. We have been discussing the matter now for 5 or 10 or

15 minutes, are you able to say now any clearer whether it

was Friday or Saturday? A No. my best impression would be

O That it was the next day? A-Be Friday. Well, then if it

was Friday did you see him Saturday and discuss the ques-

it on gaturday, probably the same thing was mentioned.

tion of their pleading guilty? A I had no discussion about

that it was the next day immediately afterwards.

MR. APPEL. Wait a moment. I object to that question on

MR · APPEL. No, he said Steffens had said "his committee".

the ground the counsel has again more forcibly put in

MR . FREDERICKS. The use of the word committee?

objectionable matter in that question.

MR . FREDERICKS. A conmittee.

- But you don't know Mr. pavis. do you? A No. 1
- 2 Well, then, don't say it. Do you remember where you went
- on Sunday or what you did? A I don't know where I went 3
- nor what I did, now, but I know I was not at home until 4
- in the evening, when Judge McNutt called up and the maid 5
- gave me the message -- had already given me the message just 6
- as I came in. 7
- Where did you meet Judge McNutt that Sunday night? 8
- A At his house. 9
- THE COURT. We will take a recess at this time. (Jury 10
- admonished. Recess for 5 minutes.) 11
- TH# COURT : Proceed, Gentlemen. 13

(After recess.)

12

- MR · RREDERICKS. Q Now, go back just a step. You say the 14
- conversation that you had with Mr. Steffens either on Friday 15
- or Saturday was as to the effect the people downtown or the 16
- people representing the prosecution were going to come to 17
- his terms and let J.B. plead guilty and let J.J. and the
- 18
- others go. Now, you said it was just possible that you 19
- may have had another conversation with Mr. Steffens: you 20 were not sure and I asked you not to relate it. If you did
- 21 have any other conversation with him, however, on Saturday,
- 22
- it didn't change--it was not any different from the one 23 you have narrated? A Along the same lines.
- Q That the prosecution was going to come or had agreed 25
- to his terms? A That those men had assured him that the 26

- 1 matter would go through along the lines laid down in the 2 paper.
- Q And that was the last talk you had with him? A That was the last talk to I had with him that week.
- 4 was the last talk the I had with him that week.
- 5 Q You didn't see Steffens on Sunday? A I did not.
 - Q Did you see Darrow on Sunday? A I did not.
- Q Didn't see anybody in connection with the defense, then,
- 8 until Sunday night? A That is all.
- 9 Q When you saw Judge McNutt. A Judge McNutt.
- $oxed{10}$ Q And where did you see him? A At his home here in the
- 11 city, I think it is on--just off of Sixth street or on
- 12 Sixth, I wont be sure.
- 13 & That is Judge McNutt that has been referred to at
- several times as a member of counsel of defense, and who
- has since died? A Yes, Judge Cyrus McNutt.
- 16 Q You answered, but it slipped my memory, where was the
- 17 meeting? A At his home.
- 18 Q Now, anybody present except you two? A His wife and
- daughter were in the sitting room and we were in the
- 20 parlor.

- 21 Q Well, I mean anybody present in your conversation?
- $_{22}$ A No, sir.
- 23 Q What was the conversation? A Pe said to me that Mr.
- 24 Darrow and he and Mr. Steffens had had a talk with the boys
- in the jail on that day and spent either thewhole day or the
- whole of the afternoon in jail talking with them in reference

- 1 to this matter, and that J. B. was perfectly willing to
- 2 plead guilty and take life, but that he was not willing that
- 3 J. J. should take a year or a day; that J. B.--that J.J.
- 4 they had talked to about the matter and told him exactly
- 5 what I had said with reference to your position in the
- 6 matter, and that J. J. had expressed a willingness to take
- 10 years, if it was necessary, but that J. B. would not 7
- consent to that at all, and he wanted me to take up the 8
- matter with you the next day. That was about the substance 9
- 10 of the conversation.
- Q J J said that he was willing to plead guilty if those 11
- interested in his end of the affair thought it wise and 12
- proper, is that it? A That he was willing to take it if 13
- it was necessary, and not to let you know it, to do the 14
- best that I could to get him off entirely, according to the 15
- original program. 16

- Q And only -- of course, he was only willing to plead 17
- guilty on the condition, or he stated at that time that he 18
- was only willing to plead guilty on the conditions that he
- mentioned, is that correct? 20
- MR . Appel. No, wait a minute. I object to that question, 21
- your Honor. There is no, "Of course" about it. 22
 - MR . FREDERICKS. I will eliminate the "of course."
- MR . APREL. The witness has stated what--24
- MR · FREDERICKS. I will eliminate "of course." 25
- MR . APPEL. -- Judge McNutt said . 26

ER 31 MR · FREDERICKS · I withdraw the question · It is a fact, is it, Mr. pavis, that Judge McNutt told you that J J was only willing to plead guilty upon the conditions mentioned? MR · APPEL · Now, wait a moment -- we object to that because the question is very indefinite, your Honor. Mr. Davis has not stated any conditions in his answer. MR. FREDERICKS. I will make it a little more definite: On the conditions that he would get 10 years and no more. A He stated it in the language that I have used, that J J said he would plead guilty and take as much as 10 years. There was a portion of that I omitted and that was that he said that labor would be satisfied -- that he could make a showing to them, and a statement and they needn't worry about what labor would say about it.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

- Now, you say you saw me again on Monday? A I saw you again on Monday.
- Up until you saw me on Monday, had you seen Mr Darrow between the time you talked to Judge McNutt and the time you talked to me? A I think, Captain, that I called you up at your home Monday morning before you left: I wouldn't be sure -- I wouldn't be absolutely positive about that. but my recollection is that I did, and I saw you immediately after you got down town at your office that morning before having seen Mr Darrow at all.
- Well, now, you were trying at that time in your talk with me to get me to accept a plea of guilty from J.B.. and let J. J. go? A I was doing my very best.
- Whatever efforts you made were made along that line? A My efforts were to get you to let him go. If you didn't let him go to get the very best terms I could.
- Well, you would not -- A But I didn't indimate to you --
- -- come in to make a bargain with me and say that if you won't let J. J. off, if you won't do that, we will do the best we can? A No sir, I told you that I wanted to get him off and tried to get him off.
- Q J. J.? A yes sir, and asked you, ghough, what would be the least -- what you meant by a term of years, and you said that the Judge would fix that. I said, "No, we would never consent to the Judge fixing it; you must give

- us some idea before I go and even talk with J.J. about it,
- and then you gave any idea that ten years.

2

25

- Q Well, you didn't intimate as broadly as that that there was still a possibility of J. J. pleading guilty.
- 5 did you?
- 6 MR APPEL: I object to the question, now, because he is
- asking for his conclusion and opinion, whether it was an
- 8 intimation or suggestion or any opinion, or even a hint,
- 9 that is not proper.
- THE COURT: Yes, it is a matter of what was said.
- 11 MR FORD: This is cross-examination, now.
- 12 MR FREDERICKS: This is cross-examination, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: But this question calls for a conclusion of
- 14 the witness.
- 15 MR FREDERICKS: Well, all conclusions are not barred.
- THE COURT: On a matter that is in the province of the
- jury. Objection sustained.
- 18 MR FR EDERICKS: Well, Mr Davis, if you were trying to get
- me to let J. J. off, you wouldn't intimate in the alightest
- degree, that there was any use of talking about J. J.
- 21 pleading guilty, would you?
- MR APPEL: Just a moment. We object to that because that
- is argumentative, and calling for opinions and conclusions
 - of the witness, what he would do under one circumstance or another. calling for mere possibilities.
 - THE COURT: Objection sustained.

- MR FREDERICKS: Cross-examination.
- THE COURT: 2 I know it is.

- 3 MR FREDERICKS: Did the court rule.
- THE COURT: Yes sir. objection sustained. 4
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: Did you mention in the slightest, anything
- about the possibility of J. J. pleading guilty? A I ask-6
- ed you what you meant by a term of years, and what --7
- and you said that the court would fix the term of years. I 8 9 said, "There would be no possibility -- be no use to talk
- 10 with him about it along that line". I said. "What do you
- mean by a term of mears?" And you said, "Ten years." 12 That is about the substance of it.
- 13 Now. didn't I say to you when you asked about this mat-
- 14 ter, that you were talking about J. R. altogether, and
- 15 wasn't the entire talk about whether J. B. would plead
- 16 guilty or not? A No, it was not, Captain. I was trying 17 to get J. J. off absolutely, if possible. I knew what he
- 18 was willing to take, and I didn't want to take it, nor
- 19 did I want him to take it, and it was my endeavor to get
- 20 him off, if possible; if not, to get ten years, if not to
- 21get five years, or anything that I could, and get the
- 22 best intimation from you as to what you would do in the
- 23 matter. 24And you didn't get it? A Except that you said you
- 25would be willing for ten years.
- 26 Q Well, didn't I say that the judge would have to fix it?

- 1 A That is what you said at first, but if you will go
- 2 over it in your own mind, you will remember that I said
- 3 we would never plead guilty under an agreement of that kind.
- 4 Q Is that the first time -- A Because I said I don,t
- 5 think it would make any difference.
- 6 Q That what would make any difference? A That the
- 7 Judge would make any difference in the sentence, that he
- 8 | would give either one of them.
- 9 Q You mean that he would give them both life? A That
- 10 is what I thought. You had expressed the opinion many times
- 11 that J. J. was more guilty than J.B.
- 12 Q Because he was the brains of the organization?
- 13 A That is what you said.
- 14 Q That is the man -- all right. A However, I knew dif-
- 15 ferent.
- 16 Q Well, you may have known that the other brother was
- 17 the sharper, but you don't mean that you knew that J. J.
- 18 | was not the secretary and direct/feature of the union --
- 19 the International Union, to which he belonged.
- 20 MR APPEL: We object to the discussion relative to brains
- 21 of the two men, as immaterial and not cross-examination.
- 22 Makes no difference which one thought or knew.
- 23 MR FREDERICKS: It was a valuntary statement of the witness.
- 24 THE COURT: Yes, it might have been stricken out. Objec-
- 25 tion sustained.
- 26 MR FREDERICKS: All right. Now, that is the second conver-

sation that you had with me in regard to this matter; is that correct, Mr Davis? A That is the second conver-sation that I had w ith you in reference to it. And that is the first time that I ever mentioned to you any number of years? A That is the first time that you ever mentioned any number of years. And you say that the night before that, J. J. had men-tioned that he would plead guilty if he would get that same number of years?

0p 1 A He said he was willing to plead guilty and take up tol0 2 years, when they told him it was my opinion from my talk

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

3 with you that he would have to take a number of years, and 4 they went over the matter with him, so they said, and he

said, "I would take up to 10 years".

Q And the 10 year matter, so far as you got the information from Judge McNutt was the suggestion which came from J J McNamara?

9 MR . APPEL- I object to that, your Honor, because he is 10 asking him for his opinion. The witness can say what the circumstances were, if any one had told him that or 11

if from some other line those things came --12 MR. FREDERICKS. I think counsel is correct if he insists 13 upon the rule. I will withdraw it. Q What did Judge 14 McNutt say that J J had told him about the number of years?

A He said that J J, when told that it was my opinion that you would insist on him taking a sentence, discussed it with them and said that he was willing to take a sentence

Q And then, coming back to the conversation with me on 20 Monday morning following that, did the suggestion of 10 21

years come from me or from you? A lt did not come from me because I didn't let you knowhe was willing to take any thing.

Q Well, then, it came from me? A Yes, sir.

providing it was not more than 10 years.

Just before that, however, I had said that the judge

would have to fix the penalty?

1

2

- MR. APPEL. I object to that, because he has already stated
- 3 that, your Honor, time and time over .
- THE COURT. Objection sustained. 4
- MR. FREDERICKS. Now, when was the next time--that was 5
- Monday the 27th of November, wasn't it? A ,t was the 6
- day before the arrest of Mr. Franklin, Monday. 7
- Q Yes. Did Mr. Darrow send you up there that morning? 8
- A 1 came from Judge McNutt. I didn't see Mr. Darrow that 9
- morning. My recollection is 1 telephoned to your house the 10
- next morning as soon as I got up and asked you if I could 11
- see you before court. 12 Q Did Judge McNutt tell you this, that what he told you 13
- was coming from Mr. Darrow, or was he simply suggesting 14
- that you come up and see me himself? A No, he said that 15
- Mr. Darrow had requested him to tell me to take up the 16
- matter of the adjustment with you on Monday and see if I 17
- could not bring about the matter that Mr. Steffens --18 Q All right.
- MR . DARROW . Let him finish . 20
- A -- that Mr. Steffens had said was not satisfactory to the 21
- others. 22
- Q Then you went back after seeing me, you did report to Mr. 23 Darrow? A When I went back I reported. I don't know that
- 24 I went back before court. I think I went from your office
- 25° directly down to the court and at noon I reported to Mr. 26

1 Darrow, just exactly the conversation I had with you and also to Judge McNutt and to Mr. Steffens. 2 3 Q And did Mr. Steffens and Mr. Darrow walk over and hold a little private conversation there, because you didn't know 4 5 anything about this matter, and then did Mr. Darrow afterwards come back to you and tell you to go ahead and get J J off, 6 7 that he never would plead guilty? MR . APPEL. We object to the question, because it calls 8 for a conclusion of the witness, not cross-examination; it calls for something that he wants the witness to appear 10 not to know, something--I don't know anything about--he 11 wants the witness to say there was something said between 12 two persons that he did not hear. That puts up the situation 13 before the witness, and the witness does not say he knows anything about it, he says he reported this matter and 1 suppose the only question is what Mr. Darrow and Mr. Steffens said, if anything. That is not the idea, your Honor. The witness can state what was said and he can state they were sitting down or standing up or assume any position he wants, he wants to have the whole picture presented to him and he can ask him what sort of light there was in the room, but he must not tell him he didn't know or he didn't hear, assuming there was something between them that occurred there that the witness has not said he knew anything about and not testified to on direct examination, and not cross-

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

examination.

- MR. FREDERICKS. This is a situation about which Mr.
- Steffens testified that Mr. Davis had come down--
- 3 MR . APPFL . Now, to what Mr. Steffens testified--

2

24

25

26

- A MR. FUEDERICKS -- and didn't know and thing about this and
- 4 MR. FREDERICKS -- and didn't know anything about this and
- 5 didn't know J J or J B were going to plead guilty, and
- 6 that Steffens and Darrowwent off and talked privately
- 7 about it and then came back, and I am asking this witness--
- 8 THE COURT. You cannot ask this witness if those other
- gentlemen did certain things, because this witness did or did not know.
- 11 MR FREDERICKS 1 am asking if he knows whether Darrow and
- 12 Staffens went off and talked privately together.
- 13 MR · ROGERS. That is not the question.
- 14 THE COURT. If that is the question that is another ques-
- 15 tion. The objection is sustained.
- MR. DARROW. I would like to see the record onthat.

 MR. FREDERICKS. Q When you went down, where did you meet
- 17 MR · FREDERICKS · When you went down, where did you meet
- 18 Mr. Parrow that Monday noon and report this matter to him?
- A We went from the court room directly to his office, I
- 20 think.
- Q Was Mr. Steffens there when you got there, did he come
- with you or did you meet him there? A My recollection is
- 22 with you of did you meet him there? A my recorrection is
- 23 he was warting for us there.

he was waiting for us there.

R He was waiting for you there? A Yes.

- Q Now, then, after you told Mr Darrow what I had said,
- 2 did Mr Steffens and Mr Darrow go off and talk privately
- 3 out of your hearing for a little while? A I do not think
- 4 so; I have no recollection of any such conversation.
- 5 Q And then did Mr Darrow come back and tell you, "Well,
- 6 you go out and tell them J. J. will never plead guilty
- 7 and he never will plead guilty"? A No. What was said
- 8 at that time was: when I told exactly what you had said,
- 10 put this matter before you, that Mr Chandler will go direct

Mr Steffens says. "We have got a new -- I would like to

- 11 ly himself to Mr Fredericks and have a talk with him, that
- 12 it is the desire of General Otis that the matter be car-
- ried through upon the same theory and along the same lines
- that I have already suggested.", and I said, "Whatever you
- do has got to be done quickly, because Captain Fredericks
- 16 says it has got to be done right away. "You remember you
- 17 said whatever you had to do had to be done then, and then
- there was a discussion came up as to whether it was for
- political purposes or not, which I don't care to go into,
- 20 unless you do want it.

1

- 21 Q No. You mean political purposes of the city campaign?
- 22 A yes, about the city campaign.
- 23 Q No. A But I imp ressed upon them whatever was to be
- 24 done with Mr Chandler or anybody else by you had to
- 25 be done quickly.
- 26 Q yes, and that was the 27th of November? A yes sir.

- 1 Q And whatever was to be done had to be done quickly?
- 2 A yes sir, it had to be done quickly, yes sir.
- 3 Q Well, did you see Bert Franklin up there that Monday
- 4 noon, the 27th of November? A I do not know; I do not
- 5 recollect.

- 6 Q Were you sent back by Mr Darrow to see me again after
- 7 that about this matter?
- 8 MR DARROW: You mean on that day?
- 9 Q I mean on Monday, the time that you had this conver-
- sation before noon? A I did not go back to see you that
- 12 as to whether Mr Chandler had seen you and he said that he

day. We had another talk that afternoon with Mr Steffens

- to be discould be
- was to see you that afternoon, and I said, "Whatever is to

 be done, we ought to take it up right away and do it tomor-
- be done, we ought to take it up right away and do it tomorrow", and so Mr Darrow told me to see the Judge, McNutt,
- to go up there the next morning and make the arrangements
- with you about it if this other matter didn't come through.
- 18 Q You didn't go up the next morning? A No, I did not.
- 19 Q About that? A I didn't come up the next morning at all
- 20 I was up there the next afternoon about the bail of Mr
- 21 Franklin.
- Q Well, on Monday, the matter was left in considerable
- 23 | doubt?
- 24 MR APPEL: Now, -- A Not to my mind.
- 25 MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object to that as asking for

- 1 THE COURT: Yes. Objection sustained.
- 2 MR APPEL: The witness has said they told him to come --
- 3 | THE COURT: Strike out the answer.
- 4 MR ROGERS:" Wait a moment. Will you be kind enough to
- 5 read the record. (Record read.)
- 6 THE COURT: The answer is stricken out, and the objection
- 7 is sustained.
- 8 MR APPEL: The answer stricken out and the objection is
- 9 sustained?
- 10 THE COURT: Yes sir, the answer is stricken out and the
- 11 objection is sustained.
- 12 Q Monday were you still getting a jury down there in
- 13 that case -- were you not? A yes sir. My recollection
- is we examined some jurors, the record would be the best
- 15 evidence, Captain.
- 16 Q yes. Tuesday, with the exceptions of the forenoon,
- when there was another motion on, on account of the death
- in the family of one of the jurors, were you still getting
- 19 a jury down there? A I don't remember, I think --
- 20 Q Tuesday afternoon? A I think Tuesday morning that
- 21 they were examining -- I know Tuesday morning they were
- 22 examining the panel, the venire that had been sent out and
- 23 brought in, because I went there myself.
- 24 Q And all day Wednesday, they were still getting a jury
- 25 down there? A I presume we were, but I have no definite
- 26 recollection, except -- I think they were proceeding with

- 1 the jury, selecting the jury.
 - Thursday was a holiday. Thanksgiving? A ves sir.
- 3Thursday was a holiday, yes sir.
- 4 Friday they plead guilty? A Friday afternoon they
- 5 plead guilty.

10

15

- 6 Wednesday, the night before Thanksgiving, another
- 7 venire was issued by the court wasn't it? A I don't know
- 8 MR APPEL: We object to that now, as not cross-examination.
- 9 It is a matter of record and it would make no difference --
- MR FREDERICKS: All right. 11
- THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Strike out the 12
- answer.
- 13 MR FORD: It is in the evidence already, and calling the
- 14 attention of the witness to the transactions on those days.
 - MR APPEL: That wouldn't make any difference.
- 16 MR FREDERICKS: I think the question is proper, and if I 17
- would argue it to the court, the court would see the proprie-18
- ty of it, and would leave it in, but I think the matter 19
- is of no importance, and I would rather not take up the time
 - with it.
- 21Now, you say Mr Franklin was the agent or employe of
- 22. the defense in the matter of investigating jurors? A He 23
- was.
- 24And that he made you, prior to the beginning of the 25case, he made you a full report on the 1600 men, practi-
- 26cally? A He made a report on all that he could find, so

1 he told us, yes sir.

2

12

- Which covered the 1600. either found or infound.
- 3 It covered the 1600, yes sir.
- 4 I am not using the 1600 correctly, but approximately.
- 5 And you used those reports and supplemental reports he
- 6 brought you from time to time in examining the jurors.
- 7 did you, Mr Davis, in court? A At all times.
- 8 At all times? A yes sir.
- 9 You found them to be reasonably correct, did you?
- 10 MR APPEL: We object to that as immaterial, asking for a
- 11 conclusion of the witness, and not cross-examination.
- MR FREDERICKS: A state of mind. 13

MR APPEL: The state of mind of whom?

- 14
- MR FREDERICKS: The state of mind of the witness.
- 15 MR APPEL: The state of mind of the witness has nothing
- 16 to do with the state of mind of thedefendant here, absolute 17ly.
- 18 MR FREDERICKS: But, we are cross-examining this wit-
- 19 ness.
- 20 MR APPEL: No sir. We simply asked him -- we showed on
- 21 the side of the prosecution here, by Mr Franklin, that
- 22the reports were made, several duplicates, and given to
- 23 the different attorneys, and we wanted to showespec-24ially, we asked him whether or not it was true that every
- 25 report he made concerning a juror, that he especially made
- 26 them to Mr Darrow, and to Mr Davis, and we wanted to show

25

26

that there was no difference in his reporting to Darrow/ one thing and reporting to Davis another, but they were consulted together concerning the same juror each time. and that these two people consulted as to the jurors, as to who should remain on the jury, or who should not: that it was not a matter exclusively with Mr Darrow, but Mr Davis was also consulted with reference to the matter. and that Mr Franklin not only consulted with Mr Davis. but he also got the same reports that Mr/Darrow did, and we want to show by that testimony, you'r Honor, that Mr Franklin was not exclusively under the control of Mr Darrow, that he did not have his exclusive confidence, that there was no reasons to suppose he was acting in any other way than the manner that he should be acting with reference to one attorney, and also acting in the same manner with reference to the other attorney, that is all there was to it. As to the state of mind of the witness, that cuts no How does he know whether the report was correct, figure. MR FORD: This man has testified or not? Not only to reports made by Franklin, but he has also testified in regard to occurrences after the 28th, in regard to putting up bail money, in regard to conversations had with Mr Darrow, concerning Mr Franklin's guilt or innocence, and some of those things are perhaps based upon conversations and communications between Mr Davis and Mr Franklin, as attorney and client, but also some with regard to other matters coming within the wit-

- 1 ness! knowledge, and outside of those communications, now,
- 2 he has testified --
- 3 THE COURT: Do you propose to investigate the correctness
- 4 of Mr Franklin's reports on those 1600 jurors?
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: Certainly not. 6
- MR FORD: We are going into the relations of this witness 7 and Mr Franklin.
- 8 THE COURT: You have askedhim whether or not generally.
- 9 those reports were or were not corréct. 10 MR FORD: To show whether Mr Franklin was in good faith work-
- 11 ing for the defense. If this witness found Mr Franklin's
- reports were not correct, that would be a point this jury 12 13 wants to know about.
- 14 MR DARROW: How are you going to know?

25

- 15 MR FORD: If the reports were not correct, that is a mat-16 ter that the jury would want to know about.
- 17 MR APPEL: That is a matter entirely on the side of the 18 prosecution, and they cannot get, on thecross-examination
- 19 of our witness, any facts that ought to have been shown
- 20 on their case. It is not cross-examination and they cannot 21
- ask him now, unless e go on, we would have a right to 22 show what he said to one juror and what he said to another,
- and ask him whether this turned out to be true, and then 24 we would have a right to get the testimony of the jurors
 - when asked on examination, and compare with what Mr Franklin reported, so as to show the jury whether it is correct

or not, that is the only way of doing it. He cannot come upon the stand and say here, your Honor, whether or not all the reports of Mr Franklinwere correct or not. Honor will remember that not all of the jurors were examined. Counsel himself says that there was about 1600 names, and yet the record here will show, and it is a matter of record in this court now, that not all of those jurors were examined, probably 250 or 300 of those jurors were examined. How can this witness determine now whether every report made by Mr Franklin conferning 1600 men was correct, because the answers of 250 of them confirmed the report of Mr Franklin, how would he know even then? MR FORD: I was addressing the court when I was interrupted by counsel. But, they have avowed their intention, time after time, of showing that Mr Franklin was employed by the prosecution in this case, or the National Erectors' Association, or the werchants & Manufacturers' Association, or by some other organization other than the defense, or the Birns Detective Agency; they have stated that was their intention time and again; they have introduced this witness to testify to Franklin's relations to the case; if they may limit or intend to limit it for one purpose, but no matter/what their purpose is, the witness has testified with regard to Franklines relations to this case. Now, here is one of the attorneys for the defense assisting in the defense of the McNamaras, coming into contact with Frank-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lin, and testifying with regard to Franklin's relations to the case, and we certainly have a right to crossexamine him relative and in regard to Franklin's relations to the case. We are not bound, upon/cross-examination, to confine ourselves to the purposes which they had in mind. We are bound, simply, to confine ourselves to the subject matter that was introduced, and the subject matter here was the relation of Franklin to the defense in that case, and we have a right to cross-examine upon that whole subject matter. We are not bound by the purposes they have in view or the intentions with which they introduce the evidence upon that matter; we have a right to show that Mr Franklin made reports to the witness on thest and, because he has testified to that upon direct examination. Now, we have a right to go fully into the character of those reports, we have a right tocrossexamine him fully upon them to ascertain whether those reports were inwriting or made verbally to ascertain whether they were made honestly and legitimately, and for the purposes of the defense, whether they were done with the intent to deceive the defense, because Franklin was working for Burns' detective Agency or the Merchants & Manufacturers or some other organization, that they have promised time and time again they were going to show, had control of these men -- which they have not, in my mind, yet shown.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR APPEL: Now your Honor-

MR FREDERICKS: Let us take a ruling.

MR APPEL: Your Honor, I have a right to reply --

MR FREDERICKS: Then, I am going to withdraw the question

I want to get through with this witness.

MR APPEL: That settles it, but we would like to answer

your demand.

The question is withdrawn. THE COURT:

MR FREDERICKS: All right, I will withdraw it. I want to

get through with this witness and let him go into court.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR FREDERICKS: Mr Davis, you say you used the reports of

Mr Franklin as you were examining the jury? A Constantly,

Constantly. How long were we getting that jury? Up until the time we quit? A Up until the time we quit.

sir.

1

2

3

4

7

12

17

26

notober?

2

Q Six weeks or two months. You used those reports of Franklin the first week? A We did.

Q Well, the week before the last? A yes.

MR . APPEL. The last two days after the 27th.

Q Well, from October 11 to the 29th of November? A yes,

- 5 And you used them just as diligently on the last week?
- 6 A Well, I don't know that we did the last day or two.
- 8 MR. APPEL. Let him finish.
- 9 THE COURT. Is there an unfinished answer there?
- 10 A No.
- MR DARROW You answer that question •
- 13 MR . DARROW pead that answer.
- (Last answer read by the reporter.)
- 15 MR. FREDERICKS. Q About the last--you used--you relied
 16 on them just as implicitly in November as you did in
- 18 MR. APTEL. Wait a moment -- the witness has not said anything of the kind.
- 20 MR FREDFRICKS Q Well, did you?
- 21 MR · APPEL · He said not the last day or two.
- 22 A No, 1 didn't say that, Mr. Appel. There was one of the young men who gathered the evidence for Mr. Franklin
- that we came to the conclusion probably didn't go and see some jurors but took what the neighbors said, and we

didn't pay as much attention to his reports as we did --

- 1 but otherwise we gave them the same credence we had in the 2 beginning.
- 3 MR . FREDERICKS. Q And when Mr. Franklin was arrested on the
- 38th of Movember you became his attorney? A Yes, sir, 4
- 5 that is, associate attorney.
- Q Well, you became one of his attorneys, I will put it 6
- that way, and your answer is yes, sir. And you continued 7
- to be one of his attorneys for how long? A I couldn't 8
- tell you, but until after the plea of guilty was entered 9
- in the first case or until the time of the plea of guilty, 10 is my recollection 11
- Q And this bail money that was put up, you put up for him 12
- was \$10,000 in cash? A \$10,000 in cash. 13
- n currency? A Yes, currency. 14
- And Mr. parrow furnished you that, is that correct? 15
- He gave me the check and I got the money. 16
- Q Where was Mr. Darrow when he gave you that check? Was 17
- he in the bank? A No, I don't know where he was; I think 18
- though, he was at his office. 19

- Q Don't you recall, Mr. Davis, that that check was drawn 20
- on a blank such as is kept in the office of the bank, one 21
- of these tablets and it was not drawn on a check with a 22
 - perforated side such as Mr. Darrow had in his office?
 - MP . APPEL. Wait a moment -- we object to that as the checks
- 24
- are the best evidence. We object to any secondary evi-25 dence. The checks are the best evidence.

- 1 A Well, I can answer it, Mr. Appel, in a minute.
- 2 MR. APPEL. There will be no question if the checks are
- 3 here.
- 4 MR. FREDERICKS. The photograph of it is here and has
- 5 been introduced in evidence.
- 6 THE COURT. Excepting only that the witness is evidently
- in a hurry to get through for personal reasons.
- 8 MR. DARROW. I think Mr. Davis has got it in his head now,
- 9 Mr. Fredericks.
- 10 MR . FREDERICKS. But there is an objection.
- 11 MR . DARROW . Better withdraw it .
- 12 A 1 think I can answer it very much quicker.
- 13 MR. FREDERICKS. All right, I will ask it. Q Where was
- 14 that check drawn? A My recollection is that it was drawn
- in his office and on a check that I presented myself, as
- 16 I always carry that kind of a check. I don't carry any
- check book, I take just-here is one now off that same
- bank, Security Savings Bank, that I always carry, go in the bank and pick it up and put it in my pocket and carry it
- 20 away. Drawn on my check.
- 21 Q He had also an account in that bank? A He had also
- 22 an account in that bank.
- 23 Q And what time of the day was that? A 1t was somewhere
- 24 between 11 o'clock and the time I put up the bail which
- 25 | was about 3.
- 26 Q M. Darrow say anything to you about why he didn't want

1 to go up and go his bail himself? A No, he said nothing 2 about why he didn't want to go and go his bail himself. 3 Q Now, that morning you testified about Mrs. Franklin 4 coming down, and I think you said you met her over in Mr. 5 Franklin's office that morning, didn't you--well, I will 6 ask you? A About noon I met her in Mr. Franklin's own 7 office. Isn,t this what happened that morning in regard to 8 Didn't Mr. parrow tell you that Mrs. Franklin had 9 'phoned to him, in the Higgins Building there, and in 10 regard to Mr. Franklin being in trouble, and that Mr. Darrow 11 had told her to go over to Governor Cage's office, and 12 that he, Mr. parrow, would be right over there, and now didnit 13 you then go over there with Mr. Darrow to Governor Gage's 14 office and meet Mrs. Franklin there in Mr. Gage's office 15 that morning? A No, that is all wrong, Captain. When we 16 got to the office we received a message that Mrs. Franklin 17 had sent for one of the attorneys to come over and see her, 18 Mr. Darrow, or myself; I think she mentioned, and we were 19 getting ready to go and was sitting at the desk discussing 20 some matters when the telephone rang again and Mr. Darrow 21 picked it up and spoke as if he was speaking to Mrs. Frank-22 lin, and said, "We will be over in a little while." And we 23 went, and that was to Mr. Franklin's office. 24 Q When did you first go to Governor Gage's office in 25 regard to that matter?

- 1 MR. ROGERS. That question, if your Fonor please, is
- 2 ambiguous; "When did you?" You mean the witness or Mr.
- Darrow? 3
- 4 MR . FREDERICKS. The witness.
- A Mr. parrow didn't go with me but I went to Mr. Cage's 5
- 6 office in the aftem con.
- 7 Of that same day? A That same day.
- Q Anybody go with you? A Nobody at that time that 18
- 9 remember of, 1 am positive.
- Q Did you meet Joe Scott over there? A 7 did. 10
- Q Was Franklin still in jail? A I wont be sure whether 11
- I went to Mr. cage's office after I saw Mr. Franklin at the 12
- jail and before I got the bail for him or whether I saw
- 13
- him after 1 got the bail for him and he was out. My pre-14
- sent recollection is that when I went to Governor Gage's 15
- office the first time he was not there, and that I did not 16
- see the Governor until Mr. Franklin got out, but I wont 17
- be positive about that. 18
- Q Well, then, the first time that you went over when Gover-19
- nor Gage was not there, at that time, at any rate, Mr. 20
- Franklin was still in jail? A At the time I first went 21
- over? I think so, I think that I went to his office as 22
- I came back from the jail. 23
- Q Dian't you go to his office before you went to the jail? 24
- No, 1 did not. 25

Mrs . Franklin went down to the jail with you, didn't she

A I don't think so. I am trying to think but I don't think she did. I am pretty positive she did not go.

Q Didn't you tell Mrs. Franklin there that day that governor Gage had already been retained to defend Franklin but that he was an old fashioned lawyer and that he insisted that she should also speak to him in regard to it? A I

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

16

17

18

19

25

26

- that she should also speak to him in regard to it? A 1 did not, I never used any such expression in reference to Governor Gage in my life and would be the last person to do it.
- 10 Q Didn't you--1 will split the question up. Didn't you
 11 tell Mrs. Franklin that you had already--that Governor Gage
 12 had already been retained, that she had better speak to him
 13 about it? A Not until after I had seen Governor Gage and
 14 spoke with him and possibly then I did, though I have no
 15 recollection having said so to her then, but I know not

before I had seen the Governor.

- Q Now, when was the first time that you had a conversation with Mr. Darrow after you learned -- 1 withdraw that. When did you first learn that Franklin had been arrested?
- 20 A 1 first learned that Franklin had been arrested from some newspaper reporter while I was sitting listening to the examination of the jurors—the venire by Judge Bordwell.

 23 He came in and tapped me onthe shoulder and called me to che side and he told me so.
 - Q That was in Judge Pordwell's court? A That was in Judge Pordwell's court.

Q where were you that morning, Mr. Davis, prior to that time? A Prior to that time I had been up to your office,

3 came down from your office to where the jurors were

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

. 21

22

23

24

25

26

being examined--no, from your office I went directly to the

5 United States Court where the case of Fred Thompson was on trial. Mr. Bush was defending him, and had had a sudden

stroke of illness and gone up to Mt Lowe and he had telephoned me to go and see the court and ask if he could not

have a further continuance of a few days, that he was getting better, and the case was to come up on this particular morh ing, so I went over and called for the District Attorney

there and had a talk with him?
 Q United States District Attorney? A United States

Wellborn also that the matter might go over, and from there I returned immediately to the court room where the jurors were being examined.

District Attorney's office and they consented, and Judge

Q You say you went up to the District Attorney's office that morning, you mean -- A 1 went to your office.

Q Did you see anybody there? A 1 didn't see you because you were not there. I don't know that I did--who 1 did

see.

Q Did you say I was not there? A Not when I was there.

Q What time were you there? A Oh, I suppose it must have been about a quarter of nine or something like that.

Q Well, you were not into my private office? Λ No, 1

- just simply inquired at the place. I inquired for you.
- I think I also inquired for Mr. Browne and neither one of 2
- 3 you were there.

trat.

26

- Q What did you come up there for that morning? A That 4
- morning I wanted to see you with reference to the matter 5
- we had spoken about on Monday night. 6 Q What time did you leave home that morning? A Well, my
- 7 usual time, I suppose about 8 o'clock. 8
- Where did you go from home? A 1 think I came directly-9
- 1 don't think 1 even stopped by my office to your place. 10
- Q Right directly to my office? A I think so. 11
- Q And you didn't see any one there or do any business with 12
- any one there? A No. 13
- Q Then where did you go? A I went directly from your 14
- place down to the United States court, and there was nobody+ 15
- Mr. McCormick had not come in there, and they told me he
- 16 never got in there before half past nine or until quarter 17
- to ten, and from there I came back up to Judge Bordwell's 18
- court room for a minute and found that Mr. Horton was there, 19
- and I asked him if while I was gone he would furnish me a 20
- note of what he had taken about the witnesses, the ones 21
- that were excused, and so fort h, and I again went over to 22
- the United States Attorney's office and came back after 23
- 24 Q pid you use the telephone that morning at any time 25
 - prior to the time when you were notified of Franklin's

- 1 arrest? A My telephone?
- 2 Q Any telephone? A Not that I know of and I am pretty
- 3 positive I did not.
- 4 Q You spoke of a telephone message in regard to your case
- 5 over in the United States Court. That was from Mr. Rush,
- 6 was it? A yes, that was a long distance 'phonemessage
- 7
- 8 Q Did you use the telephone either to receive or to send
- 9 a message of any kind that morning? A That would be a
- 10 hard matter to say .
- 11 Q That you remember of? A Mr. Fredericks, but none that I
- 12 remember of.

that I had gotten the night before.

- 13 Q If you did it was of some matters of such trivial import-
- 14 ance that it has escaped your attention? A Escaped my
- 15 attention.
- Q Did you have any telephone or communications with Mr. Darmow
- 17 prior to the time when he came into the court room that
- morning? A 7 did not. 18
- 19 Q I mean that morning. A . did not.
- 20 Q And how long was it after this newspaper man made this
- statement to you before you maw Mr. Darrow? A Probably 5 or 21
- 22 10 or 15 minutes.

- 23 Q. And you saw him there in the court room? A we was
- there in the court room. 24
- and he told you what had happened, did he, right then 25
- and there? A He told me that Mr. Fræklin had been arrested 26

at that time.

Q Well, did he tell you that he was down there onthe street and saw him arrested?

- 1 MR APPEL: Wait a moment; this is not cross-examination.
- 2 We object to that.
- 3 MR FORD: The witness has been examined to a period of
- 4 time running up to December 1st.
- 5 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 6 MR APPEL: We except.
- A He told me he was there; he said he happened to be
- 8 coming from some place, and he walked over where the ar-
- 9 rest was made.
- 10 MR FREDERICKS: Did he tell you that he had followed the
- officers on up and Franklin on up to the court house?
- 12 A He did not.
- 13 Q Did he tell you he had a talk with Browne that morning
- 14 down on the street? A He did not.
- 15 MR APPEL: This is not cross-examination.
- 16 MR FREDERICKS: He appeared to be very much aggitated at
- that time, and exercised at that time, did he not, Mr Davis?
- 18 MR APPEL: This is not dross-examination.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 MR APPEL: Exception.
- 21 A About the same as I did, I presume. Anyone defending
- 22 a man when a matter of that kind appeared, would naturally
- be excited, because of --
- 24 MR FREDERICKS: I am asking --
- 25 MR APPEL: Leet him answer.
- 26 MR FORD: I move the answer be stricken out as not

- 1 responsive.
- 2 MR APPEL: Let him answer the question. We insist, and
- 3 let this jury hear it.
- 4 MR FREDERICKS: I want them to hear it.
- 5 THE COURT: Finish your answer.
- MR FORD: If the court will pardon me, the answer has 6
- 7 gone far enough. Tt is argumentative, and a conclusion
- of the witness, and not responsive to the question. 8
- 9 THE COURT: I don't think so. I heard the answer. Go ahead.
- 10 finish your answer.
- 11 He exhibited the same degree of solicitude about it.
- 12 MR FREDERICKS: But you don:t answer the question.
- 13 MR APPEL: Now, your Honor, let him answer the question.
- 14 Α That I did.
- 15 MR FREDERICKS: Did you exhibit any? A I presume I did.
- I felt it if I didn't exhibit it. 16
- 17 Well, that is what I want to show, that he exhibited
- 18 it the same as you did doesn't mean anything to this jury.
- 19 Because I knew the effect it would have upon the case.
- 20 Certainly; kes. Then he did appear aggitated? A To 21
- 22THE COURT: Captain Fredericks, how long will it take you
- 23 to finish this cross-examination?

the extent that I have said.

- 24 MR FREDERICKS: I am willing to string along for a half an
- 25hour and see if we can make it.
- THE COURT: The difficulty is the insane court is waiting 26

for me over at the county hospital. If it is going to that take / length of time it will have to go over until this aftermoon. MR FREDERICKS: I guess it will. Might finish it in half an hour. (Discussion.) THE COURT: We will take a recess until 2 o'clock. (Jury admonished. Recess until 2 P.M.)