J. D. FREDERICKS.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

---0---

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Dept. No. 11. Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge.

The People of the State of California,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Clarence Darrow.

Defendant.

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT.

--0---

VOL. 33

INDEX.

Direct. Cross. Re-D. Re-C.

No. 7373

201 11

John R. Harrington,

| | 3020 |
|------|---|
| 1 | TUESDAY, JUNE 25, 1912; 9 A.M. |
| 2 | Defendant in court with counsel. |
| 3 | THE COURT. I have not called the jury in at this time and |
| 4 | unless counsel desire it, why, I assume that they consent |
| 5 | that they be excused. |
| 6 | MR. FORD. We consent. I think the argument will be much |
| 7 | shorter if they are not here. |
| 8 | MR. ROGERS. It is addressed to the court. |
| 9 | THE COURT. I suggested it on account of the jury, 1 |
| 10 | think they have a good many hours sitting in one position |
| 11 | and I like to relieve them whenever possible. You may |
| 12 | proceed. (Argument) |
| 13 | AFTERNOON SESSION. |
| 14 | 3:45 P.M. |
| 15 | Defendant in court with counsel. Jury called; all |
| 16 | present. Case resumed. |
| 17 | THE COURT. Mr. Harrington was on the witness stand. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | JOHN R. HARRINGTON, |
| 20 | on the stand for further cross-examination. |
| 21 | MR · ROGERS · Q Mr. Harrington, do you know a man named |
| 22 | Berlin? A Yes, sir. |
| 23 | Q Did you employ him? A Yes, sir. |
| 24 | Q When did you employ Mr. Berlin? |
| 25 | MR · APPEL. Mr. Rogers, with your permission, the Court |
| - 26 | please, we understood that the ruling of the court |
| | scanned by LALAWLIBRARY |

ls

| 3021 |
|---|
| THE COURT . Yes, I was about to regard |
| MR. APPEL. I wish your Honor would make a ruling in the |
| presence of the jury. |
| THE COURT. 1 think you had better get a formal ruling. |
| The application of the defendant for the alleged dictagraph |
| transcript is denied. |
| MR. APPEL. With an exception on the part of the defendant, |
| and at this point, your Honor, in order to get the record |
| straight, with the permission of counsel, we ask that all |
| of the witness Harrington's testimony given on the stand |
| against the defendant be stricken out on he ground and for |
| the reasons that the defendant has been denied the right |
| of cross-examination upon all subjects connected with the |
| direct testimony of the witness and his relation to the |
| case. |
| THE COURT. The motion to strike out is denied. |
| MR. APPEL. We take an exception. |
| MR · ROGERS. Q When did you employ Mr. Berlin? A 1 |
| should think during the latter part of September or early |
| in October. |
| Q You knew that he came to you directly from the Burns |
| agency, do you not? A 1 knew that he had worked for |
| them . |
| Q Immediately before working for you? A No, and after. |
| Q How long before working for you was it that you knew he |
| had been employed by the Burns agency? A He told me he had |
| scanned by LALAWLIBRARY |
| |

been employed there about a month before that, and 1 had 1 worked him in Chicago a couple of years before that. 2 Q He told you that about a month before he came to you 3 he had been working for the Burns agency? A Sometime 4 about that. I don't pretend to be accurate about the exact 5 time. 6 Q Did you send him over to Franklin? A 1 did. 7 Q When did you send him over to Franklin? A He came to 8 my office sometime, I should think in October, to report 9 some matter about a juror. I told him I had nothing at all 10 to do with the jury, didn't receive reports from them ---11 MR . ROGERS. If your Honor please, 1 didn't ask for a con-12 versation. I asked him when he sent him over to Franklin. 13 MR.FORD' 1 think he has a right to explain. 14 MR. ROGERS. He can answer the question and then explain 15 without any speech. 16 MR. APPEL' He cannot introduce hearsay evidence. 17 THE COURT. Read the answer 18 (Last answer read by the reporter.) 19 THE COURT . Strike out the answer' from the word "juror". 20 MR. FORD. All about his being employed by a Burns also 21 is hearsay and should be stricken out. . This witness is 22not testifying--23 THE COURT. The rest of that answer is not responsive to 24any question. He asked him when he came and he told him 25 when. That is all that responds to the question. 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY 🚺

| | 3023 |
|-----|---|
| P 1 | MR. FORD. Perhaps it is not responsive, I think that is |
| 2 | correct. We can go into it on redirect examination. |
| 3 | MR · ROGERS. Please answer the question. |
| 4 | THE COURT. He has answered it, Mr. Rogers. |
| 5 | MR. ROGERS. Pe said, "He came to me sometime in October." |
| 6 | I asked you when you sent him over to Franklin? A lt |
| 7 | was after I had discharged him. |
| 8 | Q How long was he in your employ? A Three weeks. |
| 9 | Q Then, coming from the Burns agency he was in your |
| 10 | employ about three weeks and then you sent him over to |
| 11 | Franklin? |
| 12 | MR. FORD. We object to that as assuming something that |
| 13 | is not in evidence by any competent evidence, that he was |
| 14 | ever in the employ of the Burns people. |
| 15 | MR. ROGERS. He stated so. |
| 16 | MR . FORD. No, he stated only what Berlin told him . |
| 17 | MR. APPEL. That is all right. |
| 18 | MR . FORD. If hearsay is all right |
| 19 | THE COURT . Objection overruled. |
| 20 | A What is the question? |
| 21 | (Question read.) |
| 22 | A He had been out of my employment for some little time, |
| 23 | probably a couple of weeks before the Franklin incident |
| 24 | occurred. |
| 25 | Q 'And then you sent him over to Franklin? A 1 referred |
| 26 | him to Franklin. |

.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

Q Then he went back to the Burns Agency after working
 for you and Franklin? A I never saw nor heard of him
 since he left my office that day when I told him about re porting jury matters.

5 Q Did you not say before and after he was in your employ 6 he worked for the Burns Agency? A No sir, you got the 7 thing mixed up.

8 You didn't so say that? A Well, this man Berlin was 0 working for the agency in Chicago: I used him for shadowing 9 10 purposes. I think he was with Thiel or Moody & Bo land people in Chicago where I used to get my men. I used him 11 12 on a case there once or twice, and maybe three or four 13 times. I neversaw him until he came to see me once in Los 14 Angeles with Mr Moore of Mr Davis' office, who recommended 15 him highly. Then I gave him three weeks' work and I then discharged him; some little time after that, probably a 16 week or ten days, he came back to report about some juror. 17 I wouldn't listen to him, would not enter into any talk with 18 19 him at all, but told him to see Mr Franklin, who had charge 20 of the investigating of jurors.

21 Q Then you didn't have anything to do with the jury bus-22 iness? A No sir.

23

24

25

26

Q Whom did you say he worked for in Chicago? A Myself? Q No, he? A Either -- I think it was either the Thiel people or the Moody & Boland, that is where I usually got my shadows.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

| | 3025 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Q Now, did you employ anybody else from the Burns agency |
| 2 | besides Berlin? A Not unless they slipped one over me. |
| 3 | Q How about Macy? A I never worked Macy one day in my |
| 4 | life; he never worked an hour in my office. |
| 5 | Q Did Macy ever charge you with being in the employ of |
| 6 | Burns? |
| 7 | MR FORD: We object to that as hearsay, and incompetent |
| 8 | and immaterial. |
| 9 | MR ROGERS: To his face? |
| 10 | MR FORD: It would not make any difference, your Honor, |
| 11 | whether Macy charged him with doing this or that; it would |
| 12 | be purely hearsay; it is not a declaration of this witness |
| 13 | to Mr Macy. |
| 14 | THE COURT: I think Mr Ford is right about that. |
| 15 | MR ROGERS: No sir, his answer may be competent. |
| 16 | MR FORD: Let him ask him "Do you know Mr Macy", or, "did |
| 17 | you know him at such and such a time and such and such per- |
| 18 | sons being present, did you ever say such and such things", |
| 19 | as provided by the code. |
| 20 | MR ROGERS: We do not have to do that. |
| 21 | MR FORD: The code provides for it. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Did Macy charge this witness? |
| 23 | MR ROGERS: I will change the form of kt. |
| 24 | Q Did Macy ever have a conversation with you in which he |
| 25 | told you, in the Higgins Building, after you started work- |
| 26 | ing for the defense, so-called, that you were a Burns man |

| | 3026 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | and in the employ of Burns, at the same time pretending |
| 3 | to be in the employ of the defense. |
| | MR FORD: We object to that on the ground no foundation |
| 4 | has been laid showing the place, the time and the persons |
| . 5 | present, and as to what Macy charged him would be hearsay, |
| 6 | too. |
| 7 | MR ROGERS I said "say to you". |
| 8 | MR FORD: That is all right, or "say to you"; it is hear- |
| 9 | say. The only thing that can be responsive would be some- |
| 10 | thing that this witness may have said, and then only upon |
| 11 | the theory of impeachment, and the foundation will have to |
| 12 | be laid. |
| 13 | THE COURT: I do not see upon what theory you offer that, |
| 14 | Mr Rogers; I do not follow you. |
| 15 | MR ROGERS: If I tell you, or your Honor, in the presence |
| 16 | of the witness, I tell the witness and I do not want to do |
| 17 | that. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Well, all right. |
| 19 | MR FREDERICKS: It is immaterial and hearsay, no matter |
| 20 | what the theory is, your Honor. |
| 21 | MR ROGERS: Why, it is as plain |
| 22 | MR FORD: If counsel will write it out and submit a copy |
| 23 | to the court and a copy to us we will make our objection. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Suppose anybody else would accuse this witness |
| 25 | of anything else? |
| 26 | MR ROGERS: Then, I purpose to follow it with something |
| • | scanned by LALAWLIBRARY |

D

1 that was said.

| 2 | THE COURT: I will resolve the doubt in your favor, but |
|----|--|
| 3 | I am in doubt about it, but the objection is overruled. |
| 4 | A Please read the question. (Question read.) No sir. |
| 5 | MR ROGERS: Didn't Mr Macy say to you, in your office in |
| 6 | the Higgins Building, about a month after you entered into |
| 7 | the so-called employment of the defense, that he had been |
| 8 | a Burns man himself, and that he believed you were a Burns |
| 9 | man, and did you not reply to him as follows: "Well, if I |
| 10 | could get enough money, I might be."? A No sir. |
| 11 | Q Or words to that effect? A Nothing at all. |
| 12 | Q You know Macy, don't you? A Yes sir, that one-armed |
| 13 | man. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |

3027

Isn't this, just to call your attention to the con-Q 1 versation; did not Macy come to you and ask you for a $\mathbf{2}$ position and did you not say to him. "No, you are a Burns" 3 man or have been a Burns man," and didn't he reply to 4 you, "You are a Burns man yourself and you ought to know," 5or words to that effect, and didn't you then say, "Well, 6 I probably would be if I could get enough money for it," or words to that effect, between you and Macy? A No, sir. Q You know Macy, don't you ? A Yes, sir. Q Had a talk with him, didn't you? A He had been up to 10' my office looking for a position several times. 11 Q You knew he was a Burns man? A An Ex-Burns man 12 yes, sir. 13 Q An ex-Burns man? A yes, sir, he said so. 14 MR . FREDERICKS. We move to strike that out on the ground 15 it is hearsay. 16 THE COURT. Motion denied. 17 MR . ROGERS. Q Didn't you repeat in that conversation or 18 say twice in that conversation, "If I could get enough 19 money for it I would go over," to Mr. Macy? A Your ques-20 tion is involved there. Go over to Mr. Macy? 21 Q. Go over to the other side, the Burns side? A No, sir. 22 Q Didn't you have it in your mind at that time that Macy 23 was a Burns man and had to feel you out to see if he could 24 get you over to the Burns side? A Not at that time, no, 25 sir. 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

1 Q If Mr. Darrow, in the Hayward Hotel, told you that he had anything to do with the bribery of Franklin, why $\mathbf{2}$ 3 didn't you testify to it on the stand? MR · FREDERICKS · That is objected to upon the ground that 4 it is not cross-examination, speculative and argumenta-5 tive. The witness is on the stand to answer those questions 6 that are put to him, and there was no question asked him $\overline{7}$ on direct examination in regard to the dictagraph or the 8 Hayward Hotel or any conversation that he had with Mr. Burns 9 there; no question being asked him in regard to it, the 10 question answers itself, he, therefore, of course, could 11 make no testimony in regard to it. 12 MR . FORD. If your Honor will listen to the beginning. 13 THE COURT . Read the question then I will hear Mr. Rogers. 14 (Last question read by the reporter.) 15 MR. FORD. There is no evidence before the court that he 16 did tell him yet. 17 MR . ROGERS . Now, if your Honor please, they put this witnes 18 on to testify to conversations like this: 'That he said --19 that Mr. Darrow said he knew that Mrs. Caplan had gone, and 20had--1 call your attention to the record that there were 21 reasons why she went, it was all right, he knew there were 22reasons why she went. He testified that out on the porch 23at Mr. parrow's house Mr. Darrow showed him a roll of bills 24 and told him that he had gotten that money, namely, 25\$10,000 from Tveitmoe's bank, to reach certain jurors, and 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

other matters of that kind. Then it develops on cross-1 examination that he had four or five conversations with $\mathbf{2}$ Mr. Darrow at the instance of the prosecution about this 3 case at the Hayward Hotel, and that he was working for 4 the prosecution, sent there by them for the purpose of 5getting Mr. Darrow to talk and make admissions. Now, 1 6 want to know if Mr. Darrow said anything to the effect 7 that he was involved in the bribery of Lockwood by 8 Franklin, why this witness has not testified to it. he 9 having been sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and 10 nothing but the truth, namely, the whole truth, if they 11 asked him about matters of so much less importance than 12 that, of so much less relevancy and weight with this jury 13 or so much less importance in this case, if he testified, 14 for instance, that he knew there were reasons why Mrs. 15 Caplan was taken to Chicago, why was it that he didn't 16 testify upon the stand, if he knows, if Mr. Darrow ever 17 said to him in all of his four or five conversations that 18 he had anything to do with the main fact in issue. Now, 19 if your Honor pleases, that is an entirely relevant ques-20 tion on cross-examination. It is entirely relevant to 21 ask the witness why he has not told everything, if he 22 has anything to tell. Is he secreting something? If he 23 had four or five conversations with Mr. Darrow at the 24 instance of the prosecution about this matter and Mr. 25Darrow told him that he had nothing to do with the bribery 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

| \sim | 3031 |
|--------|--|
| 1 | of Franklin, it is a perfectly legitimate question, why |
| 2 | haven't you told us that, and I have a right on cross- |
| 3 | examination to put my questions, it not being direct, if |
| 4 | your Honor please, not direct examination but cross, 1 |
| 5 | have a right to ask him if you know anything about an |
| 6 | admission of Darrow that he had anything to do with the |
| 7 | bribery of Lockwood by Franklin, why didn't you say so. |
| 8 | I have a right to that, sir. |
| 9 | MR. FORD. Now, if the court please, we made the objection- |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| . | scanned by LALAWLIBRARY |

ł

1 MR ROGERS: If they introduced a part of a series of conver- $\mathbf{2}$ sations upon any subject and there existed any more I have 3 a right to ask him why he has not testified to any more, if 4 they exist. I don't have to ask him. do they exist? 5 Did he say anything of that kind, on cross-examination? 6 No sir. I may say, if Darrow told you if he had anything $\overline{7}$ to do with the bribery of Lockwood by Franklin, why haven't 8 you testified to it.

9 MR FORD: If the court please, we having made the objection. 10 have the right to close. Counsel has asked this witness 11 why if -- has stated here, rather, in the argument, that 12 this witness is sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth 13 and nothing but the truth, but your Honor knows, and we 14 know and counsel knows that the witness is not allowed 15 to testify to anything except in response to the answer 16 only a few moments ago in response - - in response to the 17 question, when a few moments ago an answer was stricken 18 out simply because it was not responsive to the question, 19 and we conceded it was properly so, this witness can only 20 answer such questions as are propounded to him. The only 21 person who knows why the questions -- why other questions 22were not asked of him, is the prosecution. The prosecu-23 tion has its reasons for asking only a certain number of 24 questions and for letting other times to -- other questions 25go until we consider that the proper time has arisen to 26 ask those questions, and counsel knows that, and it isnot

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

fair to the witness in the presence of this jury to stand 1 up here and accuse him of trying to conceal testimony, 2 3 trying to conceal facts from the jury when he is bound 4 to answer only such questions as are propounded to him. 5 and so, when this question is put to him, if there were other conversations, why didn't you tell them -- about 6 7 them -- the answer is perfectly obvious to the court, but 8 it may not be to the jury. The answer is because the questions were not asked concerning those other matters. 9 Our 10 reacns for not asking concerning those other matters have 11 been discussed in the absence of this jury --12 I take an exception to that. MR ROGERS: 13 MR FORD: I want to be fair to counsel and not repeat them 14 st the present time, but in fairness to the witness I 15 think the jury ought to understand that the witness is 16 presumed to tell the whole truth in answering every ques-17 tion that is propounded to him, and the burden of asking 18 questions rests upon us and the defense. We have asked 19 certain questions we are interested in. If counsel wants 20 the whole truth, let them ask about everything that has occurred, and not correct the witness for not having told 21 22 the whole truth or not answering the question when he has 23 not been asked the question. This question assumes some-24thing that is not in evidence. He said if such and such 25things occur, it isn't in evidence that these other conversations occurred yet. Let counsel bring it out, if he 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

Uns Angeles County Laws Lingt

wants to.

1

2 MR APPEL: If your Honor please --

| 3 | THE COURT: I don't think the question accused him of |
|----|---|
| 4 | any impropriety. The objection is overruled. |
| 5 | MR FREDERICKS: We make a further objection, your Honor, |
| 6 | that we would like the court to rule on it. There was |
| 7 | nothing gone into on direct examination in regard to any |
| 8 | questions or any time or any conversations at the Hayward |
| 9 | Hotel, and we therefore object to questions on that line, |
| 10 | because they are not cross-examination. We are not press- |
| 11 | ing the matter, but we wish the record to show that we |
| 12 | have objected. |
| 13 | MR APPEL: Your Honor, we have a right to ask this witness |
| 14 | |
| 15 | MR FREDERICKS: I am not arguing it. |
| 16 | MR APPEL: You made an objection now, and we have a right |
| 17 | to ask this witness why it is he didn't testify to any |
| 18 | further facts, kf he knows them? We have a right to show |
| 19 | if it is possible, if the witness has been instructed to |
| 20 | leave that out |
| 21 | THE COURT: Let him answer the question. |
| 22 | MR FREDERICKS: Our objection is overraled? |
| 23 | THE COURT: Yes sir. |
| 24 | A What is the question? (Last question read by the |
| 25 | reporter.) I was not asked any such questions. |
| 26 | |

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

| | | 3035 |
|---|----|--|
| р | 1 | Q Were you told to suppress it? A No, sir. |
| | 2 | Q Did it ever happen? |
| | 3 | MR. FREDERICKS. That is objected to as being indefinite. |
| | 4 | MR. ROGERS. Cross-examination. |
| | 5 | MR. FREDERICKS. The witness may not understand what is |
| | 6 | meant by "it"I do not. |
| | 7 | THE COURT. Objection sustained. |
| | 8 | BY MR . ROGERS . Q Did he ever tell you that? A Tell me |
| | 9 | what? |
| | 10 | Q That he had anything to do with the bribery of Franklin, |
| | 11 | down there at the Hayward? A Franklin? |
| | 12 | Q The bribery of Lockwood, yes. A No, sir. |
| | 13 | Q He told you he didn't, didn't he? A No, sir. |
| | 14 | Q You say he didn't tell you that he did or didn't tell |
| | 15 | you that he didn't? A That is my answer, yes, sir. |
| | 16 | Q You asked him about it, didn't you? A No, sir. |
| | 17 | Q Were you sent out here to find out about it? A About |
| | 18 | what? |
| | 19 | Q About whether he had anything to do with the bribery |
| | 20 | of Lockwood? A No, sir. |
| | 21 | Q They gave you questions to ask him, or instructed you |
| | 22 | about questions to ask him, didn't they? A Some few |
| | 23 | things, yes, sir. |
| | 24 | Q Was the bribery of Lockwood among them? A No, sir. |
| | 25 | Q You were not to ask him anything about it? A 1 was not |
| | 26 | prohibited from asking, but 1 was not told to and 1 did |
| | | not ask. |

| | 3036 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Q You were not told to? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 | Q Now, it was Foster and Lawler that talked to you about |
| 3 | what you were to ask Mr. Darrow, wasn't it? |
| 4 | MR . FORD. We object to that on the ground the question has |
| 5 | been asked and answered before. |
| 6 | THE COURT. Objection overruled. |
| 7 | A Mr. Foster had nothing to do with it. |
| 8 | MR. ROGERS. Q Well, Mr. Lawler, in Mr. Foster's presence? |
| 9 | A Yes, sir. |
| 10 | Q What is that? A Yes, sir . |
| 11 | Q Well, now, wasn't it the reason that younow, when |
| 12 | you were sent out here to get Darrow into a room and talk |
| 13 | to him and you were not told to ask him anything about the |
| 14 | bribery of Lockwood at all, or the briberythe Franklin |
| 15 | matter, wasn't it because you and Foster knew perfectly |
| 16 | well that you were the man that put it up with Franklin and |
| 17 | that it was a frame-up from the start and there was no use |
| 18 | in telling you to ask Darrow about it? |
| 19 | MR. FREDERICKS. We object to that on the ground it assumes |
| 20 | a fact not in evidence. The witness has not said that he |
| 21 | did not talk about the Franklin matter-in the way counsel |
| 22 | had put the question he couples it in with the statement |
| 23 | which the witness did make and one answer to the question |
| 24 | would undoubtedly be |
| 25 | MR. FORD. It also calls for a conclusion of the witness |
| 26 | what was in the minds of Foster and Lawler and their pur- |

26

| 1 | poses, and in addition to that it is argumentative. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT. Read the question. |
| 3 | (Question read.) |
| 4 | THE COURT. Objection overruled. |
| 5 | MR. FREDERICKS. Will the Court permit me to call the |
| 6 | Court's attention now, the witness said that he was not |
| 7 | told to ask Darrow anything about the bribery of Lock- |
| 8 | wood and he has not said that there was no conversation |
| 9 | in regard to the Franklin matter, and the question assumes |
| 10 | that he did, it is such a general thing |
| 11 | THE COURT ' Perhaps there is such a distinction there. |
| 12 | MR . ROGERS · Of course, the witness has been given an office |
| 13 | l suppose he can answer. |
| 14 | THE COURT. Wait a minuteread that question again. |
| 15 | (Question read again.) |
| 16 | THE COURT · Captain Fredericks is right about the witness's |
| 17 | testimony in regard to the Franklin matter. |
| 18 | MR. FREDERICKS. 1 ask the elimination of the Franklin |
| 19 | matter from the question, because it is too broad. |
| 20 | MR · APPEL · We ask it in that broad sense, your Honor . |
| 21 | THE COURT ' Objection sustained. |
| 22 | MR 'APPEL' Exception. |
| 23 | MR. RCGERS. Q Now, that you have heard the objection, |
| 24 | do you desire to change any testimony you have just given? |
| 25 | MR. FORD. We object to that as immaterial and irrelevant, |
| 26 | not cross-examination. |
| | scanned by LALAWLIBRARY |

037

| | 3038 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR.ROGERS. If not, I will have it read. |
| 2 | MR. FORD. We object to that question as not proper to |
| 3 | express to the witness, the witness has not expressed any |
| 4 | desire to change any testimony. |
| 5 | MR · ROGERS · I know he has not, I am asking him. |
| 6 | THE COURT . Objection overruled . |
| 7 | A Please read it. (Question read.) I do not think so; |
| 8 | no, sir. |
| 9 | MR · ROGERS · Q Were you told to inquire about the bribery |
| 10 | of any juror? A About the briberty matter there was, I do |
| 11 | not think, any juror's name mentioned. |
| 12 | Q Did Mr. Darrow say or deny to you that he had had anything |
| 13 | to do with the bribery of any juror? |
| 14 | MR · FREDERICKS · Read the question . |
| 15 | MR. ROGERS. Wait a momenthe has got it. |
| 16 | MR · FORD · We are entitled to it · |
| 17 | THE COURT · Read it. |
| 18 | (Question read.) |
| 19 | MR · FORD · Which is which? We object to it on the |
| 20 | ground is |
| 21 | MR . APPEL . Now, your Honor, this apparently, an attempt |
| 22 | to give this witness a chance to think aboutit. Your |
| 23 | Honor, the question is perfectly plain, he can say, "1 |
| 24 | object on such and such legal grounds." |
| 25 | |
| | |

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

| | 3039 |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: Counsel is objecting and you cannot deprive |
| 2 | him of that right. |
| 3 | MR APPEL: It is too plain to not be understood, your Honor |
| 4 | THE COURT: He has a right to object. What is your ob- |
| 5 | jection? |
| 6 | MR APPEL: The witness has started in to thinking, your |
| 7 | Honor, and they are giving him more time and more time, |
| 8 | and this way we will stay here until dooms-day. |
| 9 | THE COURT: What is the objection? |
| 10 | MR FORD: The witness can take all the time he wants to |
| 11 | think without my making an objection at all, and we will |
| 12 | take all the time we want to make the objection. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Make your objection. |
| 14 | MR FORD: We object to the question on the ground it is |
| 15 | a compound question, containing two questions, each one of |
| 16 | which is inconsistent with the other, one is, did he say |
| 17 | anything about the bribery matter, and the other is, did |
| 18 | he deny anything about the bribery matter. Now, he cannot |
| 19 | answer that yes or no; it is absolutely impossible to answer |
| 20 | that question yes or no; it is a compound question. |
| 21 | MR APPEL: The question is, did he say or deny he had any- |
| 22 | thing to do with the bribery. Did he say he had anything |
| 23 | to do with the bribery of jurors? |
| 24 | MR FREDERICKS: Two different questions. |
| 25 | MR ROGERS: I will change it. |
| 26 | Q Did Mr Darrow deny to you he had anything to do with scanned by LALAWLIBRARY |
| | |

| | 3040 |
|----|---|
| 1 | the bribery of any jurors? Now, let us see if you under- |
| 2 | stand me. |
| 3 | MR FORD: No objection. |
| 4 | MR ROGERS: Thank you. |
| 5 | A I don, t think that question was asked. |
| 6 | Q Why not? A Because it was not. |
| 7 | Q What is that? A It was not asked. |
| 8 | Q Why not? A I don't know. |
| 9 | Q Wasn't it because you knew who had something to do |
| 10 | with the bribery of the jurors that you didn't ask it? |
| 11 | A Oh, I knew |
| 12 | Q You knew you and Foster put it up, didn't you? |
| 13 | MR FORD: Just a minute; we ask that the witness be per- |
| 14 | mitted to answer. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Yes, finish your answer. |
| 16 | A I knew what Mr Darrow told me he intended to do with |
| 17 | that \$10,000; I knew the admission he made to me the morn- |
| 18 | ing after Franklin's arrest. |
| 19 | Q But, when you had this dictagraph working and the re- |
| 20 | porters at the end of it, why didn't you ask him then, "Did |
| 21 | you have anything to do with the bribery of the jurors"? |
| 22 | A Because I never knew anything myself about the bribing |
| 23 | of the jurors. |
| 24 | Q Why is it you didn't ask Darrow there when that |
| 25 | dictagraph was working, "Why, didn't you give that money to |
| 26 | Franklin?", so that the dictagraph might hear it? |

| | 3041 |
|----------|--|
| 1 | MR FORD: Read that question. |
| 2 | MR ROGERS: You need not have it read. Give him all the |
| 3 | time to think he needs. |
| 4 | MR FORD: I want it read, and I am entitled to it. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Read the question. (Question read.) |
| 6 | MR ROGERS: Didn't you give that money to Franklin? |
| 7 | There is no "why" in that. A That was asked, but not |
| 8 | in that way. |
| 9 | Q What did you ask Darrow about that? A "You know, Dar- |
| 10 | row, you admitted to me the morning after Franklin's ar- |
| 11 | rest that you did." |
| 12 | Q What did Darrow say to you then? A He said he did |
| 13 | not. |
| 14 | Q He said "You were dreaming", didn't he? A No sir, I |
| 15 | am not a dope-fiend, I don't |
| 16 | Q Didn't he say to you then, "Why, you are dreaming, |
| 17 | man; I never did any such thing"? A No sir. |
| 18 | Q What did he say to you? A He said he didn't tell me |
| 19 | that. |
| 20 | Q Didn't he say, "You are a liar"? A No sir. |
| 21 | Q Or words to that effect? A No sir. |
| 22 | Q But when you did say to him there "Why, you told me |
| 23 | you did give him that money", he said, "Why, I did no |
| 24 | such a thing"; didn't he say that? |
| 25 | MR FORD: Read that. I don:t think counsel meant that |
| 26 | question. |

| | 3042 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR FREDERICKS: That question is unintelligible. |
| 2 | MR ROGERS: If it is unintelligible, I will change it. |
| 3 | Q When you said to Darrow, "Why, you told me you had |
| 4 | \$10,000 to bribe jurors with", or something of that sort, |
| 5 | Darrow said to you"I did no such a thing", or words to that |
| 6 | effect, did he not? A Yes sir. |
| 7 | Q And he didn't know that the dictagraph was working then |
| 8 | did he? A I suppose not. |
| 9 | Q And he looked you right in the eye when he told you |
| 10 | that, too, didn't he? A I don't remember. |
| 11 | Q Did you look him in the eye any more than you have |
| 12 | done here in the court room when you said it? |
| 13 | MR FREDERICKS: We object to that as immaterial. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Objection sustained. |
| 15 | MR APPEL: Exception. |
| 16 | MR ROGERS: Now, why didn't you say to him, "Why, I saw |
| 17 | you have \$10,000 in money" for the purpose of having the |
| 18 | dictagraph hear it, or words to that effect? |
| 19 | MR FORD: Let me hear that question again. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

•

| | 3043 |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT. Read it. |
| 2 | (Question read.) |
| 3 | A I did tell him that he told me about the \$10,000. |
| 4 | MR.ROGERS. Q Didn't you say there that you saw it? |
| 5 | A He showed it to me. |
| 6 | Q Did you say there in that room, "I saw it?" A Saw |
| 7 | what? |
| 8 | Q The \$10,000? A I only saw what he said was \$10,000. |
| 9 | Q All right. Didn't you say there in that room that you |
| 10 | saw what he said was \$10,000? A I don't think I did. |
| 11 | Q Do you swear you didn't? A I am under oath. |
| 12 | Q Well, I understand that. You just said a minute ago |
| 13 | you didn't think you did. Now, I mean, are you positive |
| 14 | about it? A That is my best recollection. |
| 15 | Q Then, didn't Darrow say, "Oh, where was it I ever showed |
| 16 | you \$10,000?" and didn't you reply, "Either at the house |
| 17 | or the office, I don't remember which."? A No, sir . |
| 18 | Q Nothing of that kind? A No, sir. |
| 19 | MR . ROGERS . Now, if your Honor pleases, we demand again |
| 20 | the production of the dictagraph papers, the sheets |
| 21 | written, to contradict the witness, he having testified to |
| 22 | conversations occurring down there and having seen the |
| 23 | paper itself and it having been shown to him; I demand it. |
| 24 | MR. FORD. And we decline to give it on the ground that the |
| 25 | document referred to is not competent evidence, is a writ- |
| 26 | ten communication made to the District Attorney in official |
| | scanned by LALAWLIBRARY |

confidence and the public interests would suffer by disclosure of it at this time.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10/

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

des ceneras

MR . APPEL. There is no such thing in a criminal case, your Honor. The public interests will suffer, your Honor, if an innocent man is convicted by suppression of the evidence.

MR. FREDERICKS. There wont be any innocent man convicted. MR. FORD. We will not suppress anything.

MR. FREDERICKS. It will come out at the right time. MR. APPEL. Yes, it will come out as you are coming out, and it is all coming out about the efforts and your conduct of the case here is the most prominent part, the suppression of any evidence for public interests. Just think what sort of a misnomer it is, just think how they interpret the law like chewing gum, to think those words have such a significance, your Honor.

MR. FREDERICKS. I am done, your Honor, that has got to stop, that has got to stop.

19 MR • APPEL. Public interest demands that the paper be 20 given to us.

21 MR • FREDERICKS • Now, stop it. I have stood this thing 22 just as long as I am going to stand it and I will stand it 23 no longer.

24 THE COURT. Captain Fredericks, sit down.

25 MR. FREDERICKS. I have stood this thing until I have 26 gotten sick and tired of it. If this is going to be a

3044

3045 court of justice let us have a court of justice and if it 1 is going to be a fight, then I will have a fight. $\mathbf{2}$ THE COURT. Captain be seated. 3 MR . FREDERICKS. 1 want to know, your Honor, how much 4 longer 1 have got to endure the insults of the other side. 5 MR. ROGERS. Do you include me in that? 6 MR. FREDERICKS. Sometimes I do, Mr. Rogers. 7 THE COURT. Captain Fredericks 1 8 MR. ROGERS. I just saved Captain Fredericks from committing 9 crime, and I do not deserve it. 10 1 think the court is quite capable of disposing \ THE COURT. 11 of this matter without any further assistance. 12 MR. FREDERICKS. I have reached the limit. THE COURT . The question before the court is a demand for 14certaindocuments in the possession of the District Attorney, 15 on which a most careful, dignified and scholarly argument 16 has been presented to this court since 9 o'clock this 17 morning until after 3 this afternoon; the court being fully 18 advised upon the matter did deny the application of the 19 defendant. 20MR. FORD. Now, there is another matter before the court. 21 THE COURT . Wait a minute, I want to say some thing . There 22 is no necessity, absolutely no occasion for this outburst 23 on either side. If counsel on either side are so overworked 24 and nervously exhausted as to become hysterical they can 25say so and the court will adjourn and give them a reason-26

able opportunity to get over it, but the hysterical outbursts seem to indicate overwrought nerves on both sides, entirely out of place. Realizing the intensity of the situation the court will say, under the circumstances, that it must not be repeated. These personal attacks have absolutely nothing to do with the merits of this case, absolutely nothing.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Counsel on either side are not on trial here. Mr Appel's personal attack upon Captain Fredericks was entirely out of order, and Captain Fredericks' reply was shockingly out of order. The court is amazed. Gentlemen that have presented the brilliant and scholarly arguments that have been presented here, that they should so far forget themselves. Let us proceed. Let's drop the incident, Mr Appel.

9 MR APEL: I know, your Honor, but I was not looking at 10 Captain Fredericks when he was attempting to do something 11 to me, and afterwards, upon reflection, after I was told, 12 I wish to say, your Honor, that we assign his conduct here 13 as prejudicial to the rights of this defendant. We as-14 sign his conduct as error in this case, prejudicial to the 15 rights of the defendant, and I wish to state right here that 16 any personal demonstration on his part has had absolutely 17 no effect upon me by way of intimidation from him or any-18 one else.

MR FREDERICKS: Now, are we going to have some more of
 this? I assumed that this incident was closed.

21 THE COURT: It is closed.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR FREDERICKS: I ask your Honor to keep it closed.
MR ROGERS: If your Honor please, I have something to sayMR FREDERICKS: If it is an assignment of error, there is
no objection to that.
BUE COURD: must have been done. Now gentlemen, the per-

THE COURT: That has been done. Now, gentlemen, the nervous strain upon gentlemen in presenting a case of this

1 kind is liable to be very great. I think the time has come 2to let the question close where it is. \leftarrow . The District Attorney has in his possession, MR ROGERS: 4 according to your knowledge, a full account of what was 5 said between you and Darrow at that room, a part of which **Å**6 I have asked you concerning, has he not? $\overline{7}$ MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground it calls for a 8 conclusion of the witness whether or not -- the witness 9 has already testified that that District Attorney showed 10 him some sheets. There is no foundation to show that this 11 witness knows anything about the preparation of those 12 sheets, and whatever knowledge he may have, those sheets 13 would be surely the best evidence; not what the District 14 Attorney may have told him, or may have shown to him, 15 coupled with the fact whatever was shown him was taken by 16 the witness' testimony, therefore, it will clearly appear 17 to the court that any testimony he may give upon that point 18 will be hearsay and a conclusion upon his part, and upon 19 those grounds we object to the question. 20THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 21 MR ROGERS: Exception. 22Q Now, you say you came here and went into that room

ß

23 to get Darrow there, and so forth, after a conversation 24 with Mr Foster and Mr Lawler, to protect your own reputa-25Did you ask Darrow in front of the dictagraph tion. 26 if you had anything to do with the bribery of jurors.

| | 3049 |
|----------|---|
| 1 | A In the first place I didn't come at Mr Foster's sug- |
| 2 | gestion. That answer will answer all the way through. |
| 3 | In the next place Mr Darrow did tell me there himself, that |
| 4 | I had nothing to do with it. |
| 5 | Q What did he say to you? A That you had nothing to |
| 6 | do with that. |
| 7 | Q What did you ask him before he made that reply, if he |
| 8 | ever did make kt? A My recollection is that I said"I |
| 9 | had nothing to do with it, Darrow." He said, "No, you |
| 10 | didn [*] t. [*] |
| 11 | Q With what? A With the jury bribing. |
| 12 | Q Why did you ask him that? A I wanted to get him on |
| 13 | record. |
| 14 | Q Well, now, then, if you wanted to get him on record for |
| 15 | the purposes of the prosecution, why didn't you also |
| 16 | asked him if he had anything to do with it, did you? A I |
| 17 | didn't get him on record for the purpose of the prose- |
| 18 | cution. I did it for my self what is the last part of |
| 19 | the question? |
| 20 | Q You asked him if he had anything to do with it, you |
| 21 | said a while ago, and he said, "Certainly not", or words |
| 22 | to that effect. |
| 23 | MR FORM: That was not the question at all. We object |
| 24 | A That is not the question you asked a while ago. It |
| 25 96 | was in reference to showing the money. |
| 26 | MR ROGERS: Did you ask him if he had anything to do with |

scanned by LALAMAN IBRARY

| | 3050 |
|----|--|
| 1 | the bribery of any juror? A No sir. |
| 2 | Q. Why not? |
| 3 | MR FORD: Objected to as irrelevant and immaterial. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 5 | A Because he told me once before that he had. |
| 6 | MR ROGERS: But that was not with the dictagraph listening. |
| 7 | Now, you were on this occasion, trying to get him on re- |
| 8 | cord, weren't you? A Yes sir. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |

| 98 | 1 | Q Well, then, why was it when you got him on record you |
|----|----|--|
| | 2 | didn't ask him, you and he being alone and confidential, |
| | 3 | why wasn't it you didn't ask him something about the |
| | 4 | bribery of jurors? |
| | 5 | MR . FORD. Objected to as argumentative. |
| | 6 | THE COURT. overruled. |
| | 7 | MR. ROGERS. Q And with the dictagraph listening? |
| | 8 | MR. FORD. Especially the latter addition, it is very |
| | 9 | argumentative, more argumentative than ever. |
| | 10 | THE COURT. Objection overruled. |
| | 11 | A Because Mr. Darrow never told me outright in words |
| | 12 | that he had anything to do with the bribing of jurors. |
| | 13 | MR. BOGERS. Q Did he tell you not outright that he had |
| | 14 | anything to do with the bribing of jurors? A Yes, sir. |
| | 15 | Q What did he tell you? A He told me thatthe remark |
| | 16 | he made about Franklin the morning of the arrest. |
| | 17 | Q I am not talking about that. I am talking about this |
| | 18 | dictagraph conversation. A You should express it, if |
| | 19 | you please. |
| | 20 | Q I will express it. I am talking about your room down |
| | 21 | in the Hayward. Did he tell you indirectly that he had |
| | 22 | anything to do with the bribery of jurors down in the dicta- |
| | 23 | graph conversation? A Yes, sir . |
| | 24 | Q What did he tell you? A He told me not to tell Mr. Ford |
| . | 25 | or the others about his conversation with me on the porch, |
| | 26 | to suppress |
| | | |

4

4

•

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

30.51

Q Then he denied he ever had such a conversation with you? A He did.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

19

/10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1\$

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q When he denied that he had ever had any such conversation with you then he told you not to tell Ford about it? A yes, sir.

Q Well, when he denied that he had it, told you he never did have it-- A Pardon me, you have got that--l said that he denied he showed methe money on the porch and then several times he asked me not to tell Ford or Lawler about the conversation I had with him.

Q Didn't he say to you he never had any such conversation with you? A He said he didn't remember.

Q Didn't he say he never had any such conversation with you? A 1 think he did.

Q Well, then, when he said he didn't have any such conversation with you, why did he ask you not to tell Ford that he had the conversation that he didn't have with you? A Because I told him, "Yes, you did, Darrow; you did have that conversation and you showed me the money." "Well," he said, "that was a private conversation between the two of ourselves and nobody will know about it and you do not have to mention it."

MR. FORD. Just a moment. While we are getting down to order 1 hope we will continue in it and I don't believe that the witness should be interrupted by laughter or being sneered at, and the witness was interrupted.

MR. FOGERS. I shall laugh at this witnessand I probably

cannot resist sneering at him. I will do my very best to 1 $\mathbf{2}$ preserve decorum. I shall not commit violence in the court room if I can restrain myself, but I do not promise 3 that when I hear a man say that Darrow denied the conver-4 sation once and then hear him say that he asked somebody 5 not to tell it, and with the same thing. . I prob-6 ably shall laugh and it may be a sneering laugh too, and 7 I cannot be sure of that, but if at any time I have 8 offended the dignity of this court 1 stand ready for 9 your Honor's reproof. 10 MR. FORD. 1 will leave it to your Honor if that conduct 11 is not a breach of decorum in this court room, we want to 12 know it, that is all, in itself. 13 THE COURT. I think the statement of Mr. Rogers is cer-14 tainly an improper statement. I expect the gentlemen at 15the bar to refrain from sneering at witnesses on the stand 16 under all circumstances and at all times. Every counsel 17 should exercise that control over his conduct and I cannot 18 believe that a gentleman of Mr. Rogers's standing or 19 position at the bar quite means that. 20 MR. ROGERS. I will tell you what I did mean, your Honor. 21 I meant that I would refrain from anything that might 22 prejudice your Honor's control over the proceedings 23 of your court room. I shall, as in the past, endeavor 24 at all times to govern myself strictly in accordance with 25 the rule of decorum, however, I cannot, I cannot resist 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

showing at times my disbelief in improbabilities, and so far as sneering is concerned, I don't mean to say that 1 will sneer at the witness. I will merely say I don't believe that he is telling the truth, and we will let it go in the record and I will never sneer again so my sneer will go in the record. I don't believe he is telling the truth and I will keep a straight face. MR. FREDERICKS. We would like to have accompany that in the record we do believe he is telling the truth. THE COURT. Now, gentlemen, let's go on and try this case. Neither counsel on either side is on trial. I am afraid we have perhaps worked over-long hours today. Perhaps we

ought not to do that but perhaps it is my fault. Let's finish out the balance of the day in taking evidence in

this case. What is the question?

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8/

ð

10

26

25

MR ROGERS: Did you say to Mr Darrow, for the purpose of 1 having the dictagraph hear you, "You told me you brought $\mathbf{2}$ the money from San Francisco?" A I don't remember. 3 0 Would your memory be better if you looked at the trans-4 cript of the dictagraph testimony? 5 MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground it is irrelevant and 6 immaterial: the witness has only one way of refreshing 7 his memory according to section 2052 of the Code of Civil 8 Procedure, or 2047, maybe I have it wrong -- at any rate, 9 whatever the section is, by the memorandum made by him 10 at the time in his own handwriting, and being made at his 11 direction or being one read over by him immediately af-12 terwards and corrected, and unless the vitness requires 13 the use of such memorandum, it is not for counsel to 14 put a question of that character. Our objection is, it is 15 not cross-examination: irrelevant and immaterial. 16 MR ROGERS: 2047: (Reading) "A witness is allowed to re-17 fresh his memory respecting a fact by anything written 18 by himself, or under his direction." Now, he knew that 19 this dictagraph was taking it down. He was talking for 20 that purpose; he was talking for the purpose of having it 21 22 taken down, therefore, it was under his direction to that extent. Direction doesn't necessarily mean control, but 23 it means participation. Now, those stenographers were $\mathbf{24}$ working just as much under his direction, sir, as if 25they were working in the room. He was talking for the 26

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

| | · 3056 |
|----------|---|
| 1 | purpose of having them hear. Now he says he doesn't |
| 2 | remember. Under his direction there was being taken down |
| 3 | this conversation. |
| 4 | THE COURT: This question is largely preliminary. Objec- |
| 5 | tion overruled. |
| 6 | MR ROGERS: He says he doesn't remember. Read the |
| 7 | question. |
| 8 | (Last question read by the reporter.) |
| 9 | A That would depend on the accuracy of the testimony, |
| 10 | of the dictagraph testimony. |
| 11 | Q Well, assuming it to be accurate, would your memory |
| 12 | be benefitted by the transcription of it? |
| 13 | MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground that it is a self- |
| 14 | evident proposition. The question answers itself. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 16 | A It would have to depend whether my memory would refresh |
| 17 | of its own accord or through reading the testimony. |
| 18 | Q If you saw what was taken down on that subject at |
| 19 20 | the time would you not be able to answer whether you |
| 20 | said that or not? |
| 21 22 | MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground it is argumentative, |
| 23 | not cross-examination. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 25 | A It might or it might not. |
| 26 | MR ROGERS: Are you willing, if the transcript of testi- |
| | mony is produced here in court, are you willing to look |

| 1 | at it and see if you can remember? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR FORD: If the court please, it doesn't make any dif- |
| 3 | ference whether he is or not. We object to that as incom- |
| 4 | petent, irrelevant and immaterial. Suppose this witness |
| 5 | is willing, what benefit is that going to be to counsel? |
| 6 | Suppose he answers he is willing to do that? Your Honor |
| 7 | has ruled that they cannot have it and we are not going |
| 8 | to give it to them whether the witness is willing or not |
| 9 | willing. We object upon the ground it is incompetent, |
| 10 | irrelevant and immaterial and notcross-examination. |
| 11 | MR ROGERS: We have got a different situation. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Objection overruled. |
| 13 | (Last question read by the reporter.) |
| 14 | A Yes sir. |
| 15 | MR ROGERS: I ask that it be produced then, for that pur- |
| 16 | pose. |
| 17 | MR FORD: Objected to under subdivision 5 we refuse |
| 18 | to do it under subdivision 5 of section 1881 of the Code of |
| 19 | Civil Procedure, on the ground that any communications |
| 20 | we have upon that subject are made to the District At- |
| 21 | torney in official confidence, and the public interests |
| 22 | would suffer by the disclosure of the same at this time. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Objection sustained. |
| 24 | MR ROGERS: Exception. |
| 25 | O Didn't Darrow answer to you then, when you asked him, |
| 26 | "Did you" "Did you tell me that you brought the money |
| | |

Ł

| 1 | from San Francisco?" Didn't Darrow say, "I never told you |
|------|---|
| 2 | any such thing, and I never brought any such money", or |
| 3 | words to that effect? A No sir. |
| 4 | Q Well, then, if you don't remember if you ever asked |
| 5 | the question, how is it you cannot say he didn't make |
| 6 | that reply? A Because I know no such answer was made. |
| 7 | He was hedging all the time. |
| 8 | 0 Oh, hedging all the time? A Yes. |
| 9 | Q Did he answer any such question? A About what? |
| 10 | Q About bringing the money from San Francisco? A I |
| 11 | do not remember. |
| 12 | Q Then, how do you know he was hedging about it? |
| 13 | A Because I know he did not make such an answer as that. |
| 14 | Q Do you know whether you asked him anything about bring- |
| 15 | ing the money down from San Francisco? A/ Not that I |
| 16 | recall. |
| 17 | Q Do you know whether he said anything to you about it? |
| - 18 | A I do not recall. |
| 19 | Q I will return to the conversation at the Haywards |
| 20 | MR FORD: Isn't this conversation at the Haywards? |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |

| 118 | 1 | MR. ROGERS. Yes, 1 said I would return to it. Inthe |
|-----|----|---|
| | 2 | meantime if you are shown any dictagraph sheets 1 will |
| | 3 | interrogate you about it, or any sort of a fefreshment of |
| | 4 | your recollection, 1 will interrogate you about it. Now, |
| | 5 | for the short time that remains 1 want to direct your |
| | 6 | attention to the alleged conversation about Mrs. Caplan. |
| 4 | 7 | What did Darrow tell you about Mrs. Caplan? |
| | 8 | MR. FREDERICKS. Objected to as being indefinite. The |
| | 9 | witness's testimony shows that he had two conversations |
| | 10 | about Mrs. Caplan. |
| | 11 | MR. ROGERS. If he had ffive, the question includes it. |
| | 12 | MR. FORD. Which one are you referring to? |
| | 13 | MR. ROGERS. 1 am not referring to any one. 1 am asking |
| | 14 | him if he can tell me what Darrow told him about Mrs. |
| | 15 | Caplan. |
| | 16 | THE COURT. Overruled. |
| | 17 | A If you refer to the morning of August the 1st, 1911, |
| | 18 | why, he told me that he had known about it and that he |
| • | 19 | had spoken to Tveitmoe and Johannsen when he was up in |
| • • | 20 | San Francisco the week before about their going away, and |
| | 21 | that there were reasons for her going. |
| | 22 | Q How do you fix that date? A 1 left1 came to Los |
| | 23 | Angeles on the morning of the 1st. |
| | 24 | Q And Darrow told you that he had talked with Tveitmoe |
| | 25 | and Johannsen about it and there were reasons? A Yes, |
| | 26 | sir. |
| | | |

| 1 | Q Was that after Mrs. Caplan had gone, as you understood |
|----|--|
| 2 | it? A Mrs. Caplan left, if I remember rightly, around |
| 3 | the last of July. |
| 4 | Q You have been told that since you came here, haven't |
| 5 | you? A 1 remember it. |
| 6 | Q You have been told that since you came here, haven't |
| 7 | you? A No, sir. |
| 8 | Q Nobody told you that? A No, sir. |
| 9 | Q You have been talking to the District Attorney's office |
| 10 | every day since you have been on the stand, haven't you? |
| 11 | A No, sir. |
| 12 | Q You have been up there every day, haven't you? A Yes, |
| 13 | sir. |
| 14 | Q Ncw, did Mr. Darrow tell you what reasons there were for |
| 15 | Mrs. Caplan going away? A No, sir. |
| 16 | Q Did you ask him? A No, sir. |
| 17 | Q When he said there were reasons for it did you have |
| 18 | no curiosity about what the reasons were? A 1 can't |
| 19 | say that I did. |
| 20 | Q Didn't you know as a lawyer she could not be put on the |
| 21 | stand? |
| 22 | MR . FORD. Objected to as irrelevant and immaterial, not |
| 23 | a correct statement of the law. |
| 24 | THE COURT . Objection overruled. |
| 25 | A 1 didn't think about that feature of it at all. I was |
| 26 | not interested in Mrs. Caplan. |
| | |

| | 3061 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ROGERS. Why, you were up in San Francisco at the |
| 2 | time, weren't you? A I was there prior to the time, |
| 3 | if you refer to the time 1 was in Los Angeles. |
| 4 | Q Were you up there about the 29th of July? A 1 was. |
| 5 | Q The 28th of July? A Yes. |
| 6 | Q Up there when she left? A I had no personal knowledge |
| 7 | of her going outside of what I was told. I never saw the |
| 8 | woman in my life. |
| 9 | Q That is not what 1 am asking you. You were up there |
| 10 | at the time she left? A That 1 understand she left, yes. |
| 11 | Q You got a telegram about it? A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Where were you when you got that telegram? A The Argo- |
| 13 | naut Hotel. |
| 14 | Q Now, if you had no previous knowledge of it and had γ |
| 15 | never talked about it, how did they come to send you that |
| 16 | telegram? A I do not know. |
| 17 | Q That is a mystery to you why they should send you the |
| 18 | telegram to San Francisco that Mrs. Caplan had gone away |
| 19 | when you had no previous knowledge of the circumstances |
| 20 | whatever? A I can't say that. |
| 21 | Q Do you know why they sent you the telegram to the |
| 22 | Argonaut Hotel that Mrs. Caplan was out of the state? |
| 23 | A The telegram didn't read that. |
| 24 | Q Well, whatever the telegram did state? A No, sir. |
| 25 | Q You didn't know she was going? A I knew what Johannsen |
| 26 | told me that he was going to take her. |

| ī | |
|----|---|
| | 3062 |
| 1 | Q You knew that Johannsen told you he was going to take |
| 2 | her? A yes, sir. |
| 3 | Q When did Johannsen tell you that? A I think it was |
| 4 | on Saturday. |
| 5 | Q The saturday before she left? A Yes, sir. |
| 6 | Q Where? A At Tveitmoe's office. |
| 7 | Q Where was Darrow then? A 1 don't know; 1 presume he |
| 8 | was in Los Angeles. |
| 9 | Q Did you know from whom the telegram came? A Yes, sir. |
| 10 | Q Did you understand what it meant? A Yes, sir . |
| 11 | Q How long before that time had Darrow been up in San |
| 12 | Francisco? A He left there on the afternoon of the 22nd |
| 13 | of July. |
| 14 | Q The afternoon of the 22nd of July. Had Darrow ever |
| 15 | talked to you before that time about Mrs. Caplan going |
| 16 | out of the state? A I don't recall that he did. |
| 17 | Q Then the first person that ever spoke to you about Mrs. |
| 18 | Caplan going out of the state was Johannsen? A That is |
| 19 | my recollection. |
| 20 | Q And that was the Saturday before she left? A 1 think |
| 21 | they left on Saturday. |
| 22 | Q Well? A 1 think they left the last of the week. |
| 23 | Q Well, how long was it before she left? A 1 don't |
| 24 | know when she left. |
| 25 | Q How long was it before you got the telegram? A My |
| 26 | recollection is that I got the telegram on the 31st, but |
| | |

| | 3063 |
|------------|--|
| 1 | I think that was either Sunday or Monday. |
| 2 | Q Well, it was a couple of days before you got the |
| 3 | telegram? A A day or two; I couldn't tell exactly. |
| 4 | Q A day or two? A yes. |
| 5 | Q And you had never spoken to Darrow about it in your |
| 6 | life, about Mrs. Caplan going out of the state? A Before |
| 7 | what? |
| 8 | Q Before you got that telegram? A 1 have no recollection |
| 9 | that I did. |
| 10 | Q Well, how is your recollection, pretty good? A Fairly |
| 11 | 80. |
| 12 | Q So are you safe in saying you had never talked about it? |
| 13 | A That would be my best recollection. |
| 1 4 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | segmed by 1.61 AVAI INDARY |

ī

| | 3064 |
|----------|--|
| 1 | 9 Now, when Johannsen told you that he was going to |
| 2 | take her out of the state, did he tell you the reason? |
| 3 | A No. |
| 4 | Q Did you ask him? A No. |
| 5 | Q Why, you were a lawyer in the case, weren't you? A Yes |
| 6 | Q You knew Mrs Caplan's relation to the case? A I did |
| 7 | not. |
| 8 | Q You knew she was the wife of one of the defendants? |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q Well, then, when he told you that he was going to take |
| 11 | her out of the state, you being one of the learned counsel |
| 12 | in the case, why didn't you ask him, "What are you going |
| 13 | to do that for, Johannsen"? A I never meddled with |
| 14 | Mrs Caplan or any of the associates of Mr Tveitmoe or Mr |
| 15 | Johannsen or any of the people that were involved in that |
| 16 | matter in San Francisco. |
| 17 | Q You had When you got that telegram you already had |
| 18 | the cipher code, didn't you? A Yes. |
| 19 | Q And you paid no attention about Mrs Caplan going away |
| 20 | at all? It was a kind of a matter of indifference to you? |
| 21 | A yes sir. |
| 22 | Q No consideration about it at all? A No sir. |
| 23 | Q How does it come, then, in the cipher code that you |
| 24 25 | had got up before Mrs Caplan went away, the name "Flora |
| 25 26 | Caplan" appears. |
| 20 | MR FORD: Objected to upon the ground it is assuming some- |

I

| | 3065 |
|----------|---|
| 1 | thing that is not in evidence. This witness didn't get |
| 2 | it up. |
| 3 | MR ROGERS: He said he had it before Mrs Caplan went away, |
| 4 | and here it is. |
| 5 | MR FREDERICKS: We didn t offer it in evidence. Of course, |
| 6 | we have no objection to counsel offering it in evidence. |
| 7 | We were unable to lay the foundation. If it may be assum- |
| 8 | ed in evidence |
| 9 | MR ROGERS: It is people's exhibit No.23, and unfortunate |
| 10 | number. |
| 11 | MR FREDERICKS: But the writing in the back. |
| 12 | MR DARROW: yes, you offered it the second time. |
| 13 | MR FREDERICKS: The writing in the back. We did not of- |
| 14 | fer the writing in evidence. |
| 15 | MR APPEL: When this witness was on the stand he iden- |
| 16 | tified that writing. |
| 17 | MR FREDERICKS: But we were unable to if it is in, all |
| 18 | right. We are willing to assume it is in. |
| 19 | MR ROGERS: It bears the skiddo number; I don't know any- |
| 20 | thing about it. Now, do you understand my question. |
| 21 | A I haven't heard it yet. |
| 22 | Q Read it to him. (Last question read by the reporter.) |
| 23 | A I didn't get up the cipher code and the names and the |
| `24 | code and the names were given to me. |
| 25 26 | 0 Who gave it to you? A Mr Darrow. |
| 26 | Q. When? A The next day. |

scanned by

AVALLAR

Q The next day when? A After he got there.
 Q What day was that? A Well, I was there the week
 before with Mr Darrow, and then he gave me the code - we fixed on that code with Mr Johannsen and Mr Darrow at
 the time before Mr Darrow left. You see he left the week
 before Mrs Caplan did.

7 Q Precisely, on the 23rd? A On the 22nd, and I had 8 the code from then on.

9 Q. Now, then, you had no concern about it, you had no
10 care about Mrs Caplan at all, and you got a message in
11 the code containing Mrs Caplan's name, you say? A Yes
12 sir.

13 Where is any handwriting, anything in Mr Darrow's hand-0 14 writing, or that bears even his finger prints that shows 15 that he got up that code or gave you a code? 16 MR FORD: Objecte d to as calling for a conclusion of the 17 witness. This witness has stated as far as the actual 18 evidence is concerned, that he had a list given to him by 19 Mr Darrow personally first, and that hee copied it into 20 this book. Now, the question as to whether there was any 21 finger prints in it or anything of that sort showing 22that Mr Darrow gave it to him would be purely a matter of 23 argument to the jury, and the question is argumentative 24 and not cross-examination. I don't think it is a proper 25 form of question. Objected to on all those grounds. 26 THE COURT: Objection overruled.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

| | 3067 |
|----|--|
| 1 | A The names and the code itself I had before I had the |
| 2 | book. |
| 3 | MR ROGERS: Where is any scratch of a pen of Mr Darrow's |
| 4 | that he gave you or that you have or know where is, that |
| 5 | shows that he got up that code? A That was destroyed. |
| 6 | I haven't seen it. |
| 7 | Q Who destroyed it? A I presume I did myself. |
| 8 | Q You presume you did; when? A When I copied it into |
| 9 | the book. |
| 10 | Q When did you copy it into the book? A Sometime the |
| 11 | latter part of July. |
| 12 | Q Well, then, the latter part of July the code ap - |
| 13 | pears in your handwriting? A Yes sir. |
| 14 | Q And that is the only evidence that you know that exists |
| 15 | about that code? A At present that is all. |
| 16 | Q Do you know where we can find anything else in the |
| 17 | future about that code that is in Mr Darrow's handwriting? |
| 18 | A No sir. |
| 19 | Q Then the only evidence extant about that code is in |
| 20 | your handwriting that you know anything about, isn't that |
| 21 | so ? A yes sir. |
| 22 | MR FORD: Just a moment. I would like to have |
| 23 | MR ROGERS: Where did you get that book? |
| 24 | THE COURT: The question is withdrawn and another substi- |
| 25 | tuted. |
| 26 | MR FORD: I think there was an answer put in there. We |

Ł

have evidence that Mr Johannsen had a code. We don't $\mathbf{2}$ know whether that is in existence or destroyed. It is purely a conclusion on the part of this witness what be-Came of Mr Johannsen's code. THE COURT: If there was an answer strike it out. The question is where did you get that book? A There are two things here. We are talking about the book it-self. You referred to it as a code, and there is the names.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

| Ī | 3069 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ROGERS. I am asking the witness for a single scratch |
| 2 | of a pen of Mr. Darrow's in this code or any part of it |
| 3 | or any name connected with it. |
| 4 | A 1 haven't got it. |
| 5 | Q Who bought this book? A I did. |
| 6 | Q So Mr. Darrow didn't even buy the book that it is in? |
| 7 | A No, sir. |
| 8 | Q And the code is in your handwriting? |
| 9 | MR. FORD. Objected to on the ground it is already |
| 10 | answered. |
| 11 | THE COURT. Objection sustained. |
| 12 | MR. ROGERS. Q And the telegram came to you, didn't it? |
| 13 | A Yes, sir. |
| 14 | Q And you understood it, is that so? A I ciphered it |
| 15 | out, yes, sir . |
| 16 | THE COURT · Gentlemen |
| 17 | MR • FREDERICKS. May it please the court, before adjourning |
| 18 | 1 would like to apologize for losing my temper, something |
| 19 | that doesn't often happen with me, but 1 am only human, and |
| 20 | 1 think I owe the court an apology and I make it. |
| 21 | THE COURT. 1 feel, gentlemen, as 1 said before, that the |
| 22 | strain upon counsellors in this matter is a very great |
| 23 | one, and that in the very long strain of this trial that the |
| 24 | court should bear that in mind, and I think the attorneys |
| 25 | at the bar should bear in mind the fact that each one is |
| 26 | under great mental strain here. It is an unusual effort |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 |

| | 3070 |
|----|--|
| 1 | to present a long case of this kind, and attorneys |
| 2 | should consider that among themselves. It is a most |
| 3 | regrettable incident, and I am very glad that Captain |
| 4 | Fredericks felt like apologizing to the court voluntarily. |
| 5 | (Jury admonished; recess until 10 A.M. June 26th, |
| 6 | 1912.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |