J. D. FREDERICKS. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge. Dept. No. 11. ---0---The People of the State of California, Plaintiff, No. 7373. granist VS. Clarence Darrow, Defendant. REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT. VOL. 60 INDEX. Direct. Cross. Re-D. Re-C. Peter Pirotte, 4843 4844 George W. Hood, 4855 Frank E. Dominguez, 4864 4881 4889 4892 Harry Jones B, N. Smith, Official Report

Who was chief when you left the Venice police force on October 9th? A George Nettleton was city marshal

24

25

26

six months.

- 1 there.
- 2 Q And who was chief before and while you were there?
- 3 A John Parrent.
- 4 Q Parrent was not chief of police when you left the de-
- 5 partment? A You say he was not?
- 6 Q I am asking you, if he was not chief of police when
- 7 you left the police department? A I think so, yes.
- 8 Q And you were restored under the next chief, Nettle-
- 9 ton? A yes sir.
- 10 Q Now, you say you were on leave of absence. Do you
- 11 mean that you got a leave of absence? A Well, not ex-
- 12 actly, no. I spoke to the mayor, and he said if I want-
- 13 ed to go up town, why, he thought it would be all right
- 14 for a while, and I could come back.
- 15 Q What pay did you receive on the police department down
- 16 there?
- 17 MR APPHL:" Wait a moment. We object to that as immaterial.
- 18 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 19 MR FORD: That is all.

26

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 22 MR DARROW: Mr Pirotte, you say that you were here in court
- during the time they were getting the McNamra jury. You
- 24 were in the court room at that time as bailiff? A What
- 25 time was it?
 - Q While they were getting the McNamara jury? A I was.

- Q Were you acquainted with me at all when you had these first interviews with Franklin? A I was not.
- Q And had I or anyone else had anything to do with your meeting him and having the first interview with him?
- A You did not.

2

3

4 -

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 7 Q Do you remember when it was you first told me about
 8 these interviews? A I think it was about the middle of -9 must have been about the middle of March. I don't exact10 ly know when it was.
- 11 Q Now, the first time that you and Franklin together met 12 Mr Watt, where did you say that was?
 - MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground that it is not redirect examination, being the same subject matter covered on direct examination, and of course, your Honor, on cross-examination, new answers are frequently brought out, and that doesn't make the matter new subject matter. On cross-examination we covered the matter covered on direct examination, whether the answers be the same or not, that is the object of cross-examination, to bring out all the facts.
 - THE COURT: Your objection is that it is not proper redirect examination?
- 24 MR FORD: Not redirect.
- 25 MR DARROW: This matter is uncertain, which I wish to clear 26 up.

- 1 THE COURT: Well, with that statement, you may go over 2 it again. It is irregular, but you can go into it again,
- 3 with that statement.
- 4. That is all there is to it: I am not certain. MR DARROW:
- 5 Where did you say that youwere first -- the first
- 6 time you met Watt when you were with Franklin?
- 7 MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground that it is covered --
- 8 in addition to the former objection, it is already covered
- 9 in the testimony. He said he met them as they were coming
- 10 from the Decator hotel -- as they came out of the Decatur
- 11 hotel.
- 12 THE COURT: Mr Darrow says there is a little matter he
- 13 would like to clear up in his mind, and perhaps for the
- 14

record. While it is irregular, it is harmless. Answer

- 15
- 16 Met him on the ocean front near Navy street, he was
- 17 coming up ocean front.

the question.

- 18 MR DARROW: Had you any appointment or arrangement or
- 19 understanding with Mr Watt that you were to meet that
- 20 evening? A I did not.
- 21MR FORD: I object to that -- and I ask your Honor to in-
- 22 struct the witness not the answer until we have an oppor-
- 23 tunity to object hereafter.
- 24THE COURT: yes, it is your duty to give coursel an oppor-
- 25tunity to object.
- 26 That meeting was purely accidental. MR DARROW:

- MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground it is calling for a 1 conclusion of the witness.
- MR DARROW: Was it arranged in any way? 3
- MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground it is already 4
- 5 answered.
- THE COURT: overruled. 6
- MR DARROW: Was that meeting arranged in any way? A No 7
- 8

- sir.
- That is the first night, was it, that you three went 10
- to dinner together? A Yes sir.
- Q Now, Mr Pirotte, inspeaking in your testimony on cfoss-11
- examination on yesterday, you spoke in reference to your 12
- asking Franklin if I gave him any money, and his reply to 13 To what did that conversation refer? 14
- MR FORD: We object to that as calling for a conclusion of 15
- the witness. The whole of the conversation was testified 16 to by the witness, and the jury candraw their own con-17
 - clusions. MR DARROW: I think it is not, your Honor. 19
- MR FORD: The witness cannot tell what was in Franklin's 20
- mind, or what was in his mind; he gave the conversation. 21
- MR APPEL: That is exactly what we contend, your Honor, 22
- that when he asked the question, your Honor, what was in his 23
- mind, what hereferred to, what did he attract Franklin's 24 25 attention to.
- MR FORD: He gave the conversation. 26

THE COURT: The only question is whether or not it has been fully cleared up. You are entitled to the question!f you have not had it, that is the only point. MR FORD: It was gone into on direct examination and fully 4. on cross-examination. I do not think so. I think the cross-exam-MR DARROW: ination left it somewhat doubtful, not very. All right; I will resolve the doubt in your THE COURT: favor. Let me have the question. (Lass question read.) MRDARROW: What money was referred to, change the ques-tion --

4 ·

MR. FORD. We object to that as calling for a conclusion of the witness, a self-serving declaration, and the conversation itself is the best evidence of its heaning.

MR. DARROW. The witness cannot make a self-serving declaration, I will state that as a matter of information to counsel.

MR. FREDERICKS. The only proposition is this, your Honor:

The witness giving, or attempted to give, as his recollection best served him, the entire conversation. Now, we might want to argue that that meant one thing and the defense might want to argue that it meant another thing. Now, to ask this witness for an opinion in regard to the matter, he might give his opinion, but he would not know what Franklin's opinion was, and at any rate it would be an opinion and it seems to me the facts already being before the jury they should be left without the opinion in order that we might argue what they mean.

MR. FORD. The only thing that is really important is Franklin's meanings and Franklin's sayings and Franklin's doings.

MR. DARROW. Let me withdraw the question and I will put it in another way. Q When you asked Franklin where he got that money, what money had you been talking about?

MR. FORD. We object to that on the ground that the conversation itself is the best evidence of what they had been talking about.

3 4 ·

5 6

> 7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14 conversation.

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

were you talking about at that time? MR. FORD. We object to that on the ground it has been fully covered on cross-examination and on direct examination and it is not a new subject nor the subject of redirect examination, and, for ther, that the witness has already testified that the only thing said about money was--I asked the witness in one place and he says, and in another place he says Mr. Watt asked the witness if he got any money from Darrow, and that was all the conversation they had about money. Now, it is evident that they are not talking about any other money except the money referred to in the

MR. DARROW. That is what I am getting at, what money

MR. APPEL. We want to show, your Honor, that at the time of this conversation with Mr. Franklin, that there had been no money transaction discussed with Mr. Franklin, with the witness or with Mr. Watt in the presence of each other, except the moneys that were used in the bribery of the juror Lockwood; we want to show that this witness had never had any conversation with him in regard to money transactions in any way, shape or manner, except in connection with the bribery of juror Lockwood; now, whenwe show that the jury can determine whether or not when the question was asked, whether Mr. Darrow gave him any money or not, it was with reference to that money and none other; furthermore, your Honor, your Honor can see the futility

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

THE COURT. Mr. Appel, there is no doubt about your right to go into this matter; the question is and the objection is made that you have gone fully into it.

MR . FREDERICKS . May I not say a word about their right to go into the matter? We made the objection.

THE COURT. 1 will hear you when the defense is closed.

MR. FREDERICKS. I assumed they had.

MR . DARROW. We had, Mr. Fredericks. Go ahead.

You want to close and I would like to add a word, that is what you asked?

MR . FREDERICKS · Yes .

conversations of it in there.

MR . DARROW. Your Honor, there was undoubtedly a question asked about this in direct and there were a number of questions asked about it in cross, and counsel seeks to draw the inference from it in cross-examination that there was reference to any other money or any particular money, just a plain, simple statement of this witness that he never got any money. Now, this witness did say at one time, used the word any and at another time the word there. Did you get that money. There is two or three

25

These little words which might not mean anything to the witness, might mean something to the case, if the People were technical, which I take it the case should not be in a case of this sort. The matter, perhaps, is not fully cleared up. Now, I would not have much doubt about 12 men or any 100 men who were not intereste d in the case as to their understanding of what was talked about , but I don't want to leave it in any doubt, and the question is plain and simple and fair for this witness to say what money was being discussed when Franklin said he never got the money from me or any money from me or whichever expression he used or whichever money was being discussed at that time. It certainly is fair. It is redirect. Ιt might help clear up something that is uncertain. Counsel may argue any way they see fit after the answer is given. but certainly for the jury to get as much light on the subject as they can, and it is fair to me. We should have as might light on the subject as we can. MR FREDERICKS: That is true, and I do not desire to be

MR FREDERICKS: That is true, and I do not desire to be overly technical, still we believe it is our duty to call for the technicalities of procedure to a certain extent, and this objection of ours is only one of principle, largely. It is true this witness has said that on one occasion he has said or used the word "that money" in his answer. Did Darrow give you that money on any occasion. He said did he give you any money, and Franklin replied, and he

has given those replies, and he has stated that that question and that reply were the only time that that subject was mentioned. Now, having given the entire conversation on the subject, those are the facts, and if we permit a witness to say what these facts mean, we take away from the jury the obligation and the duty of saying what those facts mean. The has given his facts, it is not for him now, to give an opinion. we has given all the facts, and if the matter is in any doubt at all, why, then, it is a duty that is brought about by those facts, and this witness has not the right to attempt to put a construction on those facts, when the facts are plain and simple, and all in. Now, that is our view of the matter. He has given those entire facts. I am willing to admit that the matter does not amount to a great deal, except as a matter of principle. We are all of us, probably, more or less aware that witnessson both sides of a case, of any case, and all cases, become, perhaps a trifle partisan, that is the nature of things, and it is with no special criticism of this witness any more than it is of humanity in general, and for that reason, witnesses are not prmitted to give opinions; that is one of the reasons they are not permitted to give opinions as to what was meant. Now, he has stated the facts; that should end it. MR DARROW: Mr Fredericks, you misunderstood the ques-

tion. I withdrew the one asking for an opinion.

1

2

3

4 ·

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I simply

```
asked him now for the fact, what the conversation was
1
2
    about.
3
    MR FREDERICKS: But he has given all the conversation.
                                                             Ιt
4 -
    was only an opinion on his part, and the answer showed
5
    there should have been no --
6
    MR DARROW: We can find out by asking.
7
    MR FREDERICKS: It is principle, that is all.
8
    MR DARROW: It is a matter of principle with me, a lit -
9
    tle more.
10
     THE COURT: Objection overruled.
11
    MR DARROW: Will you read that question?
12
        (Last question read by the reporter.)
13
     A I suppose the money that Darrow was supposed to have
14
     given him for to bribe the jury.
15
    MR DARROW: Any special -- that is all.
16
     MR FREDERICKS: That is all.
17
18
                     GEORGE W. HOOD, a witness called on behalf
19
     of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified as fol-
20
     lows:
21
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
     MR APPEL: You may state your name, please. A George W.
23
     Hood.
24
         Mr Hood, that is your business or occupation?
     Q
```

Whereabouts do you reside? A On Washington street,

25

26

Α

Dairyman.

- 1 in the Palms.
- 2 | Q How long have you resided in this county; Mr Hood?
- 3 A I came out here in '87.
- 4 Q And you were formerly connected with the city govern-5 ment here? A Yes sir.
- 6 Q For how many years? A 1.3.
- 7 Q Do you know Bert H. Franklin? A Yes sir.
 - Q You have known him for a good many years? A Yes sir.
 - Q You and he were quite intimate, on very friendly terms?
- 10 A Yes sir.

9

- 11 Q You used to be neighbors, were you not, at one time?
- 12 A Not close, no sir.
- Q Well, you and he attended the same lodge for a number
- of years? A Yes sir.
- Q Do you remember of having met Mr Franklin somewhere
- in the early part of January of this year, over near or at
- about where the Walker Theatre is, in this city? A Yes sire Q During one of those -- now, I believe it was during
- one of those Ladge meetings, was it? A yes sir, during
- 20 several of them.
- 21 Q And with reference to the time when there was some wed-
- ding in Mr Franklin's family, do you remember when
- 23 that conversation occurred? A Ican't fix the date,
- | 24 | for any of the conversations. We had several of them, so
- 25 many I cannot fix the date of any of them.
 - Q I am speaking of the first conversation. A Oh, the

- first conversation was before the wedding in the Franklin family.
- Q And do you know when that occurred, about? A I
 don't remember the date.
 - Q I will ask you whether or not at that conversation that in speaking concerning his case, Mr Franklin's case -- it was after his arrest, wasn't it? A I talked with him both before and after his arrest.
 - Q I mean after his arrest. A Yes, I talked with him.
 - Q I will ask you whether or not --

- Is 1 THE COURT. On what page is the foundation laid, Mr. Appel?
 - 2 MR. APPEL. Page 1021 is what I am now asking him first,
 - 3 your Honor. I will ask you whether or not at that time you
 - 4 did or did not say to him, Bert or Franklin, however
 - familiarly you addressed him, "You are a damned fool,
 - 6 why didn't you take that money and put it down in your
 - 7 | jeans and just simply tell it was all fixed and not take
 - 8 chances of getting behind the bars?" A 7 did.
 - 9 Q I will ask you whether or not--you asked him that, did
 - 10 you? A I did.
 - 11 Q I will ask you whether or not in answer to that --
 - 12 MR. FREDERICKS. Don't answer, Witness, please, until we
 - 13 have an opportunity to object.
 - 14 MR. APPEL. He did or did not respond as follows or in
 - substance as follows or to this effect, "By God, George,
 - 16 | I couldn't, for they were watching me too close.
 - 17 MR. FREDERICKS. Just a moment. We haven't our volume
 - here. We will object to it onthe ground no foundation is
 - 19 | laid and the court can rule.
 - 20 THE COURT. I have the volume here. The foundation is
 - 21 laid. Objection is overruled.
 - 22 MR. APPEL. Just read that question.
 - 23 (Last question read by the reporter.)
 - $_{24}$ A That was in substance his reply .
 - Q Then following that did he or did he not, following
 - 26 that, say to you, "The man I got the money from or who

fixed up the proposition, " orwords to that effect, "was 1 2 a stranger to me. I never saw him before. He was standing within thirty feet of me when the money was passed. 3 He disappeared when the crack came on and I have never seen 4 him since?" 5 MR. FORD. We object upon the ground that no foundation has 6 been laid inthat the witness's answer -- a part of that con-7 versation is true and a part is not true, and then in answer 8 "Q--Which part is not true and which 9 to this question: part is true? A I told Mr. Hood at that time that the man. 10 if there was one man, that if I could find him, that 11 I could clear myself, I thought; that the man that was 12 instrumental in getting me in that trouble was near me 13 when I was arrested, and, by the way, I didn't at that 14 time mean Mr. Darrow. I will be frank with you about it. 15 It was in pursuance to a conversation we had an agreement 16 the day before as to what I was to say. That was on the 17 14th day of January." Now, if your Honor please--18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

- MR . APPEL. Just a moment--1
- Mr. Ford. The proper foundation has not been laid. We 2
- 3 object to it on that ground.
- MR . APPEL . Your Honor will see and any one can see, it 4
- does not require a legal mind to see why counsel is so 5
- anxious to take this book right out of my hand and read Mr. 6
- Franklin's answer. Your Honor can see -- if I were not 7
- to be in contempt of court I would like to call this con-8
- duct by its right name. There is only one name that it 9
- merits. Now your Honor will see that he tried to get 10
- in the hedging of Franklin. Now, we are entitled to the 11
- testimony of this witness as we claim his expressions were 12
- to thim witness. What difference does it make whether 13
- he gave that answer or not? His answer not being in 14
- accordance with the question it is a denial on his part 15
- that he said that very thing which we asked him, your
- Honor . 17

- THE COURT. The question as propounded by Mr. Appel is 18
- substantially the question for Which foundation was laid 19
- on 1022. He says, "No," and then explains. That justi-20
- fies you in asking the question. The objection is over-21
- ruled. The foundation is laid. 22
- MR. APPEL. Read the question. 23
- (Last question read.) 24
- MR. APPEL. Or words to that effect or in substance? 25
- That is not exactly the conversation, but it was --26

- 1 that is the substance of it.
- 2 Q The words used--you mean to say by that the words you
- 3 heard from him meant the same thing? A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Did you or did you not then say to him, "Bert, couldn't
- 5 you locate him, " and didn't he say to you, "I don't
- 6 know whether I can or not, I have been waiting, hoping
- 7 to hear from him, " or words to that effect?
- 8 MR . FORD. We object to that on the ground that Franklin
- 9 answered that in the affirmative? "I think I did say
- 10 that, yes, sir." No foundation laid for it.
- 11 MR. APPEL. Very well, your Honor. The admission coming
- 12 from the District Attorney that he said so, then it is
- 13 all I want.
- 14 THE COURT. Objection sustained.
- 15 MR. FORD. We object to the comment of counsel.
- 16 MR. APPEL. He can object all he wants to, your Honor, for
- 17 that matter, I simply am saying I agree with the court
- 18 because of this statement of counsel; he can object all
- 19 he wants to.
- 20 THE COURT. I think you are Within your rights, Mr. Appel.
- 21 Let us have the next question.
- 22 MR. APPEL. This man is only harrassing the orderly
- examination of this witness, your Honor, that is all his
- 24 purpose is, it is a trick, that is all it is; it is con-
- temptible, your Honor, to do that. Now, there was noth-
- 26 ing in my statement that I agreed with him and I agree with

your Honor's ruling in view of his statement, which was 1 perfectly orderly and proper. 2 THE COURT. It was perfectly orderly and proper. 3 MR. FREDERICKS. I do not see any cause for such vehement 4. conduct on the part of counsel. 5 MR. FORD. I would like to be protected from the use of the 6 word "contemptible" as to my actions in court, I leave it 7 to your Honor and submit the matter. 8 THE COURT. I think the conduct of the District Attorney 9 was entirely within his legal rights; I think the posi-10 tion of Mr. Appel was entirely within his legal rights, 11 but I do think it is remarkable, gentlemen, that we should 12 not confine our work more closely to the examination, 13 after a matter is passed upon and the matter is ruled 14 upon, let us go to the next question; the weather is 15 very hot and it is trying. Let us get on with the 16 examination. 17 18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR APPEL: " I am going to characterize his conduct every
 1
 2
     time, in spite of everything.
 3
    MR FREDERICKS: We have no objection, if that is done in
 4
     the ordinary language.
 5
    THE COURT: You have that right.
6
    MR APPEL: I will use any language I please, and you or
7
     anyone else cannot prevent me from doing that.
8
     THE COURT: What is the next question, gentlemen?
9
    MR APPEL: Did he or did he not say then to you, if I
10
     remember right, that he thought this man who had given him
11
     the money was a San Francisco man?
12
    MR FORD: We object to that on the ground that it does
13
     not in anywise tend to impeach the testimony of Franklin
14
     given on Franklin's examination, which was as follows:
15
     "Q -- Did you further say it was your impression he was a
16
     San Francisco man or possibly an Eastern man? A -- I think
17
     I did, yes sir."
18
     MR APPEL: That disposes of that question.
19
     THE COURT: Objection sustained.
20
     MR APPEL: yes, that disposes of that question; yes sir.
21
         Now, my examination of you has referred to a conver-
22
     sation that is alleged to have occurred somewhere along
23
```

after the middle of January of this year; one of the first

that I have referred to. Am I correct in fixing the time,

Somewhere in that neighborhood.

conversations you had with him, and being at the place

24

25

26

Mr Witness?

Α

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 Q And -- Yes sir. And this occurred in that, all that I
- 2 have asked you, refers to one conversation, you understand?
- 3 A yes sir.
- 4 MR APPEL: That is all.
- 5 MR FREDERICKS: That is all, Mr Hood.

- 7 FRANK EDWARD DOMINGUEZ, a witness called
- 8 on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified
- 9 as follows:

10

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 11 MR APPEL: You may state your name, please? A Frank Ed-
- 12 | ward Domingues.
- 13 Q How old are you, Mr Domingues? A 36 years of age.
- 14 Q Where were you born? A Los Angeles City.
- 15 Q How long have you made your residence here in Los
- 16 Angeles city? A All my life.
- 17 | Q What is your business or profession, Mr Domingues?
- 18 A Attorney at law.
- 19 Q Do you know Bert H. Franklin? A yes sir.
- 20 Q How long have you known him? A Oh, I should say 18
- 21 or 20 years, maybe less.
- 22 Q Where is your office, Mr Domingues? A 403 Califor-
- 23 | nia Building, Los Angeles City.
- 24 Q One of the rooms connected with Mr Rogers' office?
- 25 A yes sir.
- 26 Q Do you know John Drain? A yes sir, I know Mr Drain.

- 1 Q And one F. M. Nichol? A Frank Nichol?
- 2 Q Yes sir. A Yes sir.
- 3 Q Do you remember having been present at a time when
- 4 Nichol a dd Mr Drain and Mr Franklinwere with you, eith er
- 5 at the Hoffman bar or at the Hollenbeck bar in this city
- 6 on Spring street? A No sir, not the Hoffman or Hollen-
- 7 beck; at the Lycenin bar, right next to the Lyceum theatre,
- 8 and what was formerly the Orpheum theatre.
- 9 Q That is called the Majestic, is it? A I think it was
- 10 | called the Majestic at that time; I am not sure of the
- 11 name.
- 12 Q I am not familiar with it. A I appreciate that.
- 13 Q That is, I never look at the names. About when was
- 14 that meeting there, if any? A Oh, I do not recall the
- 15 exact date, it was sometime after the arrest of Mr Frank-
- 16 lin.
- 17 Q And after he had given bail, I suppose? A Sir?
- 18 Q After he had given bail, I suppose? A I don't rem-
- 19 ember that, Mr Appel; I don't know just when it was with
- 20 | reference to his bail or anything else.
- 21 Q Well, he was out there? A Well, he was there in
- 22 front of this place when I come down the street.
- 23 Q Now, did you and the persons I have already named go
- 24 into the saloon? A We did.
- 25 Q And did you people have any regreshments there, with-
- 26 out specifying the particular kind of refreshments?

- 1 MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to as immaterial; the
- 2 | fact that they met and talked, is the point.
- 3 | THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 4 MR APPEL: We except.
- Q Who, if anyone, gave you an invitation, if at all,
- 6 to partake of refreshments?
- 7 MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to as immaterial.
- 8 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 9 MR APPEL: I offer to show by the witness the circum-
- 10 stance and the reason of their being in the saloon, and
- 11 the occasion and the reasons for the meeting; I offer to
- 12 show that Mr Franklin gave an invitation to one or more of
- 13 the persons present, and that in view of that invitation.
- |14| that there was a meeting and a conversation between them
- then at that time; I offer to show the circumstances surround
- 16 ing the conversation.
- MR FREDERICKS: Even so, that, we maintain, would be imma-
- 18 terial.
- 19 MR APPEL: The reason I of fer to show it is this: I will
- 20 give my reasons, being that Mr Dominguez has offices connect-
- 21 ed with Mr Rogers' office, and it would be argued here --
- 22 THE COURT: yes, I see your point. That does change the
- 23 | situation a little.
- 24 MR APPEL: It has been argued already --
- 25 MR FREDERICKS: On that view of it, we withdraw the ob-
- 26 jection.

THE COURT: You can have the last question read. Read the last question.

(Last question read.)

A Bert H. Franklin.

MR APPEL: Did you or Mr Drain or Mr Nichol in any way, shape or manner, at that time, offer any suggestion to Mr Franklin to cause him to come into that bar room and there engage in any conversation?

MR FORD: That is objected to on the ground it is not the proper form to put an impeaching question in, that the matter concerning which the witness is being examined is irrelevant and immaterial, and, further, it calls for a conclusion of the witness as to whetherany of the things done or said amounted to an inducement. The proper thing is to let him state what was said and done on that occasion, let them put that in evidence, and let the jury judge whether it was an inducement or not.

- Las Assels County Law Library THE COURT. The objection is overruled. 6p 1 What is your 2 answer. Mr. Dominguez?
 - A Please give me the question, Mr. Petermichel. 3
 - (Last question read.) 4.
 - A No, sir, it was at his special request that we went 5
 - in there . 6
 - MR · APPEL · Q Were you at that time in any way engaged by 7
 - Mr. Darrow or at any other time, to assist in his defense 8
 - in any way, shape or manner? A Never. 9
 - 10 Q 7 will ask you whether or not in that conversation and at
 - tha_t time and place you did, and in the presence of the 11
 - persons named: already by me, you did or did not hear 12
 - 13 Mr. Franklin say in effect or in substance or in words the
 - following: "I never received a dishonest dollar from 14
 - Darrow. He never knew anything connected with this matter-15
 - MR. FORD. The page, please. 16
 - MR · APPEL · -- 836--meaning "by this matter" the subject 17
 - of the bribery of Lockwood and Bain -- "he, Darrow, is too 18
 - good a man to do anything of that kind, he was most kind 19
 - hearted, generous and the best man I ever knew in my life 20
 - and he would not stand for any corruption or dirty work 21
 - and he never gave me a dollar for any corrupt purposes 22
 - in the world." Did he or did he not say the whole of that 23
 - as I have indicated to you in the question? 24
 - MR. FORD. We object to that as no proper foundation laid 25
 - showing the time this occurred -- the persons present --26

- THE COURT . Objection overruled.
- 2 MR. FORD. Will your Honor state the time that they have
- 3 fixed this? It is fixed in the impeaching question but
- 4 not at the present time.
- 5 THE COURT. I think it is fixed here inthe questions. Mr. Ap-
- 6 pel has propounded to Mr. Dominguez.
- 7 MR. FREDERICKS: I listened carefully and I was waiting
- 8 for the time, because I noticed it had not been fixed here-
- 9 to fore.

- 10 MR. APPEL. I went over the time, it was after Franklin's
- 11 arrest.
- 12 MR . FREDERICKS · It slipped my memory ·
- 13 THE COURT. I thought it was gone into fully to fix the
- 14 date and time after his arrest and when he was out on
- 15 bail is his description of it.
- 16 MR. FORD. At page 835 of the transcript Mr. Franklin fixes
- it, the question was propounded fixing it within a period
- 18 of ten days, line 8, page 7835--
- 19 THE COURT . I think it is fixed.
- 20 MR . APPEL. The rule is this and we might as well settle it
- 21 forever: That where the witness interrogated sought
- 22 to be impeached admits the occasion of the conversation
- 23 and the conversation but only denies the words, that every-
- 24 thing else is admitted except the words used, therefore,
- 25 the only interrogation that counsel upon the other side
- 26 may make is simply upon that point, upon the conversation

23

24

25

26

and denial and upon which there is a conflict, the occasion being admitted, the time and the persons present being admitted but the language being denied, the only thing left is was this used or was not. I submit it, that is the only logical way of interrogating the witness. MR. FREDERICKS. I do not want to take up any time that is not necessary, but this time has not been fixed, and I am sure of it. This witness does not know what time, this jury does not know what time and to say that it was a time after Franklin's arrest and while he was out on bail would mean any time from the 28th of November to the present Now, there were times along during that when condidav • tions changed and statements that would be made at one time would be looked at in the light of the circumstances that existed at that time and/we ask is that the time be fixed. If it means the time that Franklin is talking about, ten days after his arrest, ten days along about the 10th of December that would be, or something of that kind, then let us understand. That is all we ask so that it is understood.

THE COURT. I think, upon reflection, I think the District Attorney is right upon that. I think the time will have to be fixed.

MR. APPEL. Well, I will offer now for the purpose of laying the foundation and as a part of the question propounded to the witness, I offer the testimony of Mr. Franklin

```
fixing the time himself as follows:
1
    MR . FORD. Well. we admit that Mr. Fanklin--
2
    MR. APPEL. 1 don't care for this man's admission.
3
    MR. FREDERICKS. This is part of the question--
4
     THE COURT. Mr. Appel is propounding his question and he
5
    has the floor. Go ahead.
6
    MR. APPEL. I offer to read the following: "Q--You remember
7
    talking to him there about the case? A--When? Q--This
8
    is after your arrest and before your plea of guilty? A--
9
10
    Yes, I remember it. Q--You remember it? A--Yes, sir.
    Q--Do you remember of F. M. Nichol being there? A--Yes.
11
            Mr. Ford--That is kind of very indefinite.
12
    a period of three or four months. Mr. Rogers--It was short-
13
    ly after the arrest. I cannot give you the date.
14
    knows the incident. A--Yes, sir, I think it was within
15
    10 days. Q--Within 10 days? A--1 think so, yes, sir.
16
    Q--Now, did this conversation occur in the presence of Mr.
17
    John Drain, Mr. F. M. Nichol and Mr. Frank Dominguez, you
18
    invited them all into the saloon to have a drink? A--ls
19
    that part of the conversation? Q--The part about inviting
20
    them to enter and have a drink is a part of the conversa-
21
    tion."
22
```

23

24

- 1 MR FREDERICKS: With that date fixed, we withdraw the ob-2 jection.
- MR APPEL: (Reading:) "All right. It so happened did it? 3
- 4 A -- I don't know. Q -- You are not ashamed to take a
- 5 drink with me, are you? A -- Wait until I answer that
- 6 part of the question. Q -- All right. A -- I remember we
- 7 went in. I don't remember I invited them or not. Q -- And
- 8 you said to John Drain. 'You are not ashamed to drink with
- 9 me, are you? whereupon Mr Drain said, 'You know I don't
- 10 drink anything but buttermilk.' and that Franklin replied.
- 11
- 'mhat is good enough drink; take that if you want'. and
- 12 you went in? Do you remember that? A -- I remember we
- 13 went in to drink. I question the buttermilk story very
- 14
- Q -- About John Drain? A -- Yes sir. Q -- You
- 15 know that John Drain hasn't drunk anything but buttermilk
- 16 for some years?" Then Mr Ford objects, and Mr Rogers
- 17 MR FREDERICKS: There is nothing before the court.
- 18 THE COURT: Counsel is asking a question.
- 19 MR FREDERICKS: I have withdrawn the objection to the
- 20 other one.
- 21 MR APPEL: Now, having read the testimony of Mr Franklin
- 22 in the record here, and he having fixed the time, being the
- 23 time within a short time, or within about ten days after
- 24 his arrest, and he having pointed out the exact times and
- 25 the persons then being present, you may state whether or
- 26 not you heard Franklin say the ma tters and things which

- 1 I have indicated to you in my previous questions, to-
- 2 wit: "I never received a dishonest dollar from Darrow.
- 3 He never knew anything connected with this matter", mean-
- 4 ing the bribery of the juror Lockwood and the Juror Bain;
- 5 He is too good a man to do anything of that kind; he was
- 6 most kind-hearted and generous and the best man I ever
- gone and the same and the same
- 7 knew in my life; he wouldn't stand for any corruption or
- 8 dirty work and he never gave me a dollar for any corrupt
- 9 purposes, in the world." Did he or did he not make that
- 10 statement, the whole of it, and every word of it in your
- 11 presence, and in the presence of the prsons named by me
- 12 upon the occasion named by him in his testimony?
- 13 A He did, and more. Not in the presence of Mr Nichol,
- 14 however. Mr Nicholwas not there.

MR APPEL: Wait a moment.

- 16 THE COURT: Gentlemen of the jury, bearing in mind your for-
- 17 mer admonition, we will take a recess for 5 minutes.
- 18 (After recess.)

- 19 THE COURT: Gentlemen, in regard to a copy of the Tribune
- 20 that was wanted the other day, the bailiff informs me
- 21 that he has found an extra copy and has it in possession;
- 22 he will have it here tomorrow morning. A Whenever it is
- 23 found, as far as we are concerned, it may be substituted
- 24 for the record.
- 25 MR APPEL: MrDominguez, when the question just referred
- 26 to by me and to which you have already testified to, and as

part and portion of the same conversation, did you or did you not, state to Mr Franklin that you were sorry to see that he, Franklin, had gotten into trouble, but that nobody could accuse him, Franklin, of ever having \$4000, and did you in that connection say to him, "I don't know whether you are guilty of what you are charged, but if you got that money, you got it from Darrow?" Did you so state? A The last part of that statement is not true. The first part of it is true.

 4^{\cdot}

Franklin say the same thing that you have testified to.

MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground that it is an attempt to fross) examine their own witness, and no proper foundation has been laid for the asking of the present question, and if the witness did have the conversation with Mr Franklin at any other time and place, a proper foundation should be laid by asking the time, place and persons present, and putting the conversation to the witness.

Did you thereafter, at any time or place or in the pre-

MR APPEL: No, your Honor. We are asking now for affirmative testimony in contradiction of the evidence given by Mr Franklin upon the stand. I refer your Honor to his statement, page 848, "He had tried", meaning Mr Dominguez, to make me say since that time that I did it, but I deny it."

THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED I

Objection overruled. THE COURT: 1

MR APPEL: Now, answer the question. A No sir. 2

In that conversation referred to by you and by me in 3 Q.

my questions, did or did not, Mr Drain say to Mr Frank-

4

lin, "I would not think you had so much money, and if 5

there is anything of that kind, there must be somebody be-

hind you besides yourself"? Do you remember that?

7 Just please read that to me again. 8

MR FORD: What lines, Mr Appel? 9

page 849. (Last question read by the repor-10 MR APPEL:

ter. A I did not. 11

MR APPEL: Did or did not, Mr Franklin, in the conversation

12 alluded to already, say that he was innocent of the charge 13

against him, or maintained in any way, shape or manner, his 14

alleged innocence? A He did not. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

MR. FORD. May that last question be read? I want to know whether this was Franklin's words or whether it is the

witness's conclusion. 3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

17

20

23

24

25

26

witness.

p

THE REPORTER. Mr. Smith has the other question.

MR. FORD. I move to strike it out on the ground it is

calling for a conclusion of the witness.

THE COURT. The witness says he does not remember.

MR. FORD. No, he says he didn't. Mr. Appel's question

was was he maintaining his innocence.

THE COURT. Mr. Appel's question was "Do you remember that?" 10 and he says, "No. I don't remember." 11

No, that was the question before. MR. FREDERICKS 12

MR. FORD. I move to strike that out as a conclusion of the 13

THE COURT. In that event we better call in the report er. 15

16 MR . APPEL . 1 think I asked Mr. Dominguez whether or not

in that same conversation alluded to by me in my question

and by him in answers, whether or not Mr. Franklin said 18

that he was innocent of the charge. 19 THE COURT. Yes.

MR. APPEL. And then I further said to him, "Did he in any 21 way, shape or manner maintain his innocence?" and the witness 22

sad. "No."

The first part is all right, but the last part we move to have it stricken out as a conclusion of the witness; whe-

MR. FORD. We move that that last part be stricken out.

there or not he said it, it would be a fact and the witness says he does not remember that, the last part, whether he did anything to maintain his innocence or was maintaining his innocence would be calling for a conclusion of the witness.

THE COURT. The motion to strike out is denied.

MR . APPEL. 1 asked it in view of his language.

THE COURT. I have it before me, line 25, page 849.

MR. APPEL. Yes, sir. Q You may state whether or not,

upon the same occasion and at the same time and place, and in the presence of the persons named by you, whether or

not Mr. Franklin said, in view of some statement in which

Captain Fredericks's name was mentioned, as follows, in the words I am going to quote, or in substance, or to the

same effect or meaning the same thing, the following:

"Now, boys, don't attack Captain Fredericks in this thing

he is my friend and he is your friend, I see him every

time I please and he is my friend." Did he or did he

not? A He did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Again alluding to the same time and place and the same conversation, and as a part of the same conversation referred to by you and by me in my question, you may state whether or not Mr. Franklin then said, you first having said to him, "Well, Bert, I am sorry to see you in this trouble," and didn't he say following that remark by you, "Oh, don't worry about me, I will get out of this

- all right, " or words to that effect or in substance, or meaning the same thing? A He did.
- Q Did you meet Mr. Franklin afterwards, by the Hollenbeck bar or the Hoffman bar on February 3, 1912? A The date
- bar or the Hoffman bar on February 3, 1912? A The data of the data of the Hoffman bar on February 3, 1912? A The data of the total of the Hoffman bar on February 3, 1912? A The data of the Hoffman bar of th
 - Q I am alluding to a conversation which Mr. Franklin says-
- 9 THE COURT. What page, Mr. Appel?

2

3

7

8

25

- 10 MR · APPEL · I will give it to your Honor in a moment ·
- 11 MR. FREDERICKS. If there was only one conversation at that place with this witness we will make no contro-
- versy but what it is the same conversation.
- 14 MR. APPEL. -- being the conversation alluded to by Mr.
- Franklin as having taken place on February 3rd, being
- given by him in answer to a question propounded to him by
- Mr. Ford and appearing in this record at page 1352, either
- at the Hollenbeck bar or at Polaski's Hoffman Cafe or
- bar, whatever you may call it, you may state whether or
 not at that time in your presence Mr. Franklin, then speak-
- ing concerning the matter at issue, said to you that he
- 22 did not propose--cther persons being present whose names
- we were unable to attract the attention of Mr. Franklin to--
- 24 MR. FORD. What page?
 - MR. APPEL. 1351--say in your presence or to you or address-
 - ing those who were surrounding you and he, that he did not

propose to tolerate or allow any one to drag in the name of Mr. Darrow in connection with his case, or words to that effect?

MR. FORD. We object that onthe ground it does not in any wise intend to impeach the testimony which Franklin gave on that occasion, the answer of Franklin being, to the same question, as follows, "I think I did state that."

THE COURT. Objection sustained.

MR. APPEL. We take an exception.

Q Mr. Dominguez, were there any more conversations between you and Mr. Franklin than the two which I have indicated, the first of which is alleged to have occurred about or in the neighborhood of 10 days after Mr. Franklin's arrest, and the other one on February 3rd, 1912?

MR. FORD. We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial and attempting to call for hearsay testimony otherwise than in a manner tending to impeach the testimony of former--

MR. APPEL. I am not asking for the conversation, I am asking--

MR · FORD. Please let me make an objection—
THE COURT. Tet us have the objection.

MR . FORD. -- and no foundation laid for the asking of

another conversation between him and Franklin.

 $\cdot 17$

- 1 THE COURT: This is for a different purpose?
- 2 MR APPEL: Yes. your Honor, very appagent.
- 3 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 4 With reference to this specific matter to which I have
- 5 been testifving?
- 6 MR APPEL: yes. A None other than the two I have men-
- 7 tioned.
- 8 At that second conversation or with reference to that
- 9 second conversation, were you at that time endeavoring to
- 10 or attempting to or exerting your best efforts in the inter-
- 11 ests of preparing or anticipating the preparation of any
- 12 defense on behalf of this defendant, or in aiding or assist-
- 13 ing or in any manner or for any protection to him, either
- 14
- for the purpose of being a witness or of getting information
- 15 to converte Mr Rogers or to the defendant or to anyone con-
- 16 nected with the defense?

words.

- 17 MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground it is calling for a
- 18 conclusion of the witness, and a statement, perhaps; that
- 19 it is absolutely, incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial
- 20
- 21

and not the best evidence. The best evidence of what the

- defense intended was his actions, acts speaks louder than 22
- 23 MR FREDERICKS: Nothing has been admitted in reference
- 24 to this second conversation; that is the point.
- 25 admitted a conversation and the impeaching question was
- 26 asked of this witness. We object on the ground no founda-

tion no foundation has been laid, so this witness has not testified a word upon it concerning that conversation, not a word.

MR APPHL: Mr Franklin at one part of his testimony on cross-examination, your Honor, stated that Mr Dominguez — that he realized that, and that Mr Dominguez was at that time being connected with the offices of Mr Rogers endeavoring to prepare a defense; he said that as a fact. THE COURT: I see your object. Objection overruled.

MR FORD: Just one thing, if your Honor will permit me.

Mr Franklin's statement that he thought -- that he knew

12 Mr Dominguez was connected with Rogers' office was merely
13 said as far as illustrating his own knowledge, the wit-

said as far as illustrating his own knowledge, the wit-

ness' knowledge, and the reason for his caution in making statements to this witness. It was not admitted for the

purpose of showing Mr Dominguez' connection with Mr Rogers,

because at that time Mr Domingues -- the fact that Mr

Dominguez was connected with Mr Rogers in the law offices, was not a matter in issue before the court at all, and

for that reason I think it is wholly immaterial at this

time.

THE COURT: Answer the question.

A No sir, I did not.

MR APPHI: And you were not -- My question was whether you were preparing a defense? A No sir, I was not.

IRR APPEL: Take the witness.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 MR FREDERICKS: At this first time, Mr Dominguez, ten 3 days, approximately ten days after Franklin was arrested, 4 you said he was not maintaining his innocence. He was
- 5 not admitting his guilt, either, was he? A No sir.
- 6 MR FREDERICKS: That is all.

1

7

16

- 8 MR APPHL: I forgot to ask the question --
- 9 THE COURT: Do you want to ask another question on direct?
- 10 yes, a matter has been called to my attention. MR APPEL:
- 11 I beg your Honor's pardon for having to question the wit-
- 12 As I understood you, Mr Domingues, when you answer-
- 13 ed the first question that I propounded to you, that you
- 14 said yes, that he said that and a good deal more. A Yes
- 15 sir.
- I wish you would be kind enough to state to the jury
- 17 what, if anything, more he said in reference to the sub-
- 18 ject which was the subject of my question.
- 19 MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to upon the ground
- 20 that it is hearsay, immaterial and no foundation laid.
- 21 We didn't take the invitation.
- 22 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 23 MR APPEL: We except. That is all.
- 25 MR APPEL: Your Honor, in reference to Mr Drain, we under-
- 26 stand Mr Drain is abed at Murietta Hot Springs, and that

- 1 he is unable to be here. We understand counsel he re, or
- 2 someone here connected with the defense, has some informa-
- 3 tion directly from his attending physician. It will be
- 4 necessary to take his deposition there at that place.
- 5 If the court please, there is a proper method
- 6
- of taking that up outside the presence of the jury, and
- 7 not in the presence of the jury.
- 8 MR FREDERICKS: I assure counsel we will facilitate the
- 9 matter.
- 10 MR APPHL: I am asking if we can't make some arrangements.
- MR FREDERICKS: We will stipulate with counsel, to facilitate 11
- 12 the matter in any way, that seems proper.
- 13 MR APPEL: Now, your Honor, we offer to read to the jury
- 14 part of the newspaper article published in the Tribune
- 15 at Los Angeles on Tuesday morning, December 12, 1911.
- 16 If the court please, the document has not been MR FORD:
- 17 exhibited to us.

MR APPEL: Tust a moment.

- 19 THE COURT: Let's see what the offer is.
- 20 MR FORD: If the court please, counsel cannot get up here
- 21and make an offer to put in evidence without offering the
- 22 document to counsel, in order that they may be -- get
- 23certain matters before this jury and read the whole of this
- 24newspaper, that would not be permitted.
- 25 THE COURT: I haven't any idea that counsel is now going
- 26to read the whole paper.

4883 1 MR APPEL: I am not going to read it at all. 2 MR FORD: Shouldn't he offer it to us before making the 3 offer, and let us see what he is going to offer to the jury. 4 if he had a witness on the stand, he would have to do that. 5 THE COURT: If he had a witness on the stand, he would have 6 to do that, the exact language of the statute would require 7 it. 8 MR FORD: Your Honor, further, a newspaper is not one of the 9 documents which may be offered in evidence. Here is a com-10 mon newspaper. 11 Wait a moment. I haven't made my offer. MR APPET: 12 THE COURT: I will hear Mr Appel. If it is necessary to 13 stop him I will. 14 MR APPRL: I am not going to read any portion of it. 15 I am identifying the paper. 16 THE COURT: This is a paper of what date? 17 MR APPEL: I offer to read to the jury and introduce in 18 evidence a portion of the article appearing in the issue 19 of the Tribune of this city under date Tuesday morning. 20 December 12th, 1911. 21 THE COURT: All right. Let me see the article. 22 MR APPEL: In connection with the testimony and cross-23 examination of the reporter offthe Tribune referred to by 24 counsel on the other side, as not having been published, 25 and I now offer counsel to inspect the document and then

the court may look at it and we will look it all over, and if it is proper we will introduce it and if not we will keep

26

it out.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 10s 1 THE COURT. Well. we will all look at it. This is offered in connection with the testimony of Mr. Harry Jones?
 - 3
 - MR. APPEL. Yes. your Honor. 4 THE COURT. Mr. Jones is in the court room. I presume counsel
 - 5 makes no point of the fact that he is not on the witness
 - 6 stand. 7 MR. FREDERICKS. No. the part that counsel wants to read-
 - 8 MR. APPEL. That is referred to by the--
 - 9 MR. FREDERICKS. That is bracketed around by lead pencil?
 - 10 MR. APPEL. Yes, and the heading of it.
 - 11 MR. FORD. We would like the privilege of reading the
 - 12 whole article. 13 THE COURT. I am saying to the District Attorney to read
 - 14 the article, take time. 15 MR. FORD. I might suggest they go on with some other
 - 16 testimony. It is quite long.
 - 17 MR. APPEL. Just that portion.
 - 18 THE COURT. The Court just told the District Attorney 19 to take all the time they needed.
 - 20 MR. APPEL. Your Honor, I have not read it yet. I passed
 - 21 it over to counsel. I offer to read those portions which 22
 - are material to the cross-examination of the Witness and 23 none other. I limit my offer to that.
 - 24THE COURT. All right. It will take but a moment to
 - 25glance over the article, and then he can determine any 26 objections, if any he has.

- 1 MR. APPEL. I will simply state to counsel which portions.
- 2 MR. FORD. We have no objection to the article being
- 3 introduced by counsel and he may read such portions of it
- 4 as he desires if we may have the whole of it, the whole of
- 5 it read.
- 6 MR. APPEL. I am not stipulating they may read it all.
- 7 It is only that portion offered by the witness in respect
- 8 to what Mr. Franklin is alleged to have said to him and
- 9 in which they questioned him as to whether or not he made--
- and they kept telling him in the questions that the portion
- that appeared was not published.
- 12 THE COURT. You offer to introduce a portion of that
- article, now you are entitled to that. We will cross that
- 14 bridge when we come to it.
- 15 MR. FREDERICKS. Our stipulation covers the whole article.
- 16 MR. FORD. If they offer a part we are entitled to all of
- 17 | it.
- 18 THE COURT. That is the bridge I am referring to. We
- 19 will cross that when we come to it. Counsel may offer
- 20 that portion he desires and if you--
- 21 MR. FORD. The whole article--you can't pickout two or
- 22 three lines and offer only that portion of it. We admit
- 23 the whole of the article and make no fight against it.
- 24 THE COURT. Counsel has not offered the whole article.
- 25 MR. FREDERICKS. The point is this, possibly to obviate the
- difficulties of proving the situation, as I understand it,

- the suggestion has been made as to whether or not we would object to the introduction of a portion of this article.
- We say that we do not object and we will stipulate that 4
- the entire article may be introduced but we do not make 5
- any stipulation or waiver in regard to a portion of it. 6 that is our position.
- 7 THE COURT. Let's have the record made. You have not made 8 an objection to a portion.
- 9 MR . FOEDERICKS . It has not come to that vet.
- 10 MR . APPEL. I will make it over so as to make the record,
- 11 then his Honor can rule on it. I offer to read to the
- 12 jury that portion of the article referred to by me in my
- 13 previous questions here under date of Tuesday morning,
- 14 December 12, 1911.
- 15 MR. FORD. To which we object upon the ground no founda-
- 16 tion has been laid --

2

24

- 17 MR. APPEL. Wait a minute.
- 18 MR. FORD. pardon me. I beg counsel's pardon.
- 19 MR. APPEL. That portion of the article referred to by the
- 20

witness Jones in his cross-examination as containing the

- 21 reports made by him to the Tribune of and concerning what
- 22 Mr. Franklin is alleged to have said to him at the preli-
- 23
- minary examination of Mr. Franklin concerning Mr. Darrow.
- We offer it for the purpose of showing that he then
- 25 did make a report and did write an article concerning what 26 was said to him immediately following that conversation,

MR. FORD. Our objection, 1 think, is absolutely good as

3

4

5

MR APPEL:

The foundation was laid by them.

MR FORD: The witness never saw this article in court.

Said he never read it.

THE COURT: The only question in my mind. Mr Appel, is 6

whether or not this article is identified as the article 7

referred to by the witness' testimony. Mr Jones is in 8

the court room. 9

MR APPEL: Mr Jones, take the stand. 10

11

12

13

18

19

20

26

HARRY JONES, recalled by the defense,

for further direct examination:

14 MR APPEL: Mr Jones, on cross-examination the other day

when you were examined here in court, do you remember 15

16 whether or not the subject of the conversation you testi-

17 fied to as having had with Mr Franklin at the preliminary

examination of Mr Franklin, with reference to the Lockwood

charge of bribery against him, whether or not the same was

the subject of publication in the Tribune, do you remember

21 A I know that I wrote the story and turned it in.

22 Now, I attract your attention -- have you read this

23 article which we have been talking about here in your pre-24

sence in the court room recently -- just now, a few moments

25 ago? A I read it kst Friday night, I think.

Now, is that portion of the article in reference to

- 1 with reference to Franklin's statement.
- Under the word "Vindicates Darrow"? A I don't rem-2
- 3 ember just now.
- 4 THE COURT: Sit down and look at it, Mr Jones.
- MR APPEL: Now, can you say? A Yes sir, I read that 5
- 6 portion of it.

- 7 Q Is that article as printed, and as found in this issue
- 8 a correct reproduction and substantially correct of
- 9 the article that you wrote following your conversation
- 10 with Mr Franklin, testified to heretofore from the wit-
- ness stand? A Substantially correct.
- 12 Did you, in your report, and in the article that you
- 13 wrote, substantially state as follows: "When Justice
- 14 Young adjourned court, Franklin called the newspaper men
- 15 about him and made the following statement: 'I intended
- 16 to keep my mouth shut concerning this case until after the
- 17 Superior Court acts upon it, but it is my duty to defend
- 18 the good name of an innocent man. Those witnesses lied
- 19 when they said I had mentioned Clarence Darrow's name to
- 20 I wish to vindicate Darrow from any charge that
- 21 may be made against him in connection with this case. I
- 22 may be guilty of all I am charged with, but I am not a
- 23 fool." Did the article that you wrote in reference
- 24to that subject substantially state what I have read to
- 25
- you or words to that effect or the same meaning? A Yes 26 sir.

MR APPEL: Take the witness.

· an

CROSS-EXAMINATION

MR FREDERICKS: Is the rest of thearticle also a substantial reproduction of that story that you turned in?

A I could not--

MR APPEL: That is not material on cross-examination.

THE COURT: You can answer that question yes or no. Objection overruled, and the witness directed to answer the question yes or no.

MR APPEL: Exception.

A Pretty hard to answer.

MR FREDERICKS: Well, if you know. A No, I don't know.

- Q You haven't read it, is that the idea? A Not to refresh my memory.
- Q Not to refresh your memory on it. How much of it did you read the other day when you were looking it over?
- A Just concerning the statements that Mr Ford cross-examined.
- Q That part, a couple of inches? A Yes sir.

MR FREDERICKS: Well, of course, before we lay the foundation to the rest of it, we would have to have the witness read it. It is 5 minutes of 12, and it will take that time for him to do it, and we will ask him to read the article at the noon recess, the entire article. We may want to introduce it, and we may not. We will know when