J. D. FREDERICKS. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Dept. No. 11. Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge. ---0---The People of the State of California, Plaintiff. No. 7373. VS. 建铁工工 Clarence Darrow, Defendant. REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT. VOL. 4 INDEX. Re-D. Re-C. Direct. Cross. 240 George N. Lockwood, 230

> B. N. Smith, Official Reporter.

K643 H6L87 D2 RBR+

Jol 4 to 6

George N. Lockwood

George N. Lockwood

Bert H. Franklin

Bert H. Franklin

Vol. 4 Freefalron

Vol. 5 anne

vol. 5 Program

Vol. 6 Ported

3

4

Defendant in court with counsel.

THE COURT. People versus Darrow, Gentlemen, are you ready to proceed.

5 GEORGE N. LOCKWOOD,

6 resumed the stand for further direct examination:

7 MR. FREDERICKS. Mr. Lockwood, as you went on the stand you

8 said you wanted to correct a statement in your testimony

9 yesterday. I don't know, whatever it is.

10 A In regard to the time that I came to town on Monday morn11 ing and also in regard to the time Mr. Brown met me and

12 consulted with regard to the case first, those two points.

will understand, take them one at a time, whichever one you

Q All right, take them one at a time in order that the jury

15 wish to.

13

18

19

23

24

25

16 A On Saturday.

17 Q Saturday, what date?

A The 25th. I receiver a verbal communication from the District Attorney to come to town as soon as I could, and

20 came in and got to Los Angeles about 12:00 o'clock, and when

21 I made myself known at the office he told me to come back

22 after I had eaten lunch, and I came back at 1 in the after-

noon, and it was that afternoon that Mr. Brown was first in

consultation in regard to this case. I was mistaken in say-

26 in regard to coming to town on Monday, the 27th, I have

ing it was the first time I saw the District Attorney.

Now.

231 1 studied the matter out and from incidents that occurred 2 atthe time, can locate the time of my arrival in Los Angeles 3 almost exactly. I came in on the same car that norning 4 with one of the Bailiffs that is in this court now. 5 Was that Monday morning or Tuesday morning? 6 A That was Tuesday morning; a car that got here at half 7 past eight in the morning instead of later. 8 Q Now, what you mean, you have been using the word Wonday 9 morning, what you mean is Tuesday morning the 28th? 10 Tuesday morning, the morning of the arrest. 11 Q You have not intended to say anything about Monday morn-12 ing? 13 A No. sir, only 7 think there is perhaps a chance to correct 14 My appointment for telephoning to Mr. Franklin on 15 Monday was to be about 4:00 o'clock in the afternoon. 16 Q Mr. Lockwood, did you at any time during the transaction 17 and talk you had with Mr. Franklin or with Mr. White, touch-18 ing the matters about which you testified, intend or purpose 19 to receive or accept any money or any other thing from Mr. 20 Franklin or Mr. White or any other person as a bribe or to 21

do anything contrary to your sworn duty as a juror in the

event that you were chosen or accepted as one of the jurors

24A 1 did not.

22

23

25 MR. ROGERS. Wait a moment now.

in the case of People vs. McNamara?

26A Excuse me.

MR. ROGERS. I move to strike the answer out until we can 1 interpose an objection . 2 THE COURT. Strike it cut for that purpose. 3 MR - ROGERS. Objected to as irrelevant. incompetent and 4 immaterial, leading and suggestive, and counsel reads from 5 a document and puts the words in the witness * mouth. 6 MR . FREDERICKS · I am reading from a question that I had pre 7 pared in order that I might put it concisely. My own 8 question and my own notes. 9 MR . ROGERS. Leading and suggestive. Might ask the witness 10 what he did intend and let the witness tell it and not put 11 the words in his mouth. 12 MR . FREDERICKS. Well, I think there is no vice in the 13 question, your Honor, in view of the witness' testimony. 14 MR. ROGERS. I never have known, if your Honor please, 15 in a criminal case, of counsel elaborately preparing a 16 defense for his witness, having it all written out and 17 asking him if that is not the fact; that is just about what 18 it is. By getting him ready, suggesting to him just what 19 he wants to prove; giving him the words and asking him if 20 that is not true. 21 MR . FREDERICKS. If counsel has never known of that he has 22 missed something. That is my universal custom in preparing 23 a long question that I wish to cover a great many things 24 and prepare it in writing. It is the custom possibly of 25most of the attorneys that I have practiced with. 26

scanned by ALAMALIBRARY

THE COURT. Well, the only question is whether or not this question is leading. I think it is. Objection sus-tained. MR. FREDERICKS. To a certain extent it is leading, your Honor, no doubt about that, but a leading question is not necessarily objectionable because it is leading . THE COURT. Not necessarily, but at this time it appears that the witness ought to be interrogated as to--MR. FREDERICKS. Well, it will take me about an hour to do it. THE COURT. I am afraid it will.

404 ete 1 Mr Lockwood, during the time that you have discussed 2 here and the transactions and the talk you had with Mr White and Mr Franklin, state what was your purpose? 4 I --A 5 MR APPEL: Wait a moment. MR ROGERS: No objection. 6 My idea was that a great crime was being perpetrated --7 8 MR APPEL: We don't want any speeches here, your Honor. The question is "What was his purpose?" Now, his reasons for 9 performing that purpose and that motive may be good on the 10 part of the witness, but it doesn't call for his reasons 11 now, what was his purpose. 12 MR FREDERICKS: I think he is answering it. 13 MR APPEL: His purpose was either to do this, or do that. 14

- THE COURT: I will admonish the witness it is his duty to 15 state his purpose clearly and as concisely as he is able to 16
 - in the manner that will express that purpose.

17

- I will say, my idea was to prevent what I considered a 18 great crime. 19
- By Mr Fredericks -- State whether or not it was your 20 intention to accept and keep any of the money that was 21
- offered you and talked about? 22 MR APPEL: We object to that on the ground it is leading
- and suggestive, the asking of the question in view of the 24
- ruling of the Court, is error on the part of the District 25 Attorney, and prejudicial to the rights of the defendant, 26

- 1 and we except to the conduct of the District Attorney in
- 2 disobeying the order of the Court; substantially the same
- 3 question, with less words put to it, to which the objection
- 4 | was sustained by the Court.
- 5 | MR FREDERICKS: The question is not a leading question,
- 6 except insofar as all questions are leading questions, you
- 7 | must direct the witness' attention to something you want
- 8 the testimony about, otherwise your question will be so
- 9 general you could get no answer.
- 10 MR FORD: The question cannot be answered yes or no, and
- therefore it cannot be a leading question. He said "Did
- 12 you or did you not".
- 13 MR ROGERS: That test of the question has long ago been --
- 14 THE COURT: Applying that test, I think it could be answered
- 15 yes or no.
- 16 MR FREDERICKS: I do not ask that that test be applied; I ask
- 17 that the question be read.
- 18 THE COURT: Read the question. (Question read) Objection
- 19 sustained.
- 20 Q By Mr Fredericks -- What was your intention to do with
- the money that was offered you and talked about?
- 22 MR APPEL: We object to that as immaterial.
- THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- MR APPEL: We except.

evidence.

A I intended to turn it over to the District Attorney as

- Calling your attention to a portion of your testimony 1
- yesterday, Mr Lockwood, back to the time when Bert Franklin 2
- was arrested down on the corner of Third and Main Street --3
- your testimony in regard to that -- you said that a man 4
- came across the street there to meet Franklin? A Yes sir. 5
- Do you recognize that man here in the court room this 6
- morning? A Yes sir.
- Q Who is it? A Clarence Darrow. 8
- The defendant in this case? A Yes sir. 9
- You said, calling your attention again to your testi-10
- mony, that you once lived at 1350 Newton Street in Los 11
- Angeles a year and a half or so before. Was there any
- other George N. Lockwood except yourself ever lived there 13
- to your knowledge? A Not to my knowledge. 14
- MR APPEL: Wait a moment, --15
- Excuse me.

12

16

19

- MR APPEL: -- please. We object to that as immaterial. 17
- THE COURT: Objection overruled. 18
- MR APPEL: We except.
- Not to my knowledge. 20
- I move to strike out the answer of the witness MR APPEL: 21
 - upon the ground it is not responsive to the question.
- 22 THE COURT: The motion to strike out is denied.
- 23 By Mr Fredericks: How long had you lived there prior
 - 24 to your moving? A Lived I think about five years.
- 25
 - During that time state whether or not you were the only Q

George N Lockwood that lived there? MR AFPEL: We object to that as immaterial; too remote away beyond the time mentioned in the indictment or in the com-mencement of the proceedings resulting in the indictment. THE COURT: Objection overruled. MR APPEL: We except. I am the only one that lived there by that name.

26

circumstances.

- 1 A That was given to me by Bert Franklin at my home near 2 Covina.
- Q And the writing on it—the red pencil writing—call your attention to the lead pencil writing, do you know who wrote that?
- 6 A Bert Franklin did?
- Q Well, did you see any one write it? A I saw him write it.
- 9 MR. FREDERICKS. We offer it in evidence, if the Court
 10 please, as People's Exhibit 6.
- MR. ROGERS. Objected to as no foundation laid, incompetent,
- hearsay, and irrelevant and immaterial.
- 14 MR. ROGERS. E xcept.

THE COURT. Overruled.

- MR. FREDERICKS. The card reads-business card printed on one side, J. H. Dean, candidate for the Board of Education.
- one side, J. H. Dean, candidate for the Board of Education.

 On the other side is written with a lead pencil, "Main 3862
- 18 A 4899". Do you know what those numbers refer to?
- 19 A He told me they were his-
- 20 MR. ROGERS. Objected to as a conclusion or opinion.
- 21 MR. FREDERICKS. Q What did he tell you in regard to
- 22 those numbers. Withdraw the question. What did he tell
- you in regard to these numbers?
- 24 MR. ROGERS. Objected to as no foundation laid, incompetent
- 25 irrelevant and immaterial.
 - 26 THE COURT. Overruled.

	240
1	MR. ROGERS. Exception.
2	his A I asked him for _ telephone number and he gave me that
3	card and wrote those numbers on.
4	MR . FREDERICKS. Cross-examine.
5	
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION.
7	MRI. ROGERS. You say you dropped a \$500 bill on the side
8	walk, what for? A As a matter of showing that the trans-
9	action was closed and giving notice to people in waiting
10	that were ready to make the arrest.
11	Q People in waiting. Who do you mean by that? A Detec-
12	tives.
13	Q You knew they were there? A I knew that they were
14	supposed to be there.
15	Q Did you see them? A 1 had not seen them at that time.
16	Q This man on the motorcycle, you knew him, did you?
17	A Well, I had seen him once before.
18	Q What is his name? A I couldn,t tell you.
19	Q You knew he was a detective? A Yes.
20	Q When he rode up you dropped the bill so he would see it
21	A Well, I presume he saw it, I don't know.
22	Q So it was your intention that he should see it?
23	A It was my intention to attract and to sh show to the
24	officers that the money had been passed.
25	Q For the purpose of convicting somebody? A For the
26	purpose of preventing the commission of a crime.

- Q you were then, were you, acting for the District 1
- Attorney? A yes. sir: under his direction. 2
- Q Now, when was that, what day? A That was onthe 28th 3
- day of November . 4
- Q What hour? A A little after 9:00 o'clock. 5
- Q Where? A Corner of Third and Los Angeles. 6
- Q Right out inthe open? A Yes, sir. 7
- Q You could see what happened there from both streets,
- 8
- Third street and Los Angeles Street? A I think so. 9
- Q That was your intention, wasn't it? A I don't catch 10
- the question quite to understard what you mean . My inten-11 tionwhat? 12
- Q To be seen by as many people as choose to observe? 13

- I will say that the appointment and the location of the 14 appointment was made by the other side.
- Q By what other side? A Bert Franklin. 16
- Q Was he the other side? A So far as his dealings with 17
- me. 18
- Q You know that, do you? A As far as I understand those 19 things, I do.
- Q You agreed with him to come there to Third and Los
- 21 Angeles street at somewhat after 9 on this morning so that
- 22
- everybody could see what happened? A I don't know 23 that the other bodies seen, was considered in the appointment 24
- at all. 25
- Q You considered it? A I considered that possibly some-26

body would see. Q Didn't you know somebody was there and came to see it? A I was under the impression that they would, yes. Q Didn't you telephone to get somebody to see it? A sir.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

213 Didn't you telephone the District Attorney on that 1 hi th 2 morning before this thing happened? A I did not. You say you didn't telephone after you got in town that 3 morning? A I did not. You understand exactly what I mean, do you? A I do. 5 That that morning you did not telephone the District 6 Attorney? A That I did not telephone the District Attorney 7 or anyone else. Did you go to see him? A I did not. 9 Did you go into a telephone booth at any place after 10 you got into Los Angeles that morning? A I did not. 11 You got off the car at what place? A Third and Los 12 Angeles, I think -- Third or Fourth and Main. 13 Where did you go? A From the time I got off the car 14 I went over to the Owl Drug Store first. 15 What did you do there? A Bought a little small package 16 Did you telephone? A I did not. 17 You are sure of that? A Yes sir. 18 Where did you go then? A Around to Sixth and Main. 19 What for? A Well. I was killing time to meet the ap-20 pointment at the proper time. 21 Sixth and Main you went? A Yes sir. 22 Did you telephone? A I did not. 23 Did you come down from Sixth and Main to Third and Los 24 Angeles? A Yes sir. 25How long had you known Captain White, as you call him. 26

C E White? A Some seven or eight, ten years, something

Tike that.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 Q On good friendly terms with him? A Yes sir.
- 2 Q He never done you any harm? A No.
- 3 Q You never done him any harm? A Not that I am aware.
- 4 Q Worked in the same office with him? A Yes sir.
- 5 Q After Franklin mentioned his name in this bribery
- 6 matter, this great crime, did you telephone White?
- 7 A I did not.
- 8 Q Did you talk with him? A I did not.
- 9 Q You didn't meet him until this play down at Third and
- 10 Los Angeles? A No.
- 11 Q You didn't caution him? A No sir.
- 12 Q You know Henry Yonkin don't you? A Yes sir.
- 13 Q You worked with him? A Yes sir.
- 14 A He was undersheriff while you were kind of a deputy
- 15 sheriff? A Yes sir.
- 16 Q You suggested bringing Henry Yonkin into this thing,
- 17 didn't you? A Yes sir, I did.
- 18 Q What for? A Because I believed that it could be ar-
- 19 ranged so he would be an agent for the State and a witness
- 20 in this case.
- 21 Q Instead of White? A Yes sir.
- 22 Q Did you ever talk with Yonkin to see whether he would
- 23 act in that capacity? A I did not.
- 24 Q You were willing to see White degraded and disgraced,
- 25 your friend, were you? A No sir.
- $_{26}\mid$ MR FREDERICKS: Objected upon the ground it is incompetent,

- 1 irrelevant and immaterial.
- 2 THE COURT: The witness has answered the question.
- 3 MR APPEL: We have a right to go into his motive.
- 4 MR FREDERICKS: Well, I withdraw the objection. Nothing
- 5 before the Court.
- 6 MR FORD: The question is answered. Will you read the
- 7 question and answer? (Last question and answer read by the
- 8 reporter)
- 9 MR ROGERS: And you didn't warn him or say a word to him?
- 10 A No sir.

A

26

- 11 Q You said you had been a police of ficer? A Yes sir.
- 12 Q When? A I think it was in 1877 or 8 and 9.
- Q Here in the city of Los Angeles? A Yes sir.
- 13 defe in the city of Los Angeles? A les sir.
- $_{14}$ Q Then you were a constable, weren't you? A Yes sir.
- 15 Q Was that before or after you were a policeman?
- 16 How long did you stay a constable? A Three years.
- 17 | Tow long the you stay a constable. A linee year
- 18 Q Then were you a deputy? A Constable?
- 19 Q Yes. A No sir.

After.

- 20 Q Then, first you were a policeman, is that the first
- 21 position you ever held? A Yes.
- Q Then you were a constable? A Yes sir.
- 23. Q Now, after you were a constable, what position as
- peace officer did you hold? A Well, I was special policeman
- 25 for many years, and special deputy sheriff.
 - Q Special policeman? A Yes sir.

- For many years? A Yes sir. 1
- On salary? A I was drawing a salary, not for the 2
- police part of it, but for other work. 3
- Where were you working as special police officer for 4
- many years? A I had charge of the city prisoners for 5
- several years. 6
- What you mean is, you were boss of the chain gang. 7
- isn't that so? A Yes sir, yes sir. 8
- How many years were you boxs of the chain gang? A Oh. 9
- several, I couldn't tell you; four or five, something like 10 that. 11
- Four or five? A Yes. 12
- How long was that after you were constable that you be-13
- came a special policeman in charge of the chain gang? 14
- I think it was some five or six years. 15.
- You were policeman about three years, weren't you? 16
- About two years. A 17
- What? A About two years. 18
- Constable three years? A Yes sir. 19
- Boss of the chain gang about five years? A About that, 20
- yes. 21

A

- Then what did you become in the line of being an officer 22
- or detective, or one thing another of that kind? 23.
- I never become a detective at all. Α 24
- You never did that before? A I never did at all. 25
 - Now then, after you had spent five years, two years as special police officer, three years constable, five years

boss of the chain gang, making ten years in all, then what did you do? A I worked for the city in different capacities.

248 1 Q In what capacities had you wored for the city? 2 A Teamster and laborer in the parks. 3 Q Well, you are a public official, or kind of one? A An 4 employe. 5 Then what happened to you, what did you do then? A When 6 1 quit the city employ I went into the employ of the county 7 as deputy in the Sheriff's office. 8 Q How long were you deputy in the Sheriff's office? A Four 9 years. 10 Q pefore that how many years had you put in in the employ 11 of the government? A of the city government? 12 A 1 couldn't say exactly. 13 Q Well, approximate it. A Approximately I was in the 14 employ of the city government from 1889 up to the close, 15 or the commencement of the White administration as sheriff, 16 with the possible exception of about six or seven months. 17 It would take some little figuring for me to find out how 18 many years and months it was in that time. 19 Q Well, then, you didn't cease to be a public official 20 when the White administration commenced, did you? A No, 21 sir. 22 Q You kept right on working for the public? A Yes, sir. Q How many years, then, have you served the government or 23 24 the people? A I should judge at the time I worked for the city in different capacities and had charge of the city 25prisoners and worked in the county, that possibly it was 12 26

- or 15 years, possibly a little more than that. 1
- Q What other thing did you ever do besides working for 2
- the government? A 1 have raised corn and potatoes, some 3
- fruit. 4

25

- Q You were not out of employment while you were raising 5
- fruit, were you? A No, sir, I was working hard. 6
- Q Working in the city government at the time? A No, sir . 7
- Q What was the interval when you were not working for the 8
- city, from '89 on, or the county? A Well, I spent 6 9
- months up at Santa Barbara working inthe city department 10 up there, that is, working for a contractor. 11
- Q You were working for the government there, weren't you? 12
- No, sir, I was working for a private individual. 13
- Q On public work? A Yes. 14
- Q Working for the city, as a matter of fact? A No, sir. 15
- Q Working for a man that was under contract with the city?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- Q Outside of that, what did you ever do? A I told you 18
 - a moment ago I have raised corn and potatoes.
- 19 Q When was that? A Well, I did it before I was constable 20
- and I done it afterwards. 21
- Q Now, when afterwards? A Well, from the time Iwent 22
- out of being constable until along about :49. 23
- How long was that? A About 5 years. Q 24
 - Q Where? A Cucamonga, San Bernardino County, California
 - Q You raised potatoes in Cucamonga? A yes, sir, and corn

- 1 and barley on the desert.
 - Q Raised potatoes on the desext in Cucamonga? A Yes.
 - Q And barley in Cucamonga? A yes, sir.
 - Q ln '89? A No, 1 said prior to '89.
- Q Prior to \$89. Well, start with \$89 then and tell us 5
- f or whom you have ever worked except he government after 6
- 7 that. A Lockwood.
- Q Where? A South Mainstreet, where 48th Street cuts 8
- 9 through .

3

4

- Q What were you doing down there? A Living on my little 10
- home ranch, raising corn, beans and potatoes. 11
- Q You are trying to pose as a farmer here, are you? 12
- MR. FREDERICKS. We object to that as insulting the witness. 13
- MR. FORD. Counsel should be cautioned about the kind of 14
- 15 language he uses in court.
- THE COURT. I don't know that the question is insulting, 16
- that is too strong a word, but I do not think it is a pro-17
- per question to ask the witness. Objection sustained. 18
- Q Prior to the time you became a policeman until you left 19
- the White administration as a deputy sheriff, how many 20
- years were you out of office or employment for the public? 21
 - A Why, as near as I could figure it I should say about ?
- 23 or 8 years.

- Q At intervals or all at once? A Well, there were 24
- intervals when, for instance, I was outon the ranch at 25
- Cucamonga for five years; I was at Santa Barbara for six 26

months; I worked for a street paving concern in this town month after month, Fairchilds and Gilmore; I hauled sand out of the river with my team day after day, brick from the brickyard month after month. Q But out of your active life you were two years a policeman, three years a constable, five years a special policeman, four years a deputy sheriff? A yes, sir. Q And the rest of the time that you were not growing potatoes and things of that kind you were working on public work, weren't you?

- 1 A The rest of the time I was working for myself, either
- 2 farming or teaming or something of that kind.
- 3 Q While you were a deputy sheriff, Franklin also was one,
- 4 wasn't he? A Yes sir.
- 5 Q You werein the same office? A Yes sir.
- 6 Q For how long? A Four years.
- 7 Q on friendly terms with him? A Yes sir.
 - Q Never had any trouble with him? A No sir.
- 8 Q Mever had any trouble with him: A Mo Sir.
- 9 Q Never had any differences of opinion? A Oh, we differed on a great many things, but never any difficulty.
- 10 On a great many unings, but he ver any difficulty.

 11 Q You considered yourself his friend? A Why. as far as
- 11 I could remember, yes.
- 12 | 1 could remember, yes.
- Q Did you ever see Mr Darrow? A I have.
- Q Until the time you say you saw him at Third and Main?
 - A No sir, not to my knowledge.
- Q But before you ever saw Darrow you had seen the Dis
 - trict Attorney, hadn't you? A Yes, a great many times.
 - Q You had seen him about this thing, hadn't you?
 - A Yes, I had talked with him in regard to this matter.
 - Q Before you ever saw Darrow? A Yes sir.
 - Q Now, before we go any further, I desire to ask you if
 - you have any corrections more that you desire to make in your testimony? A Not that I think of at the present time.
 - Q. You will stand on it as it is now? A So far as I can

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 | Q What is the first time you saw the District Attorney
- 2 about this matter? A Well, the date I couldn't tell you;
- 3 it was following the second visit of Bert Franklin to the
- 4 ranch.
- $_{5}$ Q Have you got any note-books with it in? A No sir.
- 6 Q How is it you can fix those other dates so exactly and
- 7 give us the day of the month and you cannot give us that
- 8 day? Have you been told not to? A No sir.
- 9 Q Why did you make the correction in your testimony this
- 10 morning?
- 11 MR FORD: We would like to have him tox have an opportunity
- 12 to finish that question.
- 13 MR FREDERICKS: I would like also an opportunity to object
- to the question as being an improper question, and an insin-
- uation or imputation that is not warranted by anything that
- has developed so far, at any rate, "Have you been told not
- to". It is an implication of subornation of perjury, if it
- 18 is anything.
- MR APPEL: We have a right to that question.
- THE COURT: The question has been asked and answered,
- gentlemen.
- 22 MR RORD: Only a part of it.
- 23 MR APPEL: Only as a matter of right, it makes no difference
- 24 who it hits.
- MR FREDERICKS: We will take care of who it hits.
- 25 MR FORD: If the Court please, the counsel started one

- 1 | question and without giving us an opportunity to answer it
- 2 asked a second question, and I would like to have the wit-
- 3 ness have an opportunity to answer the question; I would like
- 4 to have the whole question read so that the witness may
- 4 to have the whole question read so that the withess may
- 5 answer it in full.

th

- 6 THE COURT: Read it.
- 7 (Question read by the reporter)
- 8 MR FORD: That is, you are answering the latter part of the
- 9 questi on. Answer the first part.
- 10 A I would like to answer how I can fix those dates.
- 11 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 12 A The day that Franklin first came to my house I closed
- 13 the last irrigation of the season that afternoon; was tired
- 14 and weary and my water bill and so on will show that date.
- 15 The other datex followed as I have described and it was easy
- 16 to remember those dates.
- 17 MR ROGERS: Now why isn't it easy to remember the time you
- 18 went to the District Attorney and became his employee?
- 19 A Possibly for the reason that when I went to the Dis-
- 20 trict Attorney and told him this, I said to the District
- 21 Attorney at that time in my judgment with your corps of
- 22 detectives you will be able to uncover this matter and
- 23 bring it to the light without me being mentioned in it in
- 24 any way, shape or manner, and I seeked to avoid any notice
- 25 in the matter whatever. Perhaps that is the reason why I
- 26 cannot remember that date.

- 1 Q Is that the only explanation you have now for your
- 2 failure of recollection? A Yes sir.
- Q You think that explains it? A It does to my own satisfaction.
- 5 Q Well then, you cannot remember what day you went to
- 6 the District Attorney because you didn't want to be mixed
- 7 up? A Oh, I don't put it that way; I don't think that is
- 8 a fair construction of it at all.
- 9 Q Then what is a fair construction of it?

1 my mind.

- 2 Q Why isn't it also clear, a thing you did yourself that
- 3 Franklin didn't do, that you know more about than he does?
- A 1 can, that was perfectly clear in mind the first of
- the week, Tuesday or Wednesday, somewhere there, 1 went
- 6 to the district attorney at that time.
- 7 Q You already told Franklin you wanted nothing to do with
- g it and would have nothing to do with it? A Yes, sir.
 - Q Then you went to the distfict attorney? A Yes, sir.
- You want my reasons for going to him?
- Q I have no doubt you are loaded with a speech, let's have
- it. A Simply I thought it was the proper thing to do,
- that is all the speech I have.
- Q You made up your mind about it then? A I have.
- Q You think it was the proper thing to do? A I do, and
- 16 still think so.
- Q When you did that, told Franklin youwanted nothing to
- do with it, the next time that you saw Franklin was when?
- $_{19}$ A On Sunday, the 26th day of November.
- 20 Q How long was that after you had been to the district
- 21 attorney? A Oh, a week or more.
- 22 Q Did you telephone him? A Who?
- 23 Q Franklin? A No, sir.
- Q When did you telephone? A I telephoned Franklin on
- 25 | the evening of the 8th of November •
- Q Where did you telephone him from, El Monte? A On the

- 1 evening of the 27th.
- 2 Q Where did you see him on the 26th?
- 3 A At my house in Covina District.
- 4 Q That was after you had seen the District Attorney?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you see Franklin on the 9th day of November? A Yes
- 7 sir.
- 8 Q Did you see Franklin on the 26th day of November?
- 9 A On the 26th--yes, sir.
- 10 Q Did you see Franklin on the 27th? A No, sir.
- Q Did you see Franklin on the night of the 28th? A Oh,
- 12 I did the night of the 27th, at night.
- 13 Q How did he come out there? A I telephoned him at
- 14 | Monte and in response to that telephone he came out.
- 15 Q When you telephoned him at Monte the district attorney
- 16 was there? A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Detectives there? A At Monte?
- 18 Q No, at your house. A They were there later.
- 19 Q How many of them? A Five or six.
- 20 Q You knew they would be there? A Yes, sir.
- Q That was why you telephoned Franklin, wasn't it? A Yes sir.
- 23 Q To deliver your friend into the law officers' hands?
- A To deliver the man that I thought was trying to commit
- 25 a crime.
- Q You never told Franklin at any time that you were trying

- 1 to trap him? A No. sir.
- 2 Q You never told him at any time that you thought what he
- 3 was doing was wrong? A No. sir.
- 4 Q You never told him at any time that you were not that
- 5 kind of a man? A I told him I wouldn't have anything to
- 6 do with the acceptance of that bribe.
- 7 Q Did you mean it? A 1 did.
- 8 Q Then you telephoned himafterwards to come out there?
- 9 A Yes, sir.

- 10 Q Why didn't you leave him alone and not telephone him
- to come out there and tryto bribe him? A Simply because 11
- 12 after telling him, the only reason was that after telling
- him that I would have nothing to do with it he came to
- my house and increased his bribe and showed me he was 14
- 15 actively engaged in doing that work.
- Q You thought Franklin was a bad man? A I thought he 16
- 17 was in disreputable business, at any rate.
- /Q Did you tell him, "Bert, this is wrong; you ought not 18
- to insult me this way?" A No, sir, I did not. 19
- Q Did you tell him, "Bert, you know that you cannot bribe 20
- me; I am not that kind of a man?" A No, sir... 21
- DR. FREDERICKS. Objected to upon the groundlthat it is 22
- irrelevant, incompetent and immaterial and not cross-23
- 24 examination.
- THE COURT. He has answered the question. The objection is 25
- well taken, on the addition ground it is already answered. 26

1 MR. ROGERS. Who suggested you telephone Franklin that 2 night to come out to your house? 3 MR. FORD. Just a moment, that is on the 27th? MR. FREDERICKS. That is objected to unless that night 4 is specified so the witness will know what night he is 5 6 talking about. 7 THE COURT. Objection sustained. MR. ROGERS. Exception. Q Who suggested to you that 8 you telephone Franklin from the Monte? A 1 think it 9 was the district attorney that talked the matter over. 10 Q Franklin would not have come out that night if the 11 district attorney and youhad not telephoned to him? 12 13 A Possibly not. MR. FORD. Objected to -- it is answered -- we object to it as 14 calling for a conclusion of the witness. 15 MR. ROGERS. You had no engagement with him, did you? 16 A I had agreed to telephone him that day. 17 Q And that is the time when you telephoned him at the 18 suggestion of the district attorney that the engagement was 19 made for Third and Los Angeles street, isn't that so? 20 21 A No, sir. When was the engagement made to meet the next morning? 22 A About 9 o'clock at night out there at my ranch. 23 Q That was when he came in response to your telephone, 24 25wasn't it? A Yes, sir.

Q Now, that is exactly what I asked you--

MR. FREDERICKS. That is objected to--1 MR. ROGERS. Q Isn't it true that the engagement made 2 at Third and Los Angeles streets was made after you tele-3 phoned him to come out? A Yes, sir. 4 MR . FREDERICKS. Just a moment -- that is objected to as 5 being incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial and not cross-6 examination and as stating a fact and a question which is 7 not in evidence. The counsel said, "Now, that is just 8 what I asked you", and he then started something different. 9 The question is misleading. 10 THE COURT. Oh, well, as to that part, "That is just what 11 I am asking", is of no consequence. The question is a 12 proper question. 13 MR. APPEL. Our theory is one and theirs is another; did 14 this happen? 15 MR . FREDERICKS . The only thing is not to confuse the jurors 16 as to the time. 17 MR. APPEL. We have a right to search--18 THE COURT. 1 think the question is clear, if it is as 19 clear to the witness as it is to the court he might answer. 20 Objection overruled. 21 A Read the question. (Last question read by the reporter.) 22 MR. ROGERS. Q Now, at the time Franklin came out in 23 response to your telephone, at the suggestion of the dis-24trict attorney, you asked him where was Darrow, did you? 25 A Yes, sir. 26

- 1 Q And he said, "Well, did you think Darrow was coming 2 out here?" A Yes. 3 Q And then what did you say to him? A I said, "1 4 sure thought so." 5 Q And what did he say to that? A He wanted to know 6 what made me think so. 7 Q What did you say to that? A I said, "You wanted to 8 know if you should bring the Big One out, and I supposed 9 that is who you meant." 10 Q You wanted to trap Darrow out there? A I had nothing 11 to do with the trapping of Darrow out there at all. 12 Q Didn't you try to trap Darrow out there? A When he said that he--"Shall I bring the Big One?", I supposed he 13 14 meant Clarence Darrow. 15 Q And he told you he didn't? A He said he didn't mean 16 him. Q And that he didn't mean Darrow at all but that he meant 1718 someone else? A Yes, sir. 19 Q And who did he mean? A Captain White. 20 Q The man that met you the next morning? A Yes, sir. 21 Q You knew White before that, didn't you? A Yes, sir . 22 Q You knew he was a big man? A Yes, sir, a good fair 23 sized man, weighs over 200.
 - man.

Q You call that a fair size? A yes, a good fair sized

Q What do you call a big man?

24

25

- 1 MR. FORD. That is objected to as irrelevant and immater-2 ial and frivolous.
- 3 THE COURT. You want an answer to that question?
- 4 MR. ROGERS. Yes, sir, what he means by a big man.
- 5 A 1 have seen some very large men in my time.
- Q In the circus and places like that? A And outside of the circus.
- 8 Q Did you ever see a man bigger than White used to be?
- 9 A 1 don't know how big he used to be.
- 10 Q Don't you know he weighed 235 or 240 pounds when he
- 11 was inthe sheriff's office? A 1 know he weighed in that
- 12 neighborhood. I have weighed more than that myself.
- 13 MR. FORD. Objected to as irrelevant and immaterial.
- 14 | THE COURT. Overruled.
- 15 MR. ROGERS. Q over six feet tall? A I don't know as
- 16 to that.
- 17 Q When yought those detectives out there and the district
- 18 attorney was out there you thought the Big Fellow was coming
- 19 out? A sure did.
- 20 Q You thought it was Darrow? A 1 sure did.
- 21 Q And you got the thing up for that purpose, didn't you?
- 22 A No, I couldn't say that I did.
- 23 MR. FREDERICKS. That is objected to, may it please the
- 24 | Court, unless, "the thing" is understood by all to mean
- 25 | the same thing. Now, "the thing" may mean one thing and
- 26 it may mean another.

MR. FREDERICKS. It is not to me. I want this jury to know just exactly what is meant, and this witness to know what THE COURT. I think if there is any doubt about that you can

MR. FREDERICKS. But wrong impressions are created and it is

THE COURT. Objection overruled.

A What is the question? (Last question and answer read

MR. ROGERS. Q Well, you made that arrangement out there at your ranch with five or six detectives and the district attorney and all the rest of that for the purpose of catching Darrow, didn't you?

- A No sir; but if Clarence Darrow had been present and that money had been turned over I am satisfied that he would have been arrested.
- Q Why did you expect him? A Simply because when Franklin asked me if he should bring the big one out, I supposed that he meant Clarence Darrow, Now, that is the secret --

MR FREDERICKS: Unless the witness will tell when that conversation was with this Franklin, this matter will not be understood.

MR APPEL: Your Honor, we have a right to cross-examine this witness without any further interruption from the District Attorney. He can make his legal objection and your Honor will rule upon it, but we do object to cautioning this witness on the stand.

THE COURT: The Court agrees with you.

MR APPEL: Whatever the conversation may be must bring them out and counsel has no right to qualify --

THE COURT: Don't scold about it. It is settled. It is settled in your way.

1 MR APPEL: I know, your Honor, this is the witness' --

MR ROGERS: Is there a question there that has got mixed

up in this thing?

9

0

12

23

25

26

24 | MR FREDERICKS: No, there is no question.

MR APPEL: You told the District Attorney that Darrow was coming out there, that you thought he was coming, words to

```
that effect?
1
    MR ROGERS: Then you told the District Attorney that you
    thought that Darrow would be out that night?
         That is at the Monte, at the telephone booth on the
4
    evening of the 26th.
         You understand me correctly, then? A I told him
    Q.
    just exactly what Franklin had said and told him that I
7
    supposed by that that he meant Mr Darrow.
R
         Now, as a matter of fact, you told him to come right
    Q.
    out that you had got Darrow out there, didn't you? A No sir.
10
       Words to that effect? A No sir.
11
        You told him what Franklin had said and that you thought
12
    Darrow was coming? A I did.
13
14
```

- And that is why all this reception committee was there.
- is that not true? A No sir.
- Didn't the District Attorney say. "Well, we will catch Q. 16
- Darrow tonight"? A No sir. not in my hearing. 17
- What did he say? A I am sure -- the arrangments were Q. 18 all made by --
- What did he say? 20
 - MR FREDERICKS: Just a moment, please. We would like to
- have the answer of the witness. 22
- MR ROGERS: And we would like to have the answer of the 23
- witness: 24

19

21

25

- MR APPEL: Let him answer the question. What did he say?
- MR FTETERICKS: He started to say "The arrangements were

- 1 all made "; I would like to have him finish that.
- 2 MR APPEL: Let him say what was said.
- 3 THE COURT: Yes, what was said; that is the question.
- 4 MR FREDERICKS: Let's see where we are. Let's see what the
- 5 | witness said.
- 6 A I am sure I could not fepeat further than I think per-
- 7 haps the District Attorney said that he would be glad to
- 8 have him out there, something of the kind, --
- 9 Q / And then, when Darrow didn't come the play was put off
- until the next morning, wasn't it? A Not on that account,
- 11 by any means.
- 12 Q It was put off until the next morning? A Yes sir.
- 13 Q And Darrow didn't come? A No.
- 14 Q And you put it off until the next morning at Third and
- 15 Main, didn't you? A I didn't put it off.
- 16 Q You didn't put it off? A No sir.
- 17 Q Who did? A Mr Franklin.
- 18 Q You expected the money there that night, didn't you?
- 19 A Yes sir.

- 20 Q At the Monte? A No sir, not at the Monte.
- 21 Q At your house? A Yes sir.
- Q You expected to get your money on the 27th? A Yes sir.
 - Q And you didn't get it? A No.
- Q So the whole thing was put over until the next morning
- at Third and Los Angeles so that Darrow could be caught
- there, isn't that so? A No sir, not so far as I know.

Don't you know that Darrow was telephoned for to come 1 2 down to Third and Main Streets so that you could pull this play off? A No sir, I don't know anything of the kind. 3 Donot you know that Mr Darrow came down there in response 4 to a telephone to get him into the vicinity of? 5 The only reason I have for knowing any such thing of 6 that kind is something I read in a paper in an interview 7 alleged to have been with Earl Rogers a few days ago. My 8 first knowledge of any telephoning to Mr Darrow. 9 Well. Mr Darrow was not at Third and Los Angeles? Q .10 A No. not to my knowledge. 11 Q White was there? A Yes. 12 Former deputy sheriff? A Yes. Q 13 Franklin was there? A Yes. Q 14 Former deputy sheriff? A Yes sir. Q 15 A lot of the district attorney's people were there? Q 16 I think so. A 17 Well, you know it, don't you? A I have reason to 18 believe they were there. I told you I didn't see any of 19 them until afterwards. 20 Darrow was not there? A I didn't see him./ Q 21 Now, when White showed you this money, even you said to 22 him: "This is a funny thing, these big bills?" A Yes sir.

23

24

25

 $\log 1$ Q You thought that was a most strange thing, didn't you. 2 they used big bills? A Well, I was filling in talk at 3 that time, expecting that the thing would culminate right 4 there. 5 Q What did you say about those bills, the size of them? 6 A 1 says, "A man would have hard work to pass one of those 7 bills." 8 Q What else did you say about it? A That is the sum 9 and substance of it. 10 Q is that all? A I think I told him they ought to have 11 been in fives or twos, or something of that kind. 12 Q Why did you say that? A Simply filling-in talk, wait-13 ing for the arrest to be made. 14 Q why did you say it ought to have been fives and twos? 15 MR. FREDERICKS. I object to that on the ground it has been 16 a sked and answered. 17MR. ROGERS. This kind of a witness, we are at liberty 18 to cross-examine him thoroughly. 19 THE COURT. Objection overruled. 20 A Simply because I was filling in time expecting the 21 arrest to be made any second. 22 Q You knew, didn't you, if a man was going to really 23 try to bribe you, he wouldn't use one thousand dollar 24 bills and five hundred dollar bills? You said so, didntt 25you? 26 MR. FORD. We object to that as calling for a conclusion

- 1 of the witness.
- 2 THE COURT. Objection overruled.

a five hundred dollar bill.

- 3 A No, sir, I didn't say so.
- 4 Q What did you say to Franklin about it, or White about
- 5 it? A I told him a man would have hard work in passing
- The state of the s
- Q Is that all? A I said they ought to have been in twos
- 8 or fives.

6

- 9 Q You just said that to fill time, is that all? A yes, sir
- 10 that is all.
- Q But it did occur to you that it was most remarkable
- that thousand dollar bills and things of that sort should
- 13 be used for such purposes, didn't it?
- MR. FORD. We object to that on the ground it is incompetent
- irrelevant and immaterial what the witness thought about
- its being remarkable, calling for a conclusion of the
 witness, not cross-examination-incompetent irrelevant and
- 18 immaterial.

on it.

- 19 THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 20 MR. FREDERICKS. This is a matter for counsel to argue to
- 21 the jury, your Honor, not to get this witness opinion
- 23 MR. APPEL. We want to see what he thought about it.
- 24 THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 25 A Read the question, please.
- 26 | (Question read.)

1 MR . FORD. There is a matter I want to call to the Court's 2 attention: There are side remarks made here and if they 3 are only made for byplay between attorneys, still they 4 are made loud enough, I believe, to reach the ears of the 5 jury: 6 THE COURT. Mr. Ford, what you say is true more or less in 7 regard to both sides, but I assume that when these remarks 8 are made, when side remarks are made, that counsel are con-9 ferring with each other. I have heard nothing from either 10 side that would be seriously improper if it did reach the 11 ears of the jury. I have most excellent ears, and I think 12 I would hear if any one did, but I assume it is necessary 13 for counselon both sides to confer more or less. But, I 14 do think, Gentlemen, it should bedone in a little less 15 audible tone. 16 MR . APPEL. What I said was brought out in the answer. 17 whatever I said I can say in your Honor's presence, and 18 the Jury's presence, and it was a matter addressed to the 19 question. I said the evidence we were seeking from this 20 witness was for the purpose of showing on which side of the 21 case was the conspiracy, and I say we have a right to 22 show there was a conspiracy there, to induce a crime to be, 23 apparently to be committed for the purpose of trapping Mr. 24 Darrow, and I say it is upon that theory that evidence is

THE COURT. Let us try to proceed a little bit orderly.

25

26

admissible.

1 simply ask that both sides try to make those comments and discussions outside of the hearing of the jury until the time comes for counsel to address the jury. THE COURT. I want to say, it is impossible for the offi-cial reporter to get down what two gentlemen say at the same time, and when the reporter is reading the testimony back, as he was a moment ago, it was entirely improper for any counsel to make a remark that should go into the record; if it is during your council, that is all right, but it cannot go into the record at the same time. don't think there was any necessity for this interruption, Gentlemen. If there were remarks made here, why, the Court will see to it that it is stopped, that is, the remarks that are improper, at any rate. What was the last ques-tion? (Question read.)

```
No. I cannot say it occurred to me in any such manner.
te 1
    THE COURT: Bear in mind your former admonition, gentlemen.
  2
    We will take a recess for five minutes.
  3
  4
    (After recess)
  5
                              GEORGE N. LOCKWOOD, on the stand.
  6
                     CROSS EXAMINATION (resumed)
  7
    THE COURT: The jury is present. You may proceed.
  8
    MR ROGERS: Q You had not in mind, when you were talking
  9
    about the size of the bills, anything concerning the bribery
 10
    matter at all, had you? A I did it simply killing time
 11
    until that arrest would be made.
 12
         Didn't you have in your mind that in a bribery a
 13
    thousand dollar bill was a very uncomfortable thing to
 14
    handle?
 15
    MR FREDERICKS: We object to that on the ground --
 16
         Isn't that why you said it?
 17
    MR FREDERICKS: -- object to that on the ground it is incompe-
 18
    tent. irrelevant and immaterial, not cross-examination; and
 19
    further, that the question has been asked and answered a
 20
    great many times, and the field entirely covered by questions
 21
    and answers of this witness.
 22
    MR ROGERS: No sir.
 23
    THE COURT: I assume when you say "one thousand dollar bills"
 24
    you mean five hundred dollar bills?
 25
```

MR ROGERS: No, I mean one thousand dollar bills, which he

- 1 has referred to.
- 2 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 3 A Please read the question again, so that I can catch it
- 4 again.

- (Question read)
- 6 A The only thought that I had in regard to the size of
- 7 those bills was simply to fill in time there, until the
- 8 absolute arrest would take place.
- 9 MR FREDERICKS: I would like to call the Court's attention
- 10 to the fact, now, that same identical question has been asked
- 11 and that same identical answer has been given four times.
- 12 MR FOGERS: All right. Now, we will ask him another one.
- 13 Q How do you account, then, for your testimony of yester-
- $_{14}$ | stay, as follows: "I told him I thought the passing of a
- 15 | five hundred dollar bill on a proposition of that kind was
- 16 decidedly out of the way, it ought to have been twos or
- 10
- 17 fives. Well, he says, 'part of the bills of this is
- 18 thousand dollar bills'. Well, I says, 'That is all wrong
- in a case of this kind. "Now, what do you mean by that,
- 20 The a case of this kind"?
- MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to as being incompetent,
- 22 irrelevant and immaterial, as having been asked and answered
- 23 many times.

- THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 25 A Please read the question again so that I can catch it.
 - THE COURT: You have a right to see the transcript, if you want to, Mr Lockwood. What page is it on?

- 1 MR ROGERS: 219.
- 2 MR FREDERICKS: I do not think there is any dispute about it,
- 3 your Honor.
- 4 MR ROGERS: Never mind; we will have the witness tell whether
- there is any dispute about it. As soon as he reads the ques-
- 6 tion I will enswere it.
- 7 MR FREDERICKS: We are talking for our side of the case, that
- g is, there is no dispute, on our side.
- 9 MR ROGERS: Of course, and we would like to have him explain
- 10 why he is doing this now.
- 11 THE COURT: Read the question, now.
- $_{12}$ (Last question read)
- 13 A I meant, in so far as any allusion to the size of those
- bills, just exactly what I said before, it was simply to fill
- in time; I was expecting the arrest would be made every
- 16 minute, nothing else.
- 17 Q By Mr Rogers: Then you didn't tell him the truth, when
- you said it was all wrong to use thousand dollar bills in a
- 19 case of that kind?
- 20 MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to on the ground it is argu-
- mentative, calls for a conclusion of the withess; it is not
- 21 montautive, car is for a conclusion of the without, it is not
- 22 cross-examination.

- 23 MR POGERS: An accomplice, framed, or otherwise, is subject
- to cross-examination on all his motives, why he did this,
- that and the other thing, in every particular.
 - MR FREDERICKS: We agree with counsel.

- 1 THE COURT: Let the witness answer the question.
- 2 A Read it again, please.
- 3 (Question read)
- 4 A I think I did.
- 5 Q By Mr Rogers; You meant it, then? A I think it is
- 6 wrong to use any sized bills.
- 7 Q And you didn't have any idea of the thousand dollar
- 8 bills as distinguished from twos and fives? A No sir.
- 9 Q Then why was it you said: "Well, he says, 'Well, I told
- 10 him I thought the passing of a five hundred dollar bill on
- 11 a proposition of that kind was decidedly out of the way,
- 12 that it ought to have been twos or fives.*"
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 · 24
- 25
- 26

MR. FREDERICKS. That is objected to on the ground it has 2p 1 been asked and answered of this witness now five times. 2 MR. ROGERS. Now. just a moment. I know these objections 3 are made simply for the purpose of letting the witness have 4 an opportunity to think, and suggest to him. 5 THE COURT. Let us have the answer. Mr. Rogers, I do not 6 think you ought to say that. 7 MR. FREDERICKS. Mr. Rogers gives himself too much credit 8 as a cross-examiner. 9 THE COURT. Let us have the question. 10 MR. ROGERS. I have beaten you every time but once. 11 MR. FREDERICKS. That is the only one time we ever had 12 anything. 13 A The only object, the only reason I had for saying any-14 thing there was to fill in the time until the arrest was 15 made. 16 Q Then you were deceiving him? A I couldn't say that 17 I was . 18 Q You wanted Captain White to be arrested, did you? 19 A I wanted what I considered to be a crime stopped. 20 Q You wanted Captain White arrested, didn't you? A I 21 wanted what I considered to be a crime stopped. 22 Q Answer me. 23 MR. FREDERICKS. We maintain, if your Honor please, that 24 is an answer to the question. 25 THE COURT. An indirect answer. I think he can answer it 26

more directly. 1

2

5

10

14

18

19

26

- A I certainly wanted the arrest made.
- MR. ROGERS. Of white? A Of any one connected with it 3 and White was there. 4
 - Q Of White, didn't you? A Yes.
- Q And you wanted the arrest made of Franklin? A Yes, sir. 6
 - Q And you wanted Darrow, didn't you? A If he was con-7 nected with it. 8
- Q You expect_ed him there the night before and wanted him 9 arrested, didn't you? A I expected him to that extent
- that I supposed Franklin referred to him. 11 Q You afterwards learned that he didn't? A I afterwards
- 12 learned that he said he didn't. 13

Q Did you expect Darrow there that morning? A Had no

- reason to expect him there at all. 15
- Q I am not asking youthat; I am asking you if you did 16 expect him. A I did not. 17
 - Q Franklin say anything about Darrw's being there that morning? A No, sir./
- Q Where was it that the arrest of Franklin was made? 20 A On Main street about where the intersection of that por-
- 21 tion of Third Street would intersect Man running West of 22 Main. 23
- Q How far had you gone north of the intersection when the 24 arrest was made? A Of the street running east from Main? 25
 - Q yes. A I should judgenearly to the corner where you could coss over and could go west on the portion of

- 1 the street running west from Main.
- Q was it north of Third? A It was north for that portion
- 3 of Third that is east of Main.
- 4 Q Had you gone north from Third Street on Main when the
- 5 arrest was made? A I had gone north.
- 6 MR. FREDERICKS. Just a moment. That is objected to --
- maybe counsel is not aware of the jog in the street down
- 8 there.
- 9 MR. ROGERS. Yes, 1 am.
- MR. FREDERICKS. Then it is objected to upon the ground
- 11 that the question cannot be answered because of the mean-
- 12 ing of -- the question in the manner it is put, because what
- 13 may be north of Third street east of main might not be
- 14 north of Main street west of Third street.
- 15 THE COURT. I think the witness can state precisely where
- 16 it was. The proper answer to the question would be a
- 17 statement precisely where it was.
- 18 A 1t was north of that portion of Third street running
- 19 east of Main, the best of my recollection, nearly on a
- 20 line with the south side of Third street running west of
- 21 Main.
- 22 MR. ROGERS. Q Which way was Darrow coming?
- 23 A He was coming diagonally across that corner.
- 24 Q From the west side towards the east side of Main street?
- 25 A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you know where Darrow's office was? A I did not

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

				. •
Q	Had you heard?	A I don't	think that	I think I have
8 8	en it in the new	spaper; tha	t is all.	
Q	You knew it was	Second and	Main at that	time, did you
A	1 did not.			

- Q Isnot that why you put this, whatever you call it, on Third and Los Angeles?
- MR. FORD. Object to the use of that word as not a proper term to be used in court.
- MR. FREDERICKS. Also objectionable as assuming that this witness "put" the affair anywhere.

THE COURT: Oh, it isn't the right word to use, but the witness th 1isn't offended by it at all. 2 MR FREDERICKS: Your Honor, if I may be permitted, it isn't 3 so much a matter of offending the witness as getting the 4 correct idea before the jury. That is all we seek. 5 MR ROGERS: I am trying to get that correct idea before this 6 jury, this was a performance and a fake. 7 MR FREDERICKS: Now. may it please the Court. I ask that Mr 8 Rogers be punished for contempt of court for making that 9 statement. If the prosecution has any right in the world 10 in endeavoring to keep the Courts pure and decent and 11 punish and prosecute those who try to corrupt them, if they 12 have got any right under God's heaven, certainly they have 13 a right to be protected from a man who comes in here and 14 makes abstatement such as that, and I ask this Court to 15 punish Mr Rogers for contempt of court for making that out-16 rageous statement. 17 MR ROGERS: I say it again, and I say it in the presence of 18 I contend this was a fake; I contend it was a the Court: 19 frame-up; I will prove it before I get through. I will 20 take no thing back that I have said. You brought it upon --21the District Attorney brought it upon himself by objecting 22 to my use of the word "performance", or whatever you 23 I will not denominate it as a fact, or a thing 24 that is honest, because I don't believe it was. Now, I stand

here ready to answer for my honest conviction, in any

25

- Court, and if the District Attorney doesn't want me to use 1
- what I believe, he doesn't need to ask me. My question 2
- 3 was entirely proper and until he broke in to state that he
- objected to what I said I did not outline my position. 4
- outline my position now. I believe this thing is a fake: 5
- I believe it was a trap: I believe that it was put on as a
- performance, and I hope to be able to prove it.
- MR FREDERICKS: We ask that the objectionable statement be
- read so that if the Court has it not in mind that the matter
- be taken up and see whether it is a proper statement to make 10
- in Court at this time. 11
- THE COURT: The jury is instructed to disregard any state-12
- ment by the attorney for the defendant, in so far as it may 13
- be considered as evidence. It is not evidence, and it is 14
- not to be considered by them as evidence, aso far as the 15
- question itself is concerned. I know of no power of the 16
- Court to determine or direct either the defense or the 17
- prosecution as to what theory they may proceed on in this
- case. 19

- MR FREDERICKS: That is not the point, your Honor. 20
- THE COURT: If they prove it, that is another matter. 21
- MR FREDERICKS: That is not the point I make. 22
- THE COURT: The jury will not consider the statement made 23
- by counsel as evidence in any way, shape or form, and I 24
- now so instruct them. 25
- MR FREDERICKS: That is not the point I make. The point I 26

make is this: this is a court of justice in which we must 1 proceed according to the rules of procedure, and there is 2 3 no rule of procedure: which will permit counsel for either 4 side to make such a statement as counsel made, and when a 5 counsel does make that statement he is making them for an 6 improper motive, and with improper intentions of improperly influencing & jury. It can have no other effect, and we 7 8 only want to stop it so we can try this case according to 9 the evidence that is brought out on the witness stand and 10 not according to what may be said by either attorneys. 11 We have got to obey them, and we ask that counsel obey 12 them. THE COURT: I feel sure under the admonitions of the Court, 13 14 and if there were no admonitions, sense and justice would 15 direct this jury to decide this case upon the evidence that 16 is presented to them, and not by the statements, heated 17 or otherwise that may be made by counsel at this time. I think it is unfortunate that this outbreak -- I can' 18 19 denominate it nothing else. has occurred; but I do not re-20 gard it as contempt of Court, or as being a deliberate attempt to unduly or improperly influence the jury, and if 21 22 so, the jury will bear in mind the admonition the Court 23 has given. I might at this time -- this moment, I want to say further to the jury and in connection with a matter 24 that was just before adjournment, that if any side remarks 25 are made by counsel dn this Court on either side, or by 26

any other person in this Court on either side, or out of

Court.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- on either side on the street, remarks are made apparently 1 to other people and directed at you for your hearing. You are being sequestered here for the purpose of keeping your 3 minds entirely free and unbiased and unaffected by any-4 thing that may be said or done or that may appear in news-5 papers or in this room, except what you hear in this court 6 room and from what you hear from the witness stand. is the thing upon which you are to decide, and if in these 8 matters to which I have referred, occur in the court room or 9 out of the court room, it is your duty to report the same 10 to the Court, and it will be dealt with accordingly. There 11 may be things said here that I wont hear, if so, call my 12 attention to it, or outside, or at any other time you/en-13 titled to that protection. You are part of the court 14 and the protection that ought to be accorded it. 15 Gentlemen, proceed with the cross-examination of this 16 witness. 17 (Last question read by the reporter.) 18 A 1 would answer that I didn't put it there. 19 MR . ROGERS. Who did? A Bert Franklin made the appoint-20 ment. 21
- Q And you agreed to it? A Yes, sir. 22

24

25

26

- Q And the district attorney aided you? A I didn't see the district attorney after the arrangement was made until it was all carried out.
- Q You saw various and sundry of his detectives and representatives immediately afterwards, didn't you?

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q How did they know it was going to be at Third and Los
- 3 Angeles? A Bert Franklin said that was the place that
- 4 he would pick out.
- 5 Q How did the district attorney's representatives and
- 6 detectives know it? A 1 think they heard him say so and
- 7 1 told them myself afterwards.
- 8 Q You told who afterwards? A Told Mr. Brown.
- 9 Q Why did you correct your testimony this morning as to
- 10 when you first saw Bron? A Simply because I discovered by
- 11 thinking the matter over last night when it was that I first
- 12 saw him, that is the only reason I have for correcting it.
- 13 Q Did you go to the District Attorney's office this morn-
- 14 ing? A 1 did not.
- 15 Q Did you go there last night? A T did not.
- 16 Q Did you talk with the district attorney last night about
- 17 your seeing Brown? A Not a word nor to any one else.
- 18 Q When did you tell the district attorney you were going
- 19 to correct your testimony? A As I passed his seat as I
- 20 came to the witness stand.
- 21 Q You knew he tried to correct you yesterday? A 1 knew
- 22 that on mature deliberation last night in thinking the
- case over, I thought the matter out in my own mind and knew
- 24 that I had made an error in that statement, and I wished
- 25 to correct it.
- Q You knew he tried to correct you yesterday, you gathered

- that from his statement? A Well, I judged both from your statement and his that the statement I made in regard to the time when I first saw Brown was not as he thought, and I discovered where my error was and desired to make it right.
- 6 Q You consulted a memorandum book right here in court 7 didn't you, yesterday? A I did not.
- 8 Q Didn't you have a memorandum book in your pocket? A 1 9 have one.
- 10 Q Has it got any entries in reference to this case? A Not 11 an entry.
- 12 Q Has it got any figures or memorandum with reference to 13 this matter? A No. sir.
- 14 Q Absolutely none? A Absolutely none.

24

25

- Q From time to time as you have sat in this court room
 while the jury was being impaneled, while you were waiting
 to be called, have you consulted that memorandum book?
- A Why, possibly, when I leave from home in the morning my
 wife tells me some things she wants me to do and I put it
 down and I refresh my memory in regard to that.
- Q Why was it you kept refreshing your memory by looking at the book in the court room of your wife's commissions?
 - A 1 don't think I have looked at my memorandum book
 but once since I been engaged in this case in any way, shape
 or manner, either inside the court room or outside of the
 ourt room.

Q You are sure of that? A 1 feel positive. Q Will you swear to it? A To the best of my knowledge and belief. yes. Q Haven't you taken it out at least a dozen times? A No, sir. Q In this court room? A I don't think so. Q Outside where you sat in the hall? A And further, it is open for inspection either by your or the district attorney or the court . Q You don, t expect we can read cryptogram? A I don't know, possibly a smart young man might.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

I want to know why you took that memorandum book ith out so many times to find out what your wife wanted you to 2 get? 3 MR FREDERICKS: Objected to upon the ground assuming facts 4 not in evidence. The testimony before this Court, and the 5 only testimony before this Court is that the witness took 6 that book out once and no more, and therefore the question, 7 "Why did you take it out so many times" is improper. 8 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 9 MR ROGERS: Now, you have deliberated over the matter, 10 what do you want your testimony to be, as to when you saw 11 Browne? A I saw Browne first in this case on Saturday 12 the 25th. 13 Knew Browne before that? A Yes sir. Q 14 Ever see him before? A Not to my knowledge. Q 15 Never saw him before the 25th then? A No sir. Q. 16 Did you see Campbell before the 25th? A Yes sir. Q 17 Where? A Oh, I have known him for a good many years. Q 18 How long before the 25th did you see Campbell first? Q 19 I don't know that I saw Campbell on the 25th. I have 20 no direct remembrance of seeing Campbell -- oh, I couldn't 21 tell when I saw Campbell before that date, and didn't see 22 him on that date to my knowledge. 23 When did you see any member of the District Attorney's 24 office except Mr Fredericks before the 25th? A Well, I 25 couldn't say the dates when I was up there. I saw the em-26 ployees around there, I had no conversation with them

whatever.

- Did you have any conversation with any member of the 1 Q.
- District Attorney's office before the 25th? A Oh. at 2
- different times in different years, yes. 3
- In the year 1911? A Not that I could call to mind 4
- now, excepting with the District Attorney prior to the 25th. 5
- How many times did you see the District Attorney be-
- 6
- fore the 25th? A I think just once. 7
- Are you sure of that? A I think so. 8
- And when was that, how long before the 25th? A Well, 9
- possibly ten days before that. 10
- Where did you see him? A In his office. Q 11
- On the 25th where did you see him? A In his office. 12
- And you saw him those two times only? A Oh, I have 13
- seen him since. yes. 14
- I am talking about those times? A I think so, yes; 15
 - 16 At his place? A Yes sir. Q.

that is my memory of it.

- 17
- Didn't you see him out at his house? A Afterwards, 18
- on Monday. 19 Afterwards on Monday? A Yes sir. Q.
- 20
- On what time on Monday? A In the afternbon. Q 21
- That was the day before the alleged bribery? 4 22
- That was the day before the arrest. Α
- 23 You gathered my question, didn't you? A Yes sir. Q
- 24 It was the day before the alleged bribery, wasn't it? Q
- 25 MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to because it calls for $\mathbf{a}_{\scriptscriptstyle\parallel}$ 26

- 1 conclusion of the witness, "the alleged bribery".
- 2 He doesn't know, may it please the Court, or may not know,
- 3 when the alleged bribery -- what is meant by the alleged
- 4 bribery.
- 5 THE COURT: I think he does. Can you answer the question?
- 6 A Why, it was the day before the arrest for passing this
- 7 money.
- 8 MR ROCERS: What time did you get to the District Attorney's
- 9 office on that day? A Well, it was in the afternoon. I
- 10 had agreed to telephone Bert Franklin at about 4 o'clock,
- 11 and I was to see the District Attorney before I telephoned
- 12 him.

- 13 2 You were to telephone him about meeting, weren't you?
- 14 A Were to make appointment, yes.
- 15 | Q And you went to the District Attorney to discuss where
- that appointment should be made? A Yes.
- 17 Q You had agreed before that with Franklin to meet him
- at his office? A I had met him at his office.
- Q You had agreed, did you not, that you would meet him
- in town here? A I agreed to call him up over the 'phore
- 20 In bown here: A ragroom to our men ap
- 21 and we would arrange a meeting.
- Q Now when you agreed to that, you had in mind to meet
 - him here in town, did you not?
- A Yes.
 - Q And so when you went to the District Attorney's office
- it was changed to your own place for the purposes of the

- 1 histrict Attorney, was it not? A I don't know for the
- 2 purposes of the District attorney; it was the purpose of
- 3 taking it out some other place.
- 4 Q It was suggested by the District Attorney that you
- 5 should take it out to your house? A Perhaps.
- 6 Q Well, was it so? A We talked the matter over, and the
- 7 conclusion is, we concluded it was best out there, my memory.
- 8 Q And that is the day you told him you thought Darrow
- 9 was coming out? A No sir, that is not the day I told
- 10 him anything of the kind.
- 11 Q When was it you told him you thought Darrow would be tx
- 12 there? A I told him what Bert Franklin said over the
- 13 phone sat the Monte and that I understood he meant
- 14 Darrow.
- 15 MR FREDERICKS: That wis objected to because it doesn't
- 16 complete the answer to the question. The question was
- 17 "when was it", and of course by going back in the testimony
- 18 the jury might figure when the question was, when was it?
- 19 THE COURT: Well answer the question fully, if you haven't
- 20 done so.
- 21 A Well, it was at the Monte.
- 22 MR ROGERS: Did you tell the District Attorney at your
- 23 meeting at his house on Monday that you expected Darrow
- 24 out there? A No sir.
- 25 Q Did you tell him that Franklin had ever mentioned
- 26 Darrow? A I told him that Franklin had mentioned Darrow

to the extent of saying he would consult with him in regard to making sure that deferred payment. •4

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

1 Q What did you say that Franklin had said? A 1 said 68 2 that he said he would consult Clarence Darrow and that he

3 would be able to fix it satisfactorily.

4 Is that the only time Darrow's name was mentioned in it?

A 1 think so, up to that time.

5

8

20

26

6 Had Darrow's name ever been mentioned at any time up 7

to the arrest? A When I told him I supposed that he was coming out .

9 Q Well, what did he say -- now, tell us all that was ever

10 aid about Mr. Darrow in any connection by Frankling of 11

this matter? A Well, I asked him, I said, "Where is Darrow?" 12 "Why," he said, "Did you expect Darrow outhere?"

13 "Sure did." I think he asked me why I expected him. I

14 said, "You said should 'I bring the Big one out'?", and

15 I supposed you meant Darrow! He said he didn't mean parrow 16 he meant Captain White. Then again when he said he would

17 see Darrow and that he would arrange for that deferred pay-18 ment that he would be able to make it perfectly satisfactory,

19 or words to that effect.

Q Now, those are the only two times that Darrow's name 21was mentioned in connection with it? A Yes, sir.

22Q Did you know that Mr. White had never seen Mr. Darrow up

23to that time? A I did not, no, for I haven t seen Mr.

24White myself. 25Q You know Mr. Darrow never saw Mr. White inhis life? A 1

do not know anything about it, absolutely ignorant in

regard to it.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

did.

Q Did you do anything on Monday, the day before this

alleged bribery, except to go to the District Attorney's

office and call up from Monte with reference to this mat-

ter? A Yes, sir, I went home and waited there for

ter? A yes, sir, I went home and waited there for

Franklin.

Q The District Attorney go to your home with you? A He

Q Did he leave-- A Yes, sir.

Q --before Franklin came? A yes, sir.

Q Did he leave until Franklin's automobile was in sight?

Q How long before Franklin's automobile was in sight did

he go?

A Yes, sir .

A I think long enough to have reached his own home in Los Angeles.

Q How long is that? A Oh. an hour or two.

before Q Did he leave/the representatives and detectives and

agents came? A He had left my house before that but he saw them out there before he went home.

Q Where did he see them? A On the road.

Q How do you know? A Well, I was in sight. I think I

was right near them when he saw them.

Q Did you go towards Monte with him when he left your house? A No, sir.

Q You didn't go with him? A No, sir.

Q But you saw them meet? A I did, I was with him at the

- time they came up there.

 Q Well, then, he had not left you
- Q Well, then, he had not left you before the detectives and agents and what not came up? A No.
- Q Then he didn't leave until they came? A No.
- Q Why did you say to Franklin, "No, I wont have anything to do with it at all?" A Because I meant it.
- Q You meant it? A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q Then, when you said that, your intention was not to have
- 2 anything to do with it at all? A Not to act as a juror
- 3 in that case, oraccept any money.
- 4 Q You never were summoned as a juror, were you? A No
- 5 sir.
- 6 Q Before your name ever was drawn from the box, you had
- 7 been up to the District Attorney's office and told him what
- 8 you said? A Yes sir.
- 9 Q How did you know your name was in the box? A Bert
- 10 Franklin showed me a list which he said contained names
- 11 that were in the jury box.
- 12 Q When did he show you that list? A In his office.
- 13 Q Did you know before that that your name was in the box?
- 14 A No sir. I had an idea it might be, but didn't know
- 15 anything about it.
- 16 Q Why did you have an idea it might be? A Because I
- 17 was on theassessment roll andwas living in the city and
- 18 in the county, that is the only reason.
- 19 Q Had you taken pains to solicit that your name should be
- 20 in the box? A No sir.
- 21 Q Did anybody ask you about that, or did you ask a nybody
- 22 about it? A No sir.
- 23 Q Well, at the time you went to the District Attorney
- 24 was before your name came out of the box you told him,
- didn't you, you would assist in trapping Franklin? A I
- 26 think I gave you the conversation exactly, in my answer be-

- 1 | fore.
- 2 | Q Well, you might answer the question that I ask him.
- 3 A No sir, I didn't tell him that at that time.
- 4 Q Wat did you tell him you would do? A I told him I
- 5 would give him such information as was in my possession.
- 6 Q And that you would do what was necessary to complete
- 7 the transaction? A No sir. I told him I thought his
- 8 crew of detectives, with the information they had, ought to
- 9 be smart enough to capture the man with the goods on, with-
- 10 out any further information, or words to that effect.
- 11 Q Well, you told him if anything further happened, you
- 12 | would let him know? A I don't think he asked me the ques-
- 13 | tion, and I don't think I volunteered that.
- 14 Q Didn't Fredericks tell you the first time to stay in
- 15 it or to stick in it, and see it through? A No sir.
- 16 Q Now, after you had talked with Fredericks about the
- matter, you had no expectation of being a juror? A No sir.
- 18 Q You knew you were not qualified? A Yes.
- 19 Q And that was long before your name was drawn from the
- 20 box? A Yes sir.
- 21 Q So, as a matter of fact, when this money was paid to
- 22 you you ere not intending to be atalesman or a juror, you
- 23 knew you couldn't be, and that, on the contrary, were aiding
- 24 and acting under the direction of the District Attorney?
- 25 MR FORD: We object to that on the ground it is irrelevant.
- and immaterial whether the witness expected to be a juror

1 or not. It is irrdelevant and immaterial whether he knew it 2 it calls for a conclusion in regard to that matter, and the 3 issue before this court -- I want to make the objection first 4 -- it is kmrelevant, immaterial and incompetent and not 5 cross-examinatkon, and I wish to state that the issue before 6 this court is not whether this manwas a qualified juror. 7 it is not whether hewas a juror -- the sole question before 8 the court is, was hedrawn as a juror and did the defendant, 9 either himself or through hisægent Franklin, attempt to 10 bribe him, that is the sole issue before the court. Now, 11 the jurors opinions as to whether he is qualified, as to 12 whether he intended to act as a juror, are absolutely imma-13 terial, just as the question whether he intended to accept 14 as a bribe as a bribe on his part, would be absolutely 15 immaterial. The question is, did the defendant offer the 16 m oney, if so, he is guilty of the crime of bribery, if he 17 offered it to a man whose name was drawn as a juror. Now, 18 the juror's opinions as to whether he was qualified, or 19 juror's opinions as to whether he was going to be a juror, or 20 not, his intentions as to whether he would be a juror or 21not are absolutely immaterial. 22 THE COURT: You were allowed to examine this witness as to 23. thestatus and this is proper cross-examination on that 24 branch of your testimony, if no other. Objection over-25 A I would like to answer ruled. Read the question.

that and explain my answer; can I, Judge?

THE COURT: You may do so. I was acting under the directions of the District Attorney at that time, and if, having been served with an order to appear in court, and no other action having been taken, I should have explained to the court when my name was called the reasons why I could not be a juror.

MR ROGERS: But you never were served, were you? A No sir.

- As a matter of fact, don't you know you never were drawn? A No sir, I do not.
- Didn't the District Attorney tell you that he would get your name on the list? A No sir, he did not.
- Ofdrawing? A No sir, he did not. Q.
- Will you explain, then, how it was that just about the time that you were going up to the District Attorney's office, and this was happening, that your name came out on the next panel?

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to, may it please the
- 2 court, because it/assumes a fact that is not in evidence.
- 3 MR ROGERS: If you know.
- 4 MR FREDERICKS: As the evidence is, there were about five
- 5 panels that came out after he reported this to the Dis-
- trict Attorney's office.
- MR ROGERS: That is not the evidence.
- 8 MR FORD: It certainly is not cross-examination. Mr Monroe 9 should have been examined.
- 10 THE COURT: If this witness knows, he may answer.
- 11 MR FREDERICKS: But, this assumes a fact not in evidence.
- 12
- Let the reporter read the question. I think the court 13
- will see the vice of it.
- THE COURT: yes, I know your point. You say there were 15
- four or five panels. I have heard no evidence there were 16
- four or five panels.

stands.

14

- 17 MR FREDERICKS: I know that, but council is assuming now
- 18 there were none; he is assuming this man was drawn the very
- 19 next panel after hewas up to see the District Attorney, 20
- that is his question, it states that. 21
- THE COURT: They were assuming it from the evidence as it now 22
- 23. No, your Honor, there is no evidence on that MR ROGERS:
- 24 point at all.

Wat is the question?

- 25MR APPEL: The record shows, that is, if I read it right.
 - 26 THE COURT: Let the witness answer the question, if he can.

- 1 THE COURT: Read it. 2 (Question read.) 3 I couldn't tell you anything about that. 4 Well, now, I show you the sheriff's certificate, your 5 name -- your name is George N. Lockwood? A Yes sir. 6 Well, do you know anything about the sheriff's certi-7 ficate filed in court here -- I am referring to People's 8 exhibit No.5? A I don't know a thing about it, never 9 saw it. 10 That you left the county a year and a half ago? 11 No. I don't know anything about it. 12 MR FORD: To that we object on the ground it is not cross-13 examination. This witness has stated he doesn't know any-14 thing about the sheriff's return, he is not in anyways 15 responsible for the sheriff's return; the sheriff's failure 16 to find the juror is something concerning which the juror 17has nothing whatever to do, and as to whether he is a 18 qualified juror is amatter of fact to be determined from 19 the records of the court and not from the mouth of this 20 witness. 21 THE COURT: What are youaddressing your remarks to, Mr 22 Ford? There is no question before the court at this time. 23
 - MR FORD: I think counsel has been attracting the attention of the witness to a document. I wish to make an objection to that, that the witness -- upon further examination of that document.

25

- 1 THE COURT: Do you want his answer that he doesn't know
- 2 anything about itstricken out?
- 3 MR FORD: No, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: What is it you want done?
- 5 MR FORD: If you will read the balance, that is the part
- 6 I am objecting to. I think that is what occurred after-
- 7 wards.
- 8 THE COURT: I think the question has been asked and answer-
- $9 \mid \mathsf{ed}_{ullet}$
- 10 MR FORD: I think there is a question before the court.
 I may be mistaken.
- 11 THE COURT: I think you are, Mr Ford.
- 12 MR ROGERS: As a matter of fact, you didn't leave the
- 13 | county a year and a half before the 25th of November?
- 14 | A No sir, I have not been out of the county for two
- 15 years.
- 16 | Q Youare well known in the sheriff's office, aren't you?
- 17 A Reasonably so. The sheriff knows me, and quite a number
- 18 of his deputies.
- 19 Q Well, Mr Reynolds knows you, doesn't he? A I don't
- 20 k now Mr Rynolds himself, so I couldn't tell you.
- 21 Q You know Bob Brain, don't you? A I think I know him
- 22 when I see him.
- 23 Q He is Under Sheriff, isn't he? A I never spoke with
- him in my life, nor he with me, as far as I know.
- Q R. T. Brain, deputy, or under sheriff, or whatever he
- 26 may be, he knows you, doesn't he? A I don't know, sir.

- 1 MR FREDERICKS: That is objected to on the ground it has
- 2 | already been asked and answered.
- 3 THE COURT: Yes, I think it has been asked and answered.
- 4 MR ROGERS: You don't know how it came about that a man
- 5 as well known as yourself -- if this was all on the level -
- 6 was not served with a summons, if your name was drawn from
- 7 the box?
- 8 MR FREDERICKS: We object to that part of the counsel's
- 9 question "If this was on the level".
- 10 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 11 MR ROGERS: You don't know, then, why youwere not sum-
- 12 moned, if you'vere actually drawn? A No sir, I don't know
- 13 | why I was not summoned. I said I could only --
- 14 Q Were you ever told why you were not summoned? A No
- 15 sir. I said to one deputy in the office at that time, on
- the morning of the 27th day of November, that I had noticed
- 17 that I was drawn as a juror, and he said he didn't think so.
- 18 Q Who was the deputy? A Mr Van Vleit.
- 19 Q Mr Van Vleit' who stands there at the door? A Yes sir.
- 20 Q That was on the morning of the 27th? A Yes sir.
- 21 Q Where did you tell him that? A On the Covina car.
- 22 Q That was on the 27th? A Yes sir.
- 23 Q And do you know thy on the 28th they certified, after
- 24 you had talked to Mr Van Vleit and told him that you knew
- 25 you were coming out of the box, that they filed that on
- 26 the 28th, saying you were out of the county?

- 1 MR. FREDERICKS. May it please the Court, that is objected
- 2 to as assuming a fact not inevidence, putting words in the
- 3 mouth of the witness, "At that time you knew you were coming
- 4 out of the box."
- 5 THE COURT. Objection sustained.
- 6 Q BY MR. ROGERS. You told Mr. Van Fleet you had been drawn?
- 7 A I told him I had been told so.
- 8 Q Who told you so? A Bert Franklin told me so.
- 9 When? A On Sunday, the day before.
- 10 Q Now, on the 27th you met Mr. Van Fleet, the deputy sheriff and told him you had beentold your name had come out of the 11
- 12 box? A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And on the 28th you know that the sheriff certified you had been out of the county a year and a half? A 1 14
- only know that from hearing you say so inthis court rommat 15
- 16 this time.

- Q I show you that -- you know that -- Robert T. Blain's signa-17
- 18 ture, don't you? A I do not.
- MR. FORD. We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and 19
- immaterial, calling for a conclusion of the witness. 20
- 21 THE COURT. Objection overruled.
- 22 Q BY MR . ROGERS. 1 show you --
- MR. FREDERICKS. We further object to this question on the 23.
- ground it is not cross-examination; now, if counsel wishes 24
- to establish his case, he, of course, can establish it with 25his witnesses and in his way, but incress-examination of

- 1 our witnesses, the witness here has said, for instance,
- 2 he doesn't know Blain and probably Blain doesn't know him.
- And counsel says, "You know Brain's signature, I show you
- 4 his signature, -- " that is not cross-examination.
- 5 THE COURT. That objection is well taken. The witness has
- 6 said he doesn't think that Mr. Blain knows him or barely
- 7 knows him. I think that is well taken. Objection sus-
- 8 tained.
- 9 Q BY MR. ROGERS. Well, you Know George Van Fleet, any
- 10 how? A Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q That is the man standing out there? A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q That handsome gentleman blocking the doorway? A Yes,
- 13 sir, I see him.
- 14 | Q Do you notice that he served the jurors out in your part
- of the county, for instance Cullen and Carter and Boldoffer,
- 16 all out there in your immediate vicinity?
- 17 MR. FREDERICKS. Just a moment. That is objected to as
- 18 not cross-examination. It may be a part of counsel's own
- case, which he should introduce with his own witnesses, but
- 20 that is not cross-examination of this witness to ask him
- 21 what he may know by showing him a document which prob-
- what he may allow by one bug start
- 22 ably he may not understand or may.
- 23 MR. ROGERS. I want an explanation of how it comes that he
- 24 tells Van Fleet onthe morning of the 27th he has been drawn
- 25 out of the box and on the 28th the sheriff's certificate
 - 26 says he is out of the county andwas gone a year and a half

- when Mt. Van Fleet's name appears onthis document as serving 1 jurors out at Glendora, Covina, and Azusa; and that country 2 where Mr. Van Fleet lives, 1 want to know how it has all come 3 out, if it is on the level. 4 MR. FORD. It was not the duty of the witness to serve 5 himself, he was not a deputy sheriff. I presume the deputy 6 sheriff who lives in that vicinity was furnished with the 7 names of people who appeared on their record to be living 8 out in that vicinity, while the record here shows--9 THE COURT. I don't think it is necessary to argue any 10 further. I think you are right in your objection that it is 11 not cross-examination. The question before the Court is 12 improper in that it is not cross-examination. Objection 13 sustained. 14 Q BY MR. ROGERS. If you had not been summoned how did 15
- you tell Franklin you had to be in Court on the 28th?

 A He came to my house and asked me if I knew I had been
 drawn and I told him I didn't and he says, "Well, you have,
- and you will be served. That is the way I come to know anything about it.
- 21 Q How did you tell him then you had to be in court on that
- 22 morning? A I said I would have to be if I was served.
- 23 Q Is that whatyou testified yesterday? A I think it is,
- 24 or if it is not--
- Q You told Franklin then you would have to be in court at what time? A I think it was 10 o'clock.

1	Q How did you know you would have to be there if you never
2	had been summoned? A Well, I inferred it, merely.
3	Q How did you get your inference? A From reading the
4	paper.
5	Q What paper? A I couldn't tell you and thetime the
6	court met, that was all.
7	Q How did you know that the venire that they said you were
8	on had to be returned on the 28th? A didn't know it.
9	Q Then why did you tell Franklin you have got to be in
10	court that morning?
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

23.

308 Because he told me I had been drawn and would be served. **p** 1 Q Why then did you discuss with him, when he told you 2 that, what time you would have to be in court? A It was 3 a question as to the hour he was fixing. 4 Then why did you tell him you would have to be in court 5 when you hadn't been served? A It was an inference I drew 6 from the fact he told me my name had been drawn and it 7 would be returnable -- I think possibly I read that in the 8 paper that the venire would be returnable on that day. 9 Q What paper? A I couldn't tell you. I think I was 10 taking the Tribune at that time. 11 Q And you think you read it in the Tribune? A I think so, 12 yes. That is my best impression now. I didn't charge my 13 mind with it at all. 14 That is your only explanation for telling Frankling you 15 had to be there on the morning of the 28th? A Yes, sir. 16 It is 12:00 o'clock. Bear in mind the former THE COURT. 17

THE COURT. It is 12:00 o'clock. Bear in mind the former admonition and as to all the strictness with which it has beengiven. The court will adjourn until 2:00 o'clock this afternoon.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Here the court took adjournment until 2:00 o'clock PM.)

25 | 26 |