## J. D. FREDERICKS.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

-0---

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Dept. No. 11. Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge.

The People of the State of California,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Clarence Darrow,

Defendant.

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT.

**VOL.** 45

INDEX.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Direct.	Cross.	Re-D.	Re-C.
V William J. Burns,			3570	
V William J. Forter,	3575	3577		
F. A. Manatt,	3577			• •
E. R. Parmelee,	3614			
√Guy W. Yonkin,	3616	3621	3627	3629

B, N. Smith, Official Reporte

'No. 7373

	3570
1	July 2nd, 1912. 2 o'clock P.M.
2	Defendant in court with counsel.
3	
4	WILLIAM J. BURNS on the stand.
5	MR ROGERS: You may examine in redirect.
6	MR FREDERICKS: Just one matter to make sure we have an
7	understanding in regard to Mr Biddinger. Nowk he has asked
8	me if he might be temporarily absent and said that counsel
9	for the defense have acquiesced in the permission that he
10	may be temporarily absent. I told him as far as we were
11	concerned, that was all right. I want to make sure it is
12	all right with the defense.
13	MR ROGERS: I said he might be absent over the 4th of
14	July.
15	MR FREDERICKS: Something like that.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	MR FREDERICKS: Mr Burns, on cross-examination you were
20	asked in regard to a custom of operatives in your employ
21	filing written reports with the agency, and having them
22	stamped and filed away, and all that sort of thing. State
23	whether or not you have not also a custom where matters
24	require very great secrecy, of handling a matter of that
25	kind by verbal reports in order that there may be no leaks
26	in your office?
1	

	3571
1	MR ROGERS: That is objected to as leading and suggestive,
2	putting the words in the witness' mouth and not redirect;
3	incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial.
4	THE COURT: OVerruled.
5	MR ROGERS: Exception.
6	A I do very often, to the extent of making investiga-
7	tions entirely outside of my office for fear I will find
8	a leak.
9	MR FREDERICKS: I suppose that answers the question
10	but I am not just sure.
11	THE COURT: Read the question and see if the witness can
12	answer it any further.
13	(Last question and answer read by the reporter.)
14	A Even to the extent.
15	MR FREDERICKS: The question is if you have such a cus-
16	tom.
17	MR ROGERS: That is objected to as incompetent, irrelevant
18	and immaterial, leading and suggestive, in view of the last
19	preceding question, no foundation laid, not redirect, incom-
20	petent, irrelevant and immaterial
21	THE COURT: It is answered in the former question.
22	MR FREDERICKS: I suppose that does answer it, "I do".
23	THE COURT: Do you want a ruling on that objection?
24	MR FREDERICKS: I think the court has ruled, possibly, to
25	another objection, that it was already asked and answered,
26	although objection was not put in, if that is the court's

	3572
1	ruling.
2	THE COURT: I think that is a fact, but I will hear you
3	about it if you think it is otherwise.
4	MR FREDERICKS: No.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR FREDERICKS: There was a matter asked while I was
7	temporarily out of the court room in regard to the wit-
8	ness' relations with Mr Ford. State whether or not, Mr
9	Burns, you had any relations or communications of any kind
10	with Mr Ford in regard to this case prior to the time
11	prior to January 29th, of this year, when the indictment
12	was filed? A No, I did not.
13	Q State whether or not Mr Ford was your sttorney in the
14	matter of the county reward, which you saw was paid.
15	MR ROGERS: Just a moment. That is objected to as lead-
16	ing and suggestive. He has said that he was his attorney
17	in all matters.
18	MR FORD: At the present time only.
19	MR ROGERS: All matters, therefore, that excludes this, and
20	so far as the present time is comerned, it is incompe-
21	tent, irrelevant and immaterial, and not redirect.
22	MR FORD: If the court please,
23	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
24	MR ROGERS: Exception.
25	A Mr Ford was not my attorney and on the other hand,
26	stated positively that he could not accept employment that

N. States

	3573
1	had anything whatever to do with the county reward or
2	while it was pending.
3	MR FREDERICKS: With reference to the time when the
4	county reward was paid to you, state whether or not Mr
5	Ford became your attorney before that time or after that
6	time? A After that time.
7	MR FREDERICKS: That is all.
8	MR ROGERS: Have you been subpoenaed, Mr Burns? A yes.
9	MR ROGERS: That is all.
10	THE WITNESS: May I go now, your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Any objection.
12	MR ROGERS: He has been subpoenaed.
13	THE WITNESS: I can return if they want me.
14	MR ROGERS: I don't want to interfere with Mr Burns' tem-
15	porary absences or his desire to go from here to there,
16	but I want him under subpoena, and I have subpoenaed him,
17	if your Honor please, and I will not waive that subpoena.
18	MR FREDERICKS: I presume, however, if he can be gotten
19	in a reasonable length of time at any time, counsel will
20	be satisfied.
21	MR ROGERS: I don't know about this reasonable length of
22	time matter. I don't intend to wait three or four days.
23	I have subpoenaed Mr Burns for the defense, and if he
24	wishes to go for a few days, I have no objection to that,
25	go here and there, but I would like to have him on subpoena.
26	THE COURT: Mr Burns informs me he would like to go as far

	3574
1	as New York. How long will it take you, Mr Burns?
2	THE WITNESS: Well, I can settle up the business I have
3	there in two or three days and come right back.
4	MR ROGERS: That is 12 days.
5	THE COURT: Be back here in 12 days or two weeks?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes sir.
7	MR ROGERS: That will not be satisfactory.
8	MR FREDERICKS: We can talk that over and see if we can
9	make any better arrangements. Mr Burns can with counsel.
10	Of course, if a witness is subpoenaed, if he is relieved
	by counsel on both sides
11	
11 12	THE COURT: However desirous the court may be to accommo-
	THE COURT: However desirous the court may be to accommo- date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac-
12	
12 13	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac-
12 13 14	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	date you, and I am sure counsel would be desirous of ac- commodating you, his business must be considered first,

26

35/4

	3575
1	WILLIAM J. PORTER,
2	a witness called on behalf of the People, being first
3	duly sworn, testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	MR. FREDERICKS. Q What is your name? A William J.
6.	Porter.
7	Q Where do you live? A 2287 West 23rd. Q What is your business? A Newspaper man.
8	Q Where were you living on the 16th of last August?
9	A on Hobart Boulevard.
10	Q State whether or not you were acquainted with Clarence
11	Darrow, the defendant in this case at that time?
12	A Casually.
13	Q You knew him when you saw him, I mean? A Oh, yes.
14	Q State whether or not you were acquainted with Mr. Biddinger
15	at that time? A yes.
16	Q State whether or not you saw Mr. Darrow and Biddinger to-
17	gether at that time in Los Angeles and if so where?
18	A 1 met both of them one morning in the week of August
19	13th, beginning the 13th, Mondaybetween that and Friday
20	1 don't know whether it was the 15th or 16thit was one
21	of those days1 met them in the Alexandria. 1 met Mr.
22	Darrow as I came out of the little passageway that leads
23	from the bar to the office. We spoke
24	MR. APPEL. Your Honor, he has answered the question.
25	THE COURT. Yes, I think he has answered the question.
26	Don't tell what was said.

)

1	A Pardon me. 3576
2	MR . FREDERICKS . Q Now, what time in the morning was
3	that, Mr. Porter? A Oh, it was probably early, sometime
4	before 10 o'clock, half past nine or ten.
5	Q Did you ever meet Mr. Darrow and Mr. Biddinger together
6	at any other time except that time? A No.
7	Q Now, state what was said and done between you and Mr.
8	Darrow and Mr. Biddinger at that time.
9	MRP. APPEL. We object to that on the ground it is incompetent
10	irrelevant and immaterial for any purposes whatsoever;
11	that it does not tend to prove or disprove any element of
12	the offense charged in the indictment herein; upon the
13	further ground it is collateral to any issue; no founda-
14	tion laid.
15	THE COURT * Objection overruled .
16	MR . APPEL. We except.
17	MR 'FREDERICKS' Answer the question, Mr. Porter.
18	A I met Mr. Darrow and we spoke; I hadn't seen him for a
19	considerable time. we asked me what I was doing here and
20	l remarked that 1 was on the Times and he smiled and he
21	says, "Well, we are botheverybody cannot be on the same
22	side," and about that time Mr. Biddinger walked up and we
23	all three stopped and spoke for a moment. There was
24	nothing said of any moment.
25	Q I wish you would state just what was said. A Very well.
26	Q In order to identify the time. A I made the remark it

	Los Angeles Lounis Law Library
1	was a rather curious coincidence that counsel for the
2	McNamaras, the representative of Mr. Burns and the repre-
3	sentative of the Times should bump into each other at one
4	time in a public place of that character.
5	Q And just whereabouts in the Alexandria was this con-
6	versation? A Right in the middle of the rotunda.
7	MR.FREDERICKS. Cross-examine.
8	MR . APPEL . We move to strike out the evidence of the
9	witness as immaterial. What he said, your Honor, his
10	own opinions, his own exclamations there, it is collateral
11	and does not show anything.
12	THE COURT . The motion to strike out is denied.
13	
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION.
15	MR. DARROW. I believe, in addition to that you asked to
16	take a drink, didn't you? A yes, I have forgotten that.
17	I will supplement that by saying that you drank mineral
18	Water.
19	Q You did not, did you? A No.
20	MR · DARROW. That is all.
21	MR · FREDERICKS. That is all.
22	
23	F.A. MANATT,
24	a witness called on behalf of the people, being first
	a withess carred on behalf of the people; being fire of
25	duly sworn, testified as follows:

Î

	3578
1	MR.FORD. Q What is your Name? A F.A. Manatt.
2	Q How old are you? A 27.
3	Q Where do you reside? A 317 North Hill, Los Angeles.
4	THE COURT · You will have to speak up a little louder ·
5	A 317 North Hill Los Angeles.
6	Q Los Angeles City, California? A ves, sir.
7	Q What is your occupation? A Bank teller.
8	Q You will have to speak so the jury can hear you. With
9	what institution are you employed? A The Equitable
10	Branch of the Security Trust & Savings Bank, formerly
11	the Equitable Savings Bank.
12	Q now long have you been in their employ? A About 8
13	years.
14	Q How long with the Security Bank? A It was since the
15	Equitable was absorbed by the Security.
16	Q When was that? A Along about the first of the year.
17	Q The first of this year, 1912? A yes, sir.
18	Q During the year 1911 with what bank were you employed?
19	A Equitable Savings Bank. That was a bank organized
20	under the State Banking Laws of California? A Yes, sir.
21	Q And their place of business was at the corner of
22	A First and Spring streets.
23	Q First and Spring streets in the City of Los Angeles.
24	Do you know Clarence Darrow? A Yes, sir .
25	Q Pardon me; during the year 1911 were you in the same
26	capacity, paying teller? A Yes, sir.

	3579
1	Q How long have you known Clarence Darrow? A Only
2	since his account was opened there.
3	Q Do you know when his account was opened? A I don't
4	remember the date.
5	Q Did you receive deposits from Mr. Darrow during the
6	year? A Yes, sir.
7	Q You know that he had an account with your bank? A Yes,
8	sir.
9	Q Do you know LeCompte Davis? A yes, sir .
10	THE COURT * You are now showing counsel for the defense a
11	document you intend to show the witness?
12	MR · FORD · 1 do, your Honor, and will showit to the
13	witness •
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

	3580
1	MR ROGERS: Does counsel claim the documents are now in the
2	same condition that they were when they left the posses-
3	sion of the defendant?
4	MR FORD: As far as I know there might have been there
5	.is a mark exhibit 44 grand jury
6	MR ROGERS: I don't care anything about that. Doescounsel
7	claim that all the writing that is on those papers was
8	on there at the time they left the possession of the de-
9	f endant?
10	MR FORD: I will find out from the witness about that.
.11	Just exhibiting it to counsel at this time. I now hand
12	you a bunch of documents which I have already shown the
13	defendant and counsel for the defense, and ask you to look
14	at them. Have you ever seen those documents before? A Yes
15	sir, I have.
16	Q The answer will you read it, Mr Reporter. (Last
17	answer read by the reporter.)
18	MR ROGERS: We wanted to stipulate to save time, but we
19	find ourselves unable to do it, because of the situation
20	as we find it in the document.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	MR FORD: If counsel will offer their stipulation, I
23	don't think there will be any disagreement about it at
24 27	all.
25	MR DARROW: As to the amount, all in my handwriting except
26	the first.

	3581
1	
2	MR ROGERS: There is another one not in your handwriting.
2	MRIARROW: As to amounts?
	MR ROGERS: Yes. Thereare annotations and notations
4	and writings upon them that are not in the defendant's
5	.handwriting, evidently made subsequently. That which is
6	in the defendant's handwriting, we will stipulate to very
7	r eadily.
8	MR FORD: Verywell. I am willing to do that. You are
9	referring to the banker's marks on the deposit slips?
10	MR ROGERS: Bankers marks I don't know what they are.
11	MR FORD: We will ask him about the marks. As far as
12	the stipulation of the portion that were made by the de-
13	fendant, we will accept it.
14	MR ROGERS: We find ourselves unable to agree, so counsel
15	might as well prove it.
16	MR FORD: Very well. State what these documents are
17	which you have already stated you have seen before.
18	MR APPEL: Wait a moment A Deposits slips.
19	MR APPEL: That is all right, deposit slips he says.
20	MR FORD: Deposit slips of what? A Deposits made by
21	C. S. Darrow, at the bank.
22	MR ROGERS: That is a conclusion and I move to strike it
23	out as a conclusion or opinion.
24	MR FORD: If you know what they do you know what they
25	are?
26	THE COURT: Wait a moment.
	TITTA OOD IN BOT P & HOHIGITA

	3582
1	MR FORD: I withdraw that question.
2	Q Do you know what they are?
3	THE COURT: Strike out the former answer.
4	A yes sir.
5	MR FORD: What are they?
6	MR ROGERS: That is objected to as calling for a conclu-
7	sion or opinion, and no foundation laid; incompetent,
8	the documents must show for themselves that they are pur-
9	porting to be deposit slips, we don't controvert at all, but
10	that he can say what deposit slips they were or who made
11	them or whose handwriting it is, is quite another and
12	different matter.
13	MR FORD: He is not asked that matter. He was asked if he
14	knew what they were. He said he did; having said that he
15	knows what they are, I asked him, what are they.
16	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
17	MR ROGERS: Exception.
18	MR FORD: What are they? A Deposit slips.
19	Q Deposit slips of what?
20	MR ROGERS: Objected to for the same reasons I have just no
21	stated.
22	THE COURT: OVerruled.
23	MR ROGERS: Fxception.
24	A Deposits.
25	MR FORD: To whose credit and to whose bank?
26	MR ROGERS: Objected to as incomptent, irrelevant and
	scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3583
1	immaterial, the documents speak for themselves, if they
2	do spe <b>a</b> k.
3	MR FORD: They might be spurious.
4	MR ROGERS: And no foundation has been laid for them.
5	THE COURT: Overruled.
6	MR ROGERS: Exception.
7	MR FORD: Answer the question.
8	A What was the question.
9	Q To whose credit and with what bank?
10	MR ROGERS: That is a double question and I make the same
11	objection last made and the additional objection, it is a
12	double question and not the best evidence.
13	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
14	MR ROCRERS: Exception.
15	A C. S. Darrow's credit, and Equitable Savings Bank.
16	MR FORD: Equitable Savings Bank and to the credit of
17	C. S. Darrow. Did the account stand in the name of C S.
18	Darrow? A No sir.
19	Q What was the name of the account? A C. S. Darrow,
20	trustee.
21	MR ROGERS: The same objection as last made.
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	MR FORD: And the answer. (Last answer read by the reporter )
24	Q I will ask you to look at the first paper in that do-
25	cument. D <sup>o</sup> you know in whose handwriting that is?
26	MR APEL: That is not the way to prove handwriting. We
	scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

¥ 1 ļ

	3584		
1	object to the question as incompetent.		
2	THE COURT: Objection sustained.		
3	MR FORD: If the court please, I haven't asked him in		
4	whose handwriting, I have asked him if he knows; if		
5	he does, I shall then proceed to ask him.		
6	MR FORD: That is the beginning of the foundation. THE COURT: I don't think so. MR FORD: Were you present when that account was opened? A I do not know. MR FORD: We offer them in evidence as People's exhibit No.32.		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	immaterial, and no foundation laid; their relevancy and		
15	materiality or competency having not been shown.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

	3585
1	MF. EOGERS. If counsel has got any proof that Mr. Darrow
2	wrote part of those or we can agree on what he did write,
3	we are not particular about it, but we do not think that
4	a blanket offer of a dozen documents, some of which are
5	absolutely not in the defendant's handwriting and shown
6	to be within his knowledge, binding him in any way
7	MR · FORD · Perhaps we can make a stipulation
8	MR. DARROW. Peyond that, what has it to do
9	THE COURT · Mr. Ford informs me he desires to confer with
10	counsel in regard to it.
11	MR · DARROWbecause money is deposited in the bank.
12	MR . FORD. If you will make your statement, which ones are
13	in his handwriting
14	THE COURT. Do you want to make this conference outside
15	of the record or in the record?
16	MR.FORD. Just a moment, your Honor.
17	MR . BOGERS · 1 offer to stipulate as follows : None of
18	the first page shown us is in Wr. Darrow's handwriting
19	at all, not a scratch of the pen; the second document
20	shown us has two words in his handwriting and some figures,
21	and some figures and some words not in his handwriting.
22	The same is true of the third document shown us of the lot;
23	MR. FORD. That is the name"C.S. Darrow trustee" and the
24	figures #25000" is in the handwriting of the defendant
25	and the balance is not?
26	MR. ROGERS. The total is not, part of it is
	MR . FORD. The total at the bottom of the page is not.

>

MR . ROGERS . Yes, and "Wash.D.C." is not, any more than 1 the "S.F." is not, on the first document: Now, none of 2 the documents, no part of document No. 4 is in Mr. Darrow's 3 handwriting: No part of document No. 5 is in his hand-4 writing, not a figure nor a letter; Document No. 5 has 5the name "C. S. Darrow " and two sets of figures, "\$10,000" 6 in his handwriting; the remainder is not. 7 MR . FORD . Document 6. 8 MR. ROGERS. Document 6. Document No. 7, the name 9 "C. S. Darrow, Trustee," and the figures are in his hand-10 writing and the remainder is not; it appears that the 11 next document, document No. 8, "C. S. Darrow, Trustee"is 12 in his handwriting, and the date is in his handwriting, 13 "October 31, 1911," is his handwriting; two sets of figures, 14 "\$10,000" are in his handwrifting, and the remainder are not; 15 The next document in order, "C.S.Darrow, Trustee" is in his 16 handwriting. 17 MR . FORD. That is Number 9, is it? 18 MR. ROGERS. 1 think so. And the figures "775" are in 19 his handwriting, but the remainder of the document is not. 20 And that is true of the next document in order, "C.S. 21 Darrow, Trustee," but the remainder of the document is not: 22 And that is true of the next document in order, "C. S. 23 Darrow, Trustee", but there is no date attached, but the 24"C.S.Darrow, Trustee" is in his handwriting, and the 25figures are in his handwriting, but the remainder of the 26 "C.S.Darrow Trustee" on the last docudocument is not.

.

3586

	3587
1	ment of the number is in his handwriting, also all figures
2	except the rubber stamp figures and date, but nothing else
3	on that is in his handwriting.
4	MR.FORD. I would like to ask the witness one question
5	privately, if the Court will permit me, and it will prob-
6	ably save time.
7	THE COURT. All right, you may.
8	(Mr Ford confers with witness.)
9	MR . FORD. With the consent of counsel, I will separate the
10	documents, the first three of which I will ask to be marked-
11	what is the number, Mr. Clerk?
12	THE CLERK. 32.
13	MR · FORD · 32 for identification .
14	THE COURT. All right.
15	(Documents handed to clerk.)
16	MR. FORD. I now hand you the balance of the bunch of
17	documents, consisting of 8 slips of paper and ask you if
18	you know by whom in your bank these slips were received?
19	MR.ROGERS. That is objected to as incompetent, irrelevant
20	and immaterial; not within the issues; no foundation laid.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	MR FORD: The purpose of it, your Honor, is to show that
2	a sum equal to the figures on each one of these deposit
3	slips was received by the witness and credited to the ac-
4	count of Clarence Darrow as trustee. Our purpose being
<b>5</b>	.to show that a certain number of checks from Washington
6	on the Riggs National Bank were deposited by the defendant
7	to the credit of himself on his account: we will produce
8	the officers from the other banks to show the other de-
9	posits in Los Angeles, showing all of them after a cer-
10	tain time to have been deposited in Los Angeles banks,
11	with the exception of one check on San Francisco.
12	MR ROGERS: There is a San Francisco draft right in that
13	elimination that you offered a while ago, one of the three.
14	MR FORD: One of the first for \$5000, yes.
15	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
16	MR ROGERS: Except.
17	MR FORD: Answer the question, Mr Manatt. A What is the
18	question?
19	(Question read.)
20	A Yes sir, I do.
21	Q And by whom were they received? A Myself.
22	Q And I attract your attention to the first one receiv-
23	ed, bearing date, marked "August 16, 1911", and reading as
24	follows: "C. S. Darrow, Trustee".
25	MR APPEL: Wait a moment. I object to his reading the
26	document at this time, which is not admitted in evidence.

	3589
1	Your Honor, he should not read any portion of it.
2	THE COURT: Wait a minute. I think that is right.
3	MR APPEL: He is trying to lay the foundation for the in-
4	troduction of documents.
5	.MR FORD: Withdraw the question.
6	THE COURT: The question is withdrawn.
7	MR FORD: Attracting your attention to the first document,
8	in whose handwriting in that document?
9	MR APPEL: We object to that.
10	MR FORD: Withdraw it.
11	Q Did you see it written? A Did I?
12	Q Yes. A No sir.
13	Q By whom was it handed to you? A I don t remember
14	that.
15	Q At the time you received it, what, if any thing, did
16	you receive with it?
17	MR APPEL: Wait a moment; we object to that as immaterial;
18	it must be shown
19 20	MR FORD: We will show, your Honor, that at the time
20 21	this deposit slip was made a check bearing the endor sement
21	on the back, "C. S. Darrow" was offered with it; the de-
22	fendant has admitted in court his signatures upon the
23 24	backs of those checks are his.
24 25	MR APPEL: That may be true, your Honor.
25 26	THE COURT: What is the question?
	MR ROGERS: Let us take an exception to the testimony of

3590 1 counsel before the witness. The witness says he doesn't 2 remember who handed him that deposit slip and it is not 3 good practice, and it is prejudicial to state to him facts 4 to which he is desired to testify. 5•THE COURT: Read the question. 6 MR ROGERS: We take an exception to the statement. 7 (Question read.) 8 MR APPEL: Your Honor will see -- suppose he received it 9 from me. The fact that I went down there and gave that 10 slip and another paper accompanying it to the witness, is 11 not a fact against this defendant: it is my own act, the 12 act of a third party, independent party, unless some con-13 hection is shown. 14 MR FORD: To save argument, I will just offer this bunch of 15 documents for identification. 16 THE COURT: Do you withdraw the last question? 17 MR FORD: I withdraw the last question. 18 THE COURT: It is marked for identification. 19 THE CLERK: 33. 20 THE COURT: Exhibit 33 for identification. 21 MR FORD: We now call upon the defendant to produce in 22 court --23 MR ROGERS: We take an exception --24 MR FORD: We have not completed our request. 25 MR ROGERS: Wait a moment, now. We take an exception to the 26 conduct of counsel in demanding anything from the defendant,

1 or calling upon the defendant for any document, after having 2 ostensiatiously and in the presence of the jury, walked 3 over here and handed us certain documents, and calling upon 4 us to produce certain documents. Anybody who has read the 5 constitution of the United States --6 THE COURT: You have assigned error, and that is enough. 7MR ROGERS: We take an exception. 8 MR FREDERICKS: There was no document mention ed. 9 THE COURT: The record shows exactly what happened. 10 MR FORD: I now hand you a document which I have already 11 shown to counsel for the defense. Did you ever see that be-12 fore? 13 MR APPEL: wait a moment. We object to whether he saw that 14 paper or not, your Honor. There is no foundation laid for 15 the examination of the witness on that document. Your 16 Honor can see the only reason why I am making the objec-17 tion at this time is in anticipation, and we might as well 18 settle that question now. 19 THE COURT: \_t is simply a question -- a preliminary ques-20 tion. He can state whether he has seen it before and 21answer yes or no. The objection is overruled. 22 Α Yes sir. 23 MR FORD: Calling your attention now -- or, when did you  $\mathbf{24}$ see that document Mr Monett, when did you first see it? 25You mean the slip I have in my hand here? Α 26A I saw it right after the photographs was Q Yes.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3592
1	taken of the check.
2	MR APPEL: I take an exception to the introduction of
3	this evidence. It is a statement of the witness allow-
4	ing the witness to be interrogated in reference to this
5	document, and to his answer, and I assign the conduct of
6	the District Attorney as misconduct in trying to invade the
7	plain, ordinary rules of evidence.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21 22	
22	
23 24	
2 <del>4</del> 25	
26	
	scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3593
1	THE COURT . Proceed with the examination, gentlemen.
2	MR. FORD. I hand you another document at the same time.
$\frac{3}{4}$	Fid you see this second document which I have handed you?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	•Q When did you see that with reference to when you saw
7	the other one? A the same time.
8	Q Saw both of them at the same time? A Yes, sir .
9	Q Have you made any marks or did you at that time make any
	marks upon them?
10	MR . APPEL. Wait a momentwe object to that as immaterial,
11	as being the act of some person other than the defendant, not
12	made in the presence of the defendant, not binding upon
13	him, being hearsay, being an act which is hearsay; hearsay
14	evidence consists of acts or declarations or conduct not
15	in the presence of the defendant, not birding upon him,
16	over which he had no control.
17	MR.FORD. Simply attracting the attention of the witness
18	to a dateto a mark by which he may refresh his memory
19	of the date.
20	MR. ATPEL. The witness has not said he had no memory upon
21	the subject and therefore he is nothis memory is not to
22	be refreshed.
23	THE COURT. Objection overruled.
24	MR. APPEL. Exception.
25	MR · FORD · Q Answer the question ·
26	(Last question read by the reporter.)

•

	3594
1	A yes, sir.
2	Q Do you know at what date you saw that document?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	MR . APPEL We object to that on the ground that it is in-
5	competent and irrelevant and hearsay and no foundation
6	laid.
7	THE COURT . Overruled.
8	MR. APPEL. We take an exception.
9	MR . FORD. At the time you saw those documents did you
10	have in your hand any other document resembling this or
11	which you compared with this document?
12	MR . APPEL . Wait a moment now, we object upon the ground
13	that no foundation is laid, that the witness must/be inter-
14	rogated concerning any other document which is not shown
15	to this defendant or not placed before the witness; incom-
16	petent, irrelevant and immaterial for any purpose whatso-
17	ever. We object to the witness now being examined concerning
18	any document not being used here not produced here in court
19	and not produced before the witness.
20	THE COURT · Objection overruled.
21	MR · APPEL · We take an exception .
22	MR · FORD. Read the question.
23	(Last question read by the reporter.)
24	Λ Yes.
25	Q where are those other documents?
26	MR · APPEL · We make the same objection. We object to the
	witness being examined concerning any document which is not scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

.

<ul> <li>presented here in court, which is not shown to the which is not shown to our side before the witness</li> <li>rogated, being in violation of the plain provision</li> <li>code of this state.</li> <li>THE COURT. Objection overruled.</li> <li>MR. APPEL. We take an exception.</li> <li>MR. FORD. Read the question.</li> <li>(Last question read by the reporter.)</li> <li>A 1 do not know where the other documents are.</li> <li>Q What, if anything, did you do with them?</li> <li>MR. APPEL. Wait a nomentwe object to that upon ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateria the witness should not be required and we object to the state.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>rogated, being in violation of the plain provision</li> <li>code of this state.</li> <li>THE COURT. Objection overruled.</li> <li>MR. APPEL. We take an exception.</li> <li>MR. FORD. Read the question.</li> <li>(Last question read by the reporter.)</li> <li>A 1 do not know where the other documents are.</li> <li>Q What, if anything, did you do with them?</li> <li>MR. APPEL. Wait a nomentwe object to that upon</li> <li>ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateria</li> </ul>	e witness,
<ul> <li>4 code of this state.</li> <li>5 THE COURT. Objection overruled.</li> <li>6 MR. APPEL. We take an exception.</li> <li>7 MR. FORD. Read the question.</li> <li>8 (Last question read by the reporter.)</li> <li>9 A 1 do not know where the other documents are.</li> <li>10 Q What, if anything, did you do with them?</li> <li>11 MR. APPEL. Wait a momentwe object to that upon ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateriate the mitmeter object he moment- and inmateriate.</li> </ul>	is inter-
5 THE COURT. Objection overruled. 6 MR. APPEL. We take an exception. 7 MR. FORD. Read the question. 8 (Last question read by the reporter.) 9 A 1 do not know where the other documents are. 10 Q What, if anything, did you do with them? 11 MR. APPEL. Wait a momentwe object to that upon 12 ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateria	ns of the
<ul> <li>MR. APPEL. We take an exception.</li> <li>MR. FORD. Read the question.</li> <li>(Last question read by the reporter.)</li> <li>A 1 do not know where the other documents are.</li> <li>Q What, if anything, did you do with them?</li> <li>MR. APPEL. Wait a momentwe object to that upon ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial the mitmage should not he measured and me shiret documents.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>MR.FORD. Read the question.</li> <li>(Last question read by the reporter.)</li> <li>A 1 do not know where the other documents are.</li> <li>Q What, if anything, did you do with them?</li> <li>MR.APPEL. Wait a momentwe object to that upon ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateriate the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we object to the mitmage should not he measured and we appear of the mitmage should not he measured and me</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>8 (Last question read by the reporter.)</li> <li>9 A 1 do not know where the other documents are.</li> <li>10 Q What, if anything, did you do with them?</li> <li>11 MR. APPEL. Wait a nomentwe object to that upon ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateriate the mitmage should not be measured and we object to the mitmage should not be measured and we object to the state of the mitmage should not be measured and we object to the state of the mitmage should not be measured and we object to the state of the mitmage should not be measured and we object to the state of the mitmage should not be measured and we object to the state of the mitmage should not be measured and we object to the state of the mitmage should not be measured and me</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>9 A 1 do not know where the other documents are.</li> <li>Q What, if anything, did you do with them?</li> <li>MR. APPEL. Wait a momentwe object to that upon</li> <li>ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateria</li> </ul>	
Q What, if anything, did you do with them? MR. APPEL. Wait a momentwe object to that upon ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateria	•
MR. APPEL. Wait a nomentwe object to that upon ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateria	
ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immateria	
the mitness should not be negatived and we obtact d	the
13 the witness should not be required and we object t	al, that b
	to his being
4 examined concerning any document which is not pro	bduced here
in court, hot shown to the defendant and in violat	tion of the
16 provisions of the statute, upon the ground to allo	ow an
17  examination of this kind is a violation of the cor	nstitu-
18 tional provisions of the State of California, inco	mpetent,
19 irrelevant and immaterial.	
20 THE COURT. Objection overruled.	
21 MR. APPEL We except.	
(Last question read by the reporter.)	
A Theother documents being checks were returned t	to the
depositor when the account was balanced.	
25 MR. APPEL. He says what did you do with them. F	le don't
26 say that he <sup>2</sup> -	

	3596
1	THE COURT' I want to hear the answer. Read the answer.
2	I didn't hear it.
3	(Last answer read by the reporter.)
4	THE COURT. Now, Mr. Appel, what is it?
5	MR. APPEL. He didn't say he did it. He can't testify
6	to guess work.
7	THE COURT. You move to strike it out?
8	MR · APPEL · I object to the question and move to strike it
9	out. There is no foundation laid for his answer. He has
10	not shown possession in it, it is merely guess work .
11	THE COURT. You make your motion to strike out at any time
12	and the court will hear it. The motion to strike out is de
13	denied.
14	MR. APPEL. We take an exception.
15	MR. FORD.Q And who was the depositor?
16	MR.APPEL. I would like to ask him a question so as to
17	base my motion properly.
18	THE COURT. All right.
19	MR . APPEL. Q Did you return those checks to the deposi-
20	tor yourself? A I didn't so say.
21	Q Well, did youl am asking you. A $\tau$ said they were
22	returned to the depositor.
23	Q No, wir. I insist upon an answer.
24	THE COURT. Answer the question, did you return them?
25	A No, 1 did not.
26	MR · APPEL. Then I move to strike out the testimony that

they were returned, on the ground that would only be known 1 by him as hearsay. It is not direct evidence, not evidence 2 3 within his knowledge. THE COURT. You will have to on that showing lay the 4 foundation. The testimony will be stricken out. 5 MR . FORD. Very well, just to the last question? 6 THE COURT . Yes, sir, the last answer. 7 MR . FORD. Well, I will endeavor to. Q Do you know 8 whether or not the originals of the documents which you 9 have now in your hands are now in the possession of the 10 bank? 11 MR. APPEL. We object upon the ground that it is incom-12 petent, irrelevant and immaterial; the witness is being 13 asked concerning documents and concerning comparisons, no 14 foundation has been laid, he has not been qualified to 15 testify with reference to any comparisons; asking him con-16 cerning documents not before the witness, not shown to 17 the defense, not here in court, in violation of the consti-18 tutional provisions of the state of California. 19 MR. FORD. We avow our intention at this time to show by 20either this witness or other witnesses connected with the 21 bank, if necessary, that the original document was return-22 ed to the defendant and is not now in our possession or 23 under our control, and, therefore, we are laying the founda 24 tion for the introduction of these photographs as secondary 25 evidence and ask permission of the court to find out of this 26

													3598
1	witnes	ss all	he k	nows	on	the	na	tter	, and	then	we wi	ll ca	11
2	such o	other w	witne	8888	as	may	be	nec	essary	from	that	inst	i-
3	tution	•									۰.		
4													
5	•												
6	•												
7													
8												••	·
9										2			
10		-											
11												• . *	
12	-												
13													
14													
15													
16													•
17							•						
18												•	
19													
20													
21	• •												
22													
23													
20 24													
25													
26											•		
_0				· ·		•							Ц
										scai	med by $\cup$	LAWLIBI	RARY

	3599
1	MR APPEL: Even if that were done, I still insist that
2	this examination is not proper, that the law lays down
3	how and what manner the foundation must be laid for the
4	introduction of secondary evidence. Certainly we are not go-
5	ing to suggest it in our objections, and the first step
6	that must be taken for the purpose of laying a founda-
7	tion, for the purpose of being allowed to lay a foundation
8	to secondary evidence, not having been taken, this examina-
9	tion is improper, and for that reason we have , objecting.
10	The mere avowal of what he is going to do does not entitle
11	him to do that.
12	THE COURT: Read the question.
13	(Last question read by the reporter.)
14	THE COURT: Objection overruled. The question will be
15	answered yes or no.
16	MR APPEL: We except.
17	(Last question read by the reporter.)
18	A I do not know.
19	MR FORD: At the time you had the originals in your pos-
20	session and these documents which I have now shown you,
21	did you compare the two together?
22	MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object to that upon the
23	ground it is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial and
24	hearsay, and no foundation laid.
25	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
26	MR APPEL: We except.

MR FORD: Answer the question. (Last question read by the reporter.)

3600

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

A Yes sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Q State whether or not the documents which you have in your hand are accurate reproductions of the originals or not.

MR APPEL: Wait a moment. We object upon the ground that 7 8 no foundation has been laid for the introduction of the evidence, on the further ground that it is incompetent, 9 irrelevant and immaterial, that he is asking an opinion of 10 11 the witness in reference to the comparison between the 12 documents that he has in his hand now and documents that have not been produced in court, have not been tendered 13 or shown to the defendant; the defendant is not confront-14 ed with those documents, and, consequently, it is in vio-15 16 lation of the provisions of the constitution that the de-17 fendant must be confronted with the witnesses, a document of this kind being a document that must speak for itself 18 is considered in law, a witness, and he should not be bound 19 20 by the statements or opinion of a witness unless the docu-21ments are presented here so that the defendant may --22 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 23 MR APPEL: We take an exception. MR FORD: Read the question. (Last question read by the  $\mathbf{24}$ 

25 reporter.) A They are.

26 MR FORD: We now offer the two documents in evidence as

1 exhibits -- not in evidence, but for identification, as 2 exhibit No.34. 3 MR ROGERS: I take an exception to the offer, not being 4 able to object to it on the ground that it is incompe- $\mathbf{5}$ .tent, irrelevant and immaterial, and simply offers -- if 6 the documents are genuine, and the documents exist, they 7 are in the possession of a person now living, and within 8 the president process of this court, within the jurisdic-9 tion of this court, and a subpoena will produce him together 10 with the documents, and then they haven't been shown to us, 11 and they are incompetent and no foundation laid. 12 MR FORD: We are not offering the documents in evidence. 13 THE COURT: I understand they are merely marked for iden-14 tification. 15 MR FORD: I ask that the first document which I hold in my 16 hand which purports to be the face of certain documents, 17 be marked 34. 18 MR ROFERS: On an inspection of the documents, if your 19 Honor please, you will see that it is in -- that knowledge 20 of it is in the possession of a person now living and in 21 the jurisdiction of this court, and moreover, one who has 22been in this court room within the last three days. You 23cannot substitute in that fashion.  $\mathbf{24}$ THE COURT: This is not offered as evidence, but for iden-25tification only. It isn't in evidence. 26MR APHEL: \_t is paraded before the jury.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3602
1	MR FORD: I have carefully refrained from showing it
2	to the jury.
3	MR APPEL: You have had it over there, and you have asked
4	or not whether they are photographs and all that sort of bus-
5	.iness.
6	THE COURT: Mr Appel, you will have to confine yourself to
7	assigning error if you wish one.
8	MR APPEL: I am answering him: Must he talk and I have
9	no right to answer?
10	THE COURT: Counsel has made a statement. Mr Appel, your
11	remarks are entirely out of order.
12	MR APPEL: I think they are in order, and as long as I am
13	in this case I am going to stand here and protect the rights
14	of this defendant. I object to your Honor saying they are
15	not in order. I am answering his statement to me, but
16	addressed to this defendant. I have a right to answer, and
17	I will answer every time he addresses anything that I
18	think is improper, and I would like to know what improper
19	thing I have said.
20	THE COURT: Mr Appel, your conduct here is disorderly.
21	MR APPEL: I object to that and I assign that as error,
22	as I deem it that my remarks were not disorderly. I am
23	not going to be minimized and degraded before this jury
24 95	by remarks from the court or anybody else, without taking
25 26	exceptions. I am going to defend this man if you send me
26	to jail, or I will withdraw from the case.

THE COURT: The court deems your remarks as being entirely 1 out of odder and disorderly, as to the remarks themselves. 2 as to the manner and tone of their presentment here in 3 court, and as being the culmination of a long series of 4 similar disorderly conduct on your part tending to seriously  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 interfere with the due course of this trial, and constituting contempt of court, for which contempt the court orders 7 8 you to pay a fine of \$25.

I take an exception, if your Honor please, to 9 MR ROGERS: 10 the statement that it is the culmination of conduct on the 11 part of the defendant tending to prejudice the substantial 12 administration of justice, as prejudicial to the defendent. 13 and one to which the defendant ought not to be subjected in the presence of the jury and one which is held by the 14 courts to be prejudicial to the rights of the defendant, 1516 and on behalf of the defendant and his counsel, I take an 17exception.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3604
1	MR. Appel. And I will say to your Honor that so far
2	as the payment of that \$25 that I will not pay them, and
3	1 ask your Honor's permission to have the record made up
4	so that I can go before another court and in another
5	. department of this court and purge emyself of contempt.
6	Let another court decide whether I am guilty of contempt or
7	not.
8	THE COURT. Mr. Appel, this court is final on such a matter
9	and the matter is settled.
10	MR · APPEL · I have a right
11	THE COURT. Mr. Clerk, unless the fine is paid by 10 o'clock
12	tomorrow morning issue an execution. Proceed with the
13	examination.
14	MR. FORD. I offer the other document concerning which
15	the witness has testified, for identification as No. 35.
16	MR . FOGERS. 1 take an exception to its being offered
17	before the jury and held so the jury may see it, it being
18	a document the existence of which, if it does exist, may
19	be proven by a person now living, who is now living and
20	under subpoena of this court and under subpoena of the
21	prosecution, and I assign the whole matter as a contempt
· 22	of this court and as an attempt to get evidence outside
23	the rules of evidence and against the constitution of the
24	United States and of this state.
25	THE COURT. The document is not offered as evidence at this
26	time, 1 understand.

S

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

ø

	3605
1	MR · FORD · No, your Horor ·
2	THE COURT. But for identification purposes only. It will
3	be so marked. Objection overruled.
4	MR. ROGERS. Exception.
5	MR.FORD. I wish to ask the question, one more question
6	concerning Exhibits 34 and 35. Q Attracting your atten-
7	tion to Exhibit 35 consisting of a picture of two original
8	documents, 1 will ask you
9	MR. ROGERS. To that statement of counsel, consisting of
10	"a picture of two original documents," I take an exception
11	and we assign it as misconduct, the allowance of the Dis-
12	trict Attorney to stand before the jury and characterize
13	certain documents which are not in evidence, and to be
14	paraded before the jury when the documents themselves, if
15	they do exist, are inthe possession or within the knowledge
16	of a person now living, and I take an exception to the
17	whole matter and 1 will say, if your Honor will permit me,
18	I desire to bewith all due deference, and to have every
19	respect for your Honor's court, but in all ny practice I
20	have never seen anything like it and I doubt if any lawyer
21	ever has. I take an exception to it; unless your Honor
22	orders that kind of practice to proceed, why, we will
23	simply have to do something.
24	THE COURT 1 am assuming that counsel for the prosecu-
25	tion is laying the fourtation for certain purposes, as stated
26	in his avowal, permitting this proceeding upon that theory

t

	3606
1	and no other. If he is able to lay the foundation, very
2	well. If not
3	MR.FORD' I desire to modify my statement slightly.
4	Q Attracting your attention to Exhibit 34 which is a
5	picture
6	THE COURT · Exhibit 34 for identification.
7	MR . FORD. Which purports to be a picture of two docu-
8	ments, attracting your attention to the document on top
9	the firstwhat purports to be a document on the top of
10	that exhibit, I will ask you to state which of the pictures
11	in exhibit 34 purport to be the reverse side of that docu-
12	ment.
13	MR. ROGERS. Objected to as incompetent, irrelevant and
14	immaterial, and calling for a conclusion or opinion; no
15	foundation has been laid and the document is not here, not
16	in evidence, and if the document exists it is in the poss-
17	ession of a person now living and within the jurisdiction
18	of this court, who has been in this court rook within two
19	or three days and it is an attempt to evade the rules of
20	law and take advantage of the law. Why don't they put the
21	man on the stand, if your Honor please, who knows about
22	those documents? They don't dare to, so they bring here and
23	parade documents and ask him if it doesn't purport to be a
24	picture of the opposite side of a certain document. Let's
25	be fair about this thing, if your Honor please. This man
26	whose name is mentioned on that document lives himself within

ŧ

3607 1 three blocks of this court room. He has been in this court 2 room; he is now under their subpoena. Why don't they call 3 him. It is incompetent and it is misconduct to allow any 4 such thing. 5 • MR . FORD. Did you state that the original documents are  $6 \cdot$ in the possession of that man? 7 MR. EOGERS. I say they are within his knowledge. If they 8 are not within his knowledge he can tell where they went to, 9 then you can show these documents. Any lawyer that knows 10 the first principles--you can put this man on the stand 11 and call for these documents and if they don't exist he 12 can tell where they went. 13 MR. FORD. We will show they were not in the possession of 14 that man when they were last heard of. 15 MR . ROGERS . I characterize thewhole matter as misconduct. 16 THE COURT. Objection overruled. 17 MR. FORD\* I want to simplify the same question: Attract-18 ing your attention to Exhibit 35, the one purporting to 19 contain the figure 5,000 on it, I will ask you whether or 20 not that is a true representation of the back of the 21 criginal document purporting to be shown at the top of 22 exhibit 34? 23 24

25

26

To the statement "which purports to have the 1 MR ROGERS: figures..., "5000" on it," I object to it, and characterize 2 3 it as misconduct and take an exception, because it is attempting to get before the jury the contents of a document 4 5 they know they cannot get before it. I object to it as 6 incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial and not the best 7 evidence. Why do not they bring in the man that is with-8 in the jusisdiction of this court and who can come here 9 and tell all about these documents truthfully? I make 10 the statement and the avowal he is within the process of 11 this court and under their subpoena right now. 12 THE COURT: I think under that statement and avowal it 13 is your duty to lay a foundation before going any further 14 with this. 15 MR EORD: If the court please, if you will excuse the jury 16 I can make some statements of facts, but perhaps it won,t 17 be necessary. 18 THE COURT: Just a moment. I am about to excuse the jury 19 for the afternoon recess, and if there is any legal argu-20 ment to take phace, you can present it, but I doubt it. 21 The court has ruled. The court accepts the avowal as hav-22 ing its full face value. 23Gentlemen of the jury, bear in mind the admonition 24

heretofore given you. We will take a recess at this time for 10 minutes.

(After recess.)

25

26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3609
1	THE COURT: You may proceed, gentlemen.
2	MR FORD: I believe those have been marked for identifi-
3	cation, your Honor.
4	Q I believe you said youwere acquainted with Mr Le Compte
5	.Davis. A Yes sir.
6	Q Did yous ee him on the 28th day of November, 1911?
7	A Yes sir.
8	Q At what place? A At the bank, the Equitable Bank,
9	First and Spring.
10	Q At what time of day did you see him? A Nearly 3
11	o'clock.
12	MR ROGERS: What time did he say?
13	(Last answer read.)
14	MR FORD: What business, if any, did you have with him
15	on that occasion?
16	MR ROGERS: We object to that as hearsay; incompetent, ir-
17	relevant and immaterial and no foundation laid; not the
18	best evidence.
19	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
20	MR ROGERS: Exception.
21	A Icashed a check for him.
22	MR FORD: For what amount?
23	MR ROGERS: That is objected to as incompetent, irrelevant
24	and immaterial; no foundation laid; hearsay; not the best
25	evidence.
26	THE COURT: Objection sustained.

	3610
1	Q BY MR FORD: Did you pay him any money on that occasion?
2	MR ROGERS: I make the same objection as last stated, with-
3	out repetition. If necessary, I will repeat it.
4	MR FORD: If he paid him any money, that is a fact
5	.that is primary e vidence of the fact.
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MR ROGERS: If your Honor please, and if he paid Le Compte
8	Davis any money which they avow an intention in a crimi-
9	nal case an avowal is nothing but if they avow that
10	they paid Le Compte Davis the money that Franklin got,
11	that is another matter; if not, then it is absolutely ir-
12	relevant. The brancing on Caret
13	MR FORD: If the court please, we expect this witness to
14	testify that he paid \$10,000 in currency to Le Compte
15	Davis; we expect to show by another witness that Mr Le
16	Compte Davis applied that \$10,000 on the bail of Bert
17	Franklin after hewas charged with bribery and that he
18	got that \$10,000 from the bank upon a check of Clarence
19	Darrow, the defendant in this case. The District Attorney
20	made an opening statement in which he said he would show
21	that the defendant, after Franklin was arrested, put up
22	\$10,000 in cash for his bail.
23	MR ROGERS: Subject to the objection that it is entirely
24	collateral and immaterial to this issue, that it is in-
25	competent and has nothing to do with the issues in this
26	case, we admit that that is a fact.

	3611
1	MR FORD: The admission is here, but we prefer it in tes-
2	timony as well.
3	MR ROGERS: All right, then go on and prove it, and I
4	withdraw the admission.
5	• MR FORD: There is a question pending before the court.
6	THE COURT: Read the question.
7	(Question read.)
8	MR ROGERS: The same objection that has been made.
9	THE COURT: The objection that the foundation is not laid
10	is spistained.
11	MR FORD: If the court please, there can be no foundation
12	for a witness' testimony as to a particular fact which in
13	itself is not a document.
14	THE COURT: Objection sustained. signed
15 16	MR FOFD: State whether or not any check by the defendant
16 17	Clarence Darrow, or purporting to be signed by the defend-
18	ant Clarence Darrow, was presented to you on that occasion?
19	MR ROGERS: That is objected to as incompetent, irrelevant
20	and immaterial; hearsay; no foundation laid; not within
20 21	the issues and collateral; not the best evidence.
 22	MR FORD: As to the contents of the document, the document best
23	itself would be the evidence of its contents, but as to
24	whether or not a check was presented, whether that act oc-
25	curred or not
26	THE COURT: This question calls for a yes or no answer? MR FORD: yes, your Honor.

	3612
1	THE COURT: And you can have it as such. The objection
2	is overruled and the witness is asked to answer yes or
<b>3</b>	no. A Y <sup>e</sup> s sir.
4	MR FORD: Will you mead the last question and answer now, so
5	. that I canget the connection?
6	(Last question and answer read.)
7	MRFORD: And by whom was it presented?
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

	3613
10p 1	MR . ROGERS . The same objection as last made; hearsay;
2	•
3	tion.
4	THE COURT. Objection overruled.
5	
6	_
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	enough to wait
12	THE COURT. Strike out the answer for the purpose of the
13	objection.
14	MR. ROGERS. We object to it as incompetent and irrelevant
15	and immaterial; not the best evidence; calling for a
16	conclusion or opinion; No foundation laid.
17	MR. FORD. The foundation has been laid now showing a check
18	was presented to him purporting to be signed by Clarence
19	Darrow, check was presented by Mr. Davis, there is the
20	foundation and now if the witness gave him anything in
21	return for it that would be a physical fact of which there
- 22	can be no documentary evidence.
23	MR. ROGERS. Purporting to be signed by anybody does not
24	make it so.
25	THECOURT Objection overruled.
26	MR. ROGERS. Exception.

ĭ

	3614
1	MR. FORD. Read the question.
2	(Question read.)
3	MR. FORD. Q Answer the question. A Yes.
4	Q What did you give him?
5	MR. ROGERS. The same objection as last made, without
6	reciting it.
7	THE COURT. Objection overruled.
8	MR . ROGERS. Exception.
9	A 1 gave him currency.
10	Q Fow much currency?
11	MR. ROGERS. whe same objection, not the best evidence.
12	THE COURT. Objection overruled.
13	MR · ROGERS. Exception.
14	A \$10,000.
15	MR.FORD. Cross-examine.
16	MR. ROGERS. No questions.
17	THE COURT. That is all. You are excused, stand aside.
18	
19	E. R. PARMELEE,
20	a witness called on behalf of the People, being duly
21	sworn, testified as follows:
22	
23	MR. ROGERS. What does counsel desire to prove by this
24	witness, that \$10,000 was deposited as bail for Bert of
25	Franklin by Mr. LeCompte Davis on the evening/November 28th?
26	MR · FREDERICKS · That is the purpose ·

	3615
1	MR, ROGERS. It is admitted.
2	MR . FREDERICKS We think the best way to do is to prove
3	it.
4	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION.
6	MR.FORD. Q What is your name? A E.R.Parmelee.
7	Q How old are you, Mr. Parmelee? A 37.
8	Q Where do you reside? A This city.
9	Q What street and number, please? A 1637 Fourth avenue.
10	Q And what is your occupation? A Clerk of the Justice
11	Court, Los Angeles Township.
12	Q in whose department? A I am the chief clerk at the
13	present.
14	Q Did you occupy that position on the 28th day of November,
15	1911? A No. 1 was department clerk in Judge Young's
16	court at that time.
17	Q Were you present in Judge Young's court as such clerk
18	on the 28th day of November, 1911? A 1 was.
19	Q Did you see Bert Franklin there at that time? A Yes,
20	sir.
21	Q Did you see LeCompte Davis there at that time? A Yes.
22	Q What, if anything, was done on that occasion by LeCompte
23	Davis in that court room?
24	MR. ROGERS. We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant
25	and inmaterial; no foundation laid; hearsay.
26	THE COURT. Objection overruled.

	3616
1	MR. ROGERS. Exception.
2	A Why, the arraignment of Bert Franklin
3	Q I have asked you what was done by Mr. Davis? A Mr.
4	Davis furnished the
5	MR . ROGERS. Just a momentthat is a conclusion, that is
6	not an answer and I object to it.
7	THE COURT. State what he did.
8	A He gave me \$10,000.
9	MR. FORD. In what kind of money? A In currency.
10	Q And for what purpose? A As bail for Bert Franklin.
11	Q Bert Franklin? A Yes.
12	MR · FORD · Cross-examine ·
13	MR. FOGERS. No questions.
14	THE COURT. That is all.
15	
16	GUY W. YONKIN,
17	a witness called on behalf of the People, being first
18	duly sworn, testified as follows:
19	LIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. FREDERICKS. Q What is your name? A Guy W. Yonkin
21	Q where do you live? A 626 East 25th.
22	Q What is your business? A Cigars, cigar business.
23	Q Do you know Bert Franklin? A 1 do.
24	Q How long have you known him? A 8 Fears.
25	Q Going back to the time last year when the case of the
26	People versus McNamara and others was pending, state

whether or not you were summoned as one of the jurymen in
 that case? A I was.

3617

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

3 Q Frior to the time when you were summoned state whether or not you had a conversation with Bert Franklin with 4 regard to your becoming a juror in that case? 5 MR. APPEL. Wait a moment. We object to that upon the 6 groundit is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial for any 7 purpose; collateral to any issue in this case; no 8 foundation lan; there has been no evidence tending to 9 show in any way, shape or manner, that the conduct of Mr. 10 Franklin or whatever declarations were made by Mr. Franklin 11 or the conduct of the witness in question is in any way con-12 nected with the defendant or with his knowledge or consent; 13 hearsay; no foundation laid. 14 THE COURT . Objection overruled. 15 MR. APPEL. We except. 16 MR. FOPD Read the question. 17 MR. ROGERS. 1 would like to have the same objection go 18 to all of the questions. 19 THE COURT . It will be so understood, the same objection, 20 thesame ruling and the same exception. 21 (Last question read.) 22

A I did.

23

24

25

	3618
1	Q BY MR FREDERICKS: Where was that conversation?
2	A Well, it started at my cigar store, 219 West Third.
3	Q And where was it continued? A In the Del Monte
4	saloon, next door.
5	Q State that conversation? A Well, he came up to my
6	place of business in the afternoon, along about half past
7	3, I think it was, and he stated to me that I was drawn as
8	a MCNamara juror, and I thought he was joshing, and he
9	said
10	MR ROGERS: I
11	MR FREBERICKS: That may be stricken out, what the wit-
12	ness thought.
13	THE COURT: Strike out what the witness thought. State
14	what was said and done there.
15	A He asked me if I would serve on the jury, and I told
16	him, "No, I could not". He wanted to know why, and I
17	told him I couldn't leave my business. So he says, "I want
18	you to serve on that jury, and come on in next door where
19	I can talk to you a minute", so I left a friend of mine
20	to run the stand for a moment. We walked into the saloon
21	and had a drink and then he asked me
22	MR FREDERICKS: Don't say "he asked me", say what he
23	said, and what you said. Take your time to it. A He
24	wanted me
25	Q You are falling into the same error, if the court
26	will pardon me.
	scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	, 3619
1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	Q State what he said? A He said he would like to have
3	me serve on the jury. I told him I could not do so my-
4	self, and he wanted to know why, and I told him I couldn't
5	·leave my business. He says, "You need not worry about
6	your business", and I told him I did, and finally he said
7	he could make it worth my while if I would serve on the
8	jury, but I told him nothing doing in that respect at
9	all. That was about all that I can remember of.
10	Q Well, did he say anything about
11	MR ROGERS: I suggest that he ought not to be led.
12	THE COURT: Don't lead the witness.
13	MR FREDERICKS: I don't wish to, but I want to get all
14	the conversation; that is all.
15	MR ROGERS: Ask him if that is all.
16	MR FREDERICKS: In going after a conversation that oc-
17	curred a long time ago
18	THE COURT: Captain Fredericks, there is only one way you
19	can ask leading questions and that is by stating the neces-
20	sity.
21	MR FREDERICKS: Now, Mr Yonkin, I want you to relate to the
22	jury that entire conversation. A Well, sir, I can't re-
23	late it, that is, much more than I have. I was very mad
24	at the time to think he would propose such a proposition
25	as that to me.
26	Q What was the proposition he proposed to you? A To

1	3620
1	get on the jury and he would make it worth my while.
2	Q Was there any other proposition made to you, any
3	further proposition along that line? Was anything said
4	a bout the manner in which he would make it worth your
5	while or the amount? A No, ther e wasn't any amount men-
6	tioned that I remember of, any more than that he would
7	make it worth my while.
8	Q Was anything said about which side? A Yes, he told
9	me he represented the MCNamaras; wanted me to get on the
10	jury for them.
11	Q Was anything said about your voting on the jury, if
12	you g <b>dt on it?</b>
13	MR ROGERS: I suggest he ought not to be led that way.
14	Mr Yonkin is a man of intelligence, a man of recollec-
15	tion, and he ought not to be led, if your Honor please,
16	and I take an exception to the leading
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	MR ROGERS: Exception.
19	A Why, yes, he wanted me to hang the jury.
20	MR ROGERS: I move to strike that out as a conclusion or
21	opinion.
22	THE COURT: Strike it out. State what he said.
23	MR FREDERICKS: You say he wanted say what he said
24	from which you concluded that he wanted you.
25	MR ROGERS: The answer has been stricken out.
26	THE COURT: Yes.

	3621
1	MR FREDERICKS: That is true.
2	A That is all I remember he said.
3	MR FREDERICKS: That is stricken out.
4	THE COURT: What was it he said? A He wanted me to pro-
5	tect the MCNamaras' interests.
6	THE COURT: What did he say? A Well, he said something
7	about hanging the jury or something of that kind. $\checkmark$ I don t
8	just remember exactly now. It has been sometime ago.
9	I don't remember just exactly what he said.
10	THE COURT: What else did he say at that time that you
11	were in there together? A That was about all. I told
12	him I wouldn't consider the proposition at all. There
13	wasn't enough money in the world to cause me to do that,
14	and that was about all that was said. I got up and walk-
15	ed out.
16	MR FREDERICKS: How long was that before you appeared in
17	court? A I should judge about a week.
18	Q As a juryman? A yes sir.
19	Q And did you serve as a juryman or not? A No sir, I
20	got excused on business reasons.
21	MR FREDERICKS: Cross-examine.
22	
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	MR ROGERS: Mr Yonkin, you have a cigar store directly
25	in front of the Metropolitan Barber Shop? A yes sir.
26	Q You have been there how long? A A little over three

	3622
1	years, going on my fourth year.
2	Q Before you went in there what was your business?
3	A I was with George W. Walker, wholesale and retail
4	cigars.
5	. Q In the same line of business, cigar business? A Yes
6	sir.
7	Q How long had you known Franklin? A Why, I should
8	about 6, 7, or 8 years, some place along in there.
9	Q Ever know him well? A I was very well acquainted
10	with him, yes. He was in the sheriff's office under White,
11	with my father, when he was under-sheriff. I got pretty
12	well acquainted with him.
13	Q Your father was under-sheriff? A yes sir.
14	Q Well, Franklin was in the sheriff's office? A yes
15	sir; that is when I first met him.
16	Q That is when you first met him? A Yes sir.
17	Q. From that time on, while he was in the sheriff's office
18	and your father was in the sheriff's office by the way,
19	your father is Henry Yonkin? A Yes sir.
20	Q You met him from time to time? A Yes sir.
21	Q And then after he got out of the sheriff's office,
22	you met him from time to time? A Yes sir.
23	Q Used to come around to your place of business, I take
24	it, once in a while? A Once in a while.
25	
26	

1

. .

		0.000
	1	3623
128	1 2	Q Had you ever given him the slightest notion or slightest
	ے 3	idea that you were that kind of a man who could be bought,
		in other words? A 1 don't think 1 ever did that 1
	4	know of.
	5	• Q And when he did that, when he made that proposition
	6	to you, what did you say to him? A Well, I didn't say
	7	much of anything, any more than I told him there wasn't
	8	encugh money in the world to cause me to do that.
	9	Q Did he mention Farrow's name there? A No, sir; 1 don't
	10	think Darrow's name was mentioned; I don't remember that
	11	it was .
	12	Q Did you know Darrow? A No, sir.
	13	Q Did you ever see him before? A The day I was in court
	14	here to be examined as a juror is the only time I saw him
	15	that I know of.
	16	Q That is to say, the time you were in court in the McNamara
	17	case to be examined as a juror, there you saw him? A yes
	18	sir.
	19	Q Did you ever speak to him? A No, sir.
	20	Q Did you know the sound of his voice even? A No, sir.
	21	Q So when Franklin wanted to buy you to render a verdict
•	22	ycu mentioned a statement a while ago in your direct examina-
	23	tion that you were very angry? A I was.
	24	Q What did you say to him, anything or did you just get
	25	up and walk out? A I told you what I said.
	26	Q I didn't quite get it. A There wasn't enough money in
		scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3624
1	the world to cause me to do that sort of thing.
2	Q What was your manner towards him at that time? A 1
3	don't know exactly what it was; I don't remember; I
4	didn't say anything to him to speak of at all. 1 just
5	simply told him that in a nice, quiet tone of voice; we
6	were back there in the booth.
7	Q That is a booth in the back of the saloon at the
8	Delmonte Saloon? A Yes, sir.
9	Q Have you ever seen him since? A I think I have seen
10	him twice.
11	Q wave you ever talked with him since? A Not to carry
12	on any conversation with him; Ro, sir .
13	Q Ever spoken to him, as a matter of fact? A Yes, he
14	has been ground the store once or twice and purchased
15	cigars. I never talked to him at all about the case one
16	way or the other.
17	Q Did you mertion this thing to anybody? A No, sir.
18	Q This proposition that Bert Franklin made to you? A No,
19	sir.
20	MR . FREDERICKS · I suppose counsel means ever at any time.
21	MR. FOGERS. That is what I said. Read the question.
22	(Last question read by the reporter.)
23	THE COURT. The question is asked and answered. If Captain
24	Fredericks wants it, why, read it.
25	MR. FREDERICKS. So the witness understands it.
26	THE COURT. All right, read the question.
	scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3625
1	A You mean did I talk to anybody about
2	MR · ROGERS- ves · A No ·
3	Q Did you metion it to the authorities at all? A No.
4	Q When you got up to court you went up to the judge and
5	made your excuse? A Yes, sir.
6	Q And your excuse was business reasons? A Yes, sir.
7	Q That is to say, you were running a business of your own
8	and you couldn't afford to leave it? A Yes, sir .
9	Q And so far as you know aside from seeing Darrow in the
10	court room once and for a very short time, and seeing him
11	now, you never saw him in your life? A 1 may have seen
12	him on the street; I never talked to the man in my life.
13	Q Did you know LeCompte Davis? A I do.
14	Q How long have you know him? A A good many years.
15	1 wouldn't say how long; probably 10 or 15 years.
16	Q Well, you have been raised here? A Yes, sir.
17	Q And LeCompte Davis has come up here from a very young
18	man? A yes.
19	Q Did you ever know Judge McNutt? A Well, only by sight,
20	that is all.
21	Q De you know Joe Scott? A Yes, sir .
22	Q Known him pretty well? A No, only just have a speak-
23	ing acquaintance with him, that is all.
24	Q Do you know Mr. Fredericks? A yes, sir.
25	Q How long have you known him? A Probably 10 years.
26	Q And pretty well, too? A Fairly well.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

-1	3626
1	Q You know Joe Ford? A Yes sir.
2	Q How long have you known him? A Why, about that long, 1
3	guess l
4	Q Do you know Harriman, Job Harriman? A Only by sight.
5	Q Never had any personal acquaintence with him? A No,
6	sir.
7	Q You know Mr. Keetch? A No, sir .
8	Q Any other members of the District Attorney's office
9	that you know? A Why, I think there is, I can't
10	Q I missed your answer as to how long you had known Wr.
11	Ford. A I think about the same time that I have known-
12	Captain Fredericks.
13	Q And known him quite well? A Why, yes.
14	Q How did you ever mention this proposition that Bert
15	Franklin made to you to anybody at all until after Franklin
16	came into court? A I never mentioned it then to anybody
17	until I was subpoenaed, then they began to josh me about it.
18	Q 1t came out inthe paper, didn't it? A Yes, sir.
19	Q And pretty much everybody commenced to joke you about it?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Laughing/you for being the kind of a fellow that Franklin
22	would dare to make such a proposition to? A Yes.
23	Q And that was done by a great many different people?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Did you know how long it was before you were summoned
26	that Franklin came to you? A Why, I don't remember

	3627
1	exactly; 1 think it was about a week, something like
2	that. I don't just remember. I never made any note of it
3	at all.
4	Q Is there any way you can fix that date, Mr. Yonkin?
5	A lt was, I think, along the last of November . I remember
6	very well that when I was summoned for the jury I paid my
7	taxes just before they became delinquent because 1 was
8	afraid I might be tied onthe jury and couldn't get to do it
9	somewhere along the latter part of November, I think.
10	Q Couldn't you get any closer than that? A No, sir.
11	Q Not even the day of the week or the day of the month?
12	A No, sir.
13	Q It was not Sunday, anyhow, because the saloon was open.
14	A It was not Sunday.
15	Q It was not one of our election days because the saloon
16	was open? A No.
17	Q Aside from that you don't remember? A I don't remember;
18	no, sir.
19	MR. ROGERS. That is all.
20	
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION.
22	MR . FREDERICKS. When did you first discuss this matter
23	with the District Attorney? A Why, the day that you
24	subpoenaed me.
25	Q Well, how long ago was that? A That was the 28th
26	day of May.
f	

		3	628
1	1 Q The 28th day of May, this year? A		
2	2 Q Did you ever talk with anybody else	e about it prior	to
3	3 that date except Franklin? A You me	ean after 1 was	
4	4 subpoenaed?		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	8		
9	9	· · ·	
10	.0		
11	.1		
12	2		
13	.3		•
14	4		
15	.5		
16	.6		· .
17	7		
18	8		
19	.9		
20			
21	21		
22	22		
23			
24			
25			
26			

	3629
1	Q No, between the time that Franklin talked to you as
2	you have narrated and the time when you were subpoenaed and
3	brought up to my office, did you talk to anybody else
4	about it? A No sir.
5	MR FREDERICKS: That is all.
6	
7	REC ROSS-EXAMINATION
8	MR ROGERS: Aren't you mistaken about that.? Now, think
9	for a moment. Do you remember my dropping up there one
10	day and asking you telling you that Franklin had drag-
11	ged your name through the dirt up there and telling you
12	what itwas? A I believe you are right, yes sir.
13	Q Telling you that he had well, to use an expression
14	I think I used at that time, that he had bawled you out
15	here in the court room.
16	MR FREDERICKS: That was excuse me for interrupting.
17	THE COURT: Fixing the time.
18	MR ROGERS: Do you remember that time? A That was after
19	it came out in the paper.
20	Q Wasn't that the next day after it came out in the
21	papers? A I don,t recollect.
22	Q It was very close to that time? A It was before, I
23	think I think I had seen the Captain before that.
24	Q You think you had seen the Captain before that?
25	A I think I had been subpoenaed. I went up there I
26	wont be sure, but I think so.

1	Q you remember then aren't you quite sure that that
2	was the day Mr Franklin had testified about it that I
3	came up there and stopped and spoke to you, shook hands
4	with you and told you how sorry I was your name was dragged
5	into it, you remember that?
6	MR FREDERICKS: Objected to upon the ground it is imma-
7	terial, in view of the fact that the witness has said
8	that he did not talk to anybody about it until he talked to
9	me when he was subpoenaed, which was before that.
10	THE COURT: That date is uncertain in the record, I think,
11	at least; let, s have it fixed. Objection overruled.
12	A I don't remember, Mr Rogers, exactly whether it was
13	before or after I talked with the Captain. I don't rem-
14	ember•
15	Q But it was approximately at the time it came out in
16	the papers? A Around that time, yes sir.
17	MR ROGERS: I think that is all.
18	MR FREDERICKS: I don, t know whether the matter is entire-
19	ly clear yet, or whet er it is of sufficient importance.
20	Your answer is still correct between the time Franklin
21	talked to you and the time you were brought up to the
22	District Attorney's office and subpoenaed, you had not
23	talked to anybody about it? A I don, t remember of it.
24	Q Well, did you know what you were being brought up to
25	the District Attorney's office for? A When yousent for
26	me?

	3631
1	Q When I sent for you? A Icertainly did, yes. It came
2	out in the papers.
3	Q Wasn't that before it came out in the papers that you
4	came up to the office? A I don't remembe r. It was
5	the 28th when I was subpoenaed. I have the subpoena here
6	in my pocket.
7	Q Now, let's see
8	MR ROGERS: The date of its service won't show on that,
9	will it? A The 28th of May, 1912.
10	MR FREDERICKS: The 28th of May, 1912? A Yes sir.
11	Q Where were you subpoenaed? A Downst the cigar
12	store I was subpoen aed in your office. Mr Duni came down
13	in the morning about 9230.
14	Q The 28th day of May; that fixes it. That is all.
15	MR ROGERS: Now, don,t you remember just to call it
16	back to your memory when I stopped and spoke to you
17	about the matter, told you I was very sorry your name had
18	been dragged in the thing, all that sort of thing,
19	don t you remember I asked you then if you knew whether
20	they were going to call you as a witness, and you said you
21	didn't know anything about it?
22	MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground what counsel may
23	have said to the witness is absolutely incompetent, irre-
24	levant and immaterial.
25	MR ROGERS: Just to fix the circumstances.
26	MR FORD: Please het me make my statement to the court.
	It isn't in anywise impeaching or tending to an impeach the ry

1 testimony given by the witness, and as to the date of his 2 being subpoenaed, he has fixed that absolutely by the 3 document in his pocket. 4 THE COURT: I think the date of the conversation can be fix-5 ed in less time than it takes to argue it. 6 MR FORD: Any conversation he had with Mr Rogers is 7 absolutely incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial. 8 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 9 (Last question read by the reporter.) 10 Α I don't remember, Mr Rogers, whether I had been subpoe-11 naed at that time or not. I don't suppose I had, if that 12 is the answer I gave you. I couldn't tell you anything 13 about it. 14 MR ROGERS: That is all. 15MR FREDERICKS: of course, Mr Rogers --16 MR FORD: You don't remember the conversation that occurred? 17 A I remember the conversation, I do. I don, t remember 18 whether I had been subpoended. He stopped to the store 19 and made that remark to me. 20 MR FORD: That is all. 21MR FREDERICKS: May it please the court, we are going to 22travel pretty rapidly from now on, and we have been trying 23to accommodate our witnesses as much as possible. This is 24 a long trial, and we have provided what we thought would 25be enough witnesses and plenty to last the afternoon, and 26I find we have got along very rapidly, and we would like

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

	3633
1	to ask an adjournment until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning,
2	and we are going to proceed pretty rapidly from now on and
3	I don, t think any time will be lost by it.
4	MR ROGERS: Will it be too much to ask Mr Fredericks if
5	he indicates that he feels the interests of his side
6	will permit, about when we had better have our witnesses
7	come?
8	MR FREDERICKS: I can get a little better idea from you
9	about the cross-examination and then I can tell you. It
10	will be at the end of this week; Thursdayis a holiday;
11	maybe tomorrow night and maybe Friday.
12	Jury admonished. Recess until 10 o'clock A.M., July
13	3rd, 1912.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	