J. D. FREDERICKS. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Dept. No. 11. Hon. Geo. H. Hutton, Judge. The People of the State of California, Plaintiff. No. 7373. VS. Clarence Darrow. Defendant. REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT. VOL. 12 INDEX. Direct. Cross. Re-D. Re-C. 930

Henry H. Flather

ls 1

- JUNE 5, 1912, 10 A.M.
- 2 | (Defendant in court with counsel. Jury called; all
- 3 present; case resumed.)
- 4 Mr. ROGERS. In reference to the cross-examination fur-
- 5 ther of the witness Franklin, the matter 1 expected to
- 6 have ready this morning, of course, is part of the founda-
- 7 tion we must lay. I haven't yet prepared it sufficiently
- 8 to go on, and I ask your Honor to let it go over until
- 9 2 o¹clock.
- 10 THE COURT . All right .
- 11 MR FREDERICKS. Probably take that time with the present
- 12 witness and better finish up with him.
- 13
- 14 HENRY H FLATHER,
- 15 on the stand for further direct examination.
- 16 MR. FORD. Mr. Flather, I attract your attention to what
- 17 purports to be a check drawn on the Riggs National Bank
- 18 by Frank Morrison in favor of Clarence Darrow, on June 13,
- 19 | 1911.
- 20 MR. ROGERS. I would like to be shown the document.
- 21 MR. FORD. I will before I introduce it.
- 22 | THE COURT. Isn,t this document the one you showed to
- 23 counsel just before we adjourned?
- 24 MR. ROGERS. I was just looking at it and counsel said
- 25 "I have to go now, I have an engagement, I will show it
- 26 to you in the morning."

- THE COURT. I thought you had seen it last night. You are
- 2 quite right.
- 3 MR. FORD. If counsel desires to look through all the
- documents 1 offer, we might take a recess for a few
- 5 minutes.
- 6 THE COURT. We will take the time.
- 7 MR. FORD. Q I now attract your attention to one of the
- 8 documents exhibited to counsel for the defense, the first
- one being what purports to be a check drawn on the Riggs
- 10 National Bank of Washington D. C. by Frank Morrison, Sec-
- 11 retary in favor of Clarence Darrow, in the sum of \$15,000.
- MR. APPEL. I wish you wouldn't read the check. A person
- ought always to refrain from that as much as possible.
- 14 THE COURT. Objection sustained.
- MR. FORD. I attract your attention to a document which
- 16 purports to be check No. 2, without describing it. Have
- 17 you sever seen that before?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q State whether or not that was ever paid by your bank?
- 20 MR. APPEL. Wait a moment. We object upon the ground--
- 21 let us do this so as to save repeated objections. Your
- Honor, we object to the -- I suppose you are going to offer
- 23 all of these checks?
- $24\,\,$ MR. FORD. Yes, we are going to offer them all.
- 25 MR. APPEL. So 1 can make one objection and avoid interrup-
- 26 tions and so on. I will object then--

1 MR . FORD. That is, all the checks in favor of the 2 defendant. 3 MR. APPEL. All the checks in favor of the defendant, yes; 4 so we will understand. 1 object to the introduction of the alleged checks in evidence in this case upon the 5 6 ground that they are incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial for any purpose whatsoever. We admit the signature onthe 7 back of those checks to have been made by Mr. Darrow. the 8 9 defendant, your Honor. We will admit that the signature of the drawer of those checks is that of Mr. Morrison, al-10 ready mentioned by the witness on the stand. We will admit. 11 your Honor, that those checks were, inthe course of business, 12 paid by the bank, of which the witness here is an official, 13 and at the date stamped there as having been paid by the 14 bank, but we do object to the materiality and the reason 15 1 will state my reasonsso we 16 why we do that is this: will understand the objection and so there will be no 17 covert meaning to it -- we may be wrong, of course. We 18 object to the introduction of those checks because 19 they do not tend to prove any facts in this except that 20 Mr. Darrow, in the course of business, received some money; 21 that it does not tend in any way to identify the money 22 in question. That it does not connect the passing of that 23 money from Mr. Darrow to Mr. Franklin; that the writing on 24 these checks cannot possibly identify the particular money; 25

that it tends in a manner to contradict the evidence of the

prosecution already introduced in evidence here, but, of course, that is not the point, simply by way of illustration. The writing there does not in any way identify the money. Of course, if your Honor please, if a man is charged with having given money to another one to bribe a witness, the prosecution would not be allowed to show that fof six or seven months before this man had been putting money in his bank. They would be allowed to show that he drew money from the bank on the particular day or a day or two before or somewhere so close to the transaction, so as to show that he had ability to do that, but, your Honor, that is all right because to show that he had the ability to pay that money. I admit that, but your Honor will see that the whole business transactions of the defendant in respect to receipts of money and so on would not be allowed. All we could be allowed to show is that about the time that the transaction occurred that he had the ability to carry on that transaction by showing that he had money on hand, but to go here and disclose private transactions of every kind, and under which to show the law must be assumed in the absence of evidence entirely conclusions, in the lawful carrying on of his business. I say it is not material. Why should we be held here, your Honor, because they charge the defendant here with having passed money over to Franklin for the purposes named in this indictment? Why should we be held to

1

2

3

4

.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the stand and make an accounting of every nickel and 1 every cent that Mr. Darrow has ever had for several months 2 before that? The district attorney, the prosecution 3 ought to do this. He ought to select some particular 4 transaction. Now, the checks themselves are not evidence 5 against him to identify the money, the source from which 6 they came is material, your Honor. What difference does 7 it make, let me suggest this and we will not argue it any 8 more, what difference does it make, your Honor, whether 9 1 got money from the president of the United States or from 10 any one else. Put it in my pocket and deliver it to 11 Franklin to go and bribe a juror in a case I am trying. 12 The question is not whether I got it from Tom, Dick and 13 warry; the question is not whether those men or those 14 people who paid it to me actually paid it to me, that is 15 not involved in the issue. The question is whether I fur-16 nished it as an item of evidence showing my consent to the 17 perpetration of the crime; it is an incident only because 18 even if no money had been furnished, if he had been asked 19 to do it and he had done it with whatever moneys he had 20 obtained from any source, the guilt of the defendant would 21 have been established just as well. But, the introduc-22 tion of this evidence here, and I do not wish to state it --23it seems to me it is for the purpose of showing your Honor 24 that some other persons connected with the def_ense were 25

furnishing the money, which is immaterial inthis case.

What difference does it make whether Frank Morrison sent any 1 money to Mr. Darrow or not? It doesn't prove that Darrow 2 gave that money to Franklin. The sole question, it seems 3 to me, would be, did Mr. parrow have the money on the day 4 in question, did he have the ability to pay Mr. Franklin? 5 Not from what source he got it, not who gave it to him; 6 the fact that Morrison gave him money does not tend to show 7 that Mr. Darrow is guilty, the fact that he got it from 8 other sourcesdoes not tend to show that he is guilty. The 9 question is, did he use it for the purposes named inthe 10 indictment. It is too remote, if your Honor please, and 11 your Honor will see by an inspection of these documents 12 they run for months and months back, your Honor, and here 13 We will have to go upon the stand and give an account of 14 every cent. Must we have an accounting, your Honor? 15 THE COURT. Let me look at them. (Witness hands documents 16 to court.) 17 MR. FREDERICKS. Now, may it please the Court--18 THE COURT. (Interrupting) Just a moment. 1 am going to 19 hear you, but just one moment. 20 MR. FREDERICKS. Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT. (After examining documents.) Proceed, Captain 22 Fredericks. 23 MR. FREDERICKS. This is generally an argument and discus-

sion as to the admissibility of all of the checks contained

there sent to Mr. Darrow the defendant in this case.

24

25

there is no effort or aim or object on the part of the prosecution to connect any one in the east, as counsel has intimated, with this matter at all.

3-P1 We are simply trying Clarence Darrow, the defendant in this 2 case, and do not wish to bring anyone in except those that are necessary. So much for that. Now, we are showing --3 4 let us assume, for instance, a set of circumstances. Suppose all of these checks together would show that the party 5 6 who is furnishing the funds for the defense of the McNamara case was sending all his money -- the amount is over a hun-7 8 dred thousand dollars -- to Clarence Darrow. Suppose these 9 checks would show that all of those checks were deposited by Clarence Darrow in the regular order and due course of 10 11 business in his bank in Los Angeles except one. Suppose 12 the evidence would then show that the one check was taken by him to a foreign city and that he there secured bills for 13 that check. Now, the materiality of the transaction would 14 then be apparent. We are tracing -- We may assure counsel 15 that we are tracing but the one, but it is competent and it 16 is material to show that that one was handled in the manner 17 and in the way entirely different from the handling of the 18 great bulk of the funds, and was handled in a way to con-19 ceal the transaction and protect the defendant, and for that 20 reason, the entire account of the checks in this matter be-21 comes pertinent and competent in this case, and I hardly 22 think counsel will seriously argue the right of the admissi-23 bility of these checks in this manner. It is rather element-24 al. but in fairness. I wish to call the attention of the 25 Court to what might make these things material and state 26

they are material and will be material on the proper theory 1 2 of the case. MR FORD: I just wanted to add one thing. I think it is 3 necessary to get down to elements sometimes. The fact that 4 the defendant caused a specific check to be cashed in an-5 other city and the proceeds to be brought here -- testimony 6 of those who had seen that transaction done, would be direct 7 evidence. We have a right to show by indirect evidence the 8 existence of the same facts by proving other things. Section 9 1832 -- or 1831 first, says: "Direct evidence is that which 10 proves the fact in dispute directly without the inference or 11 presumption, and whichin itself , if true, conclusively es-12 tablishes that fact." For example, if the fact in dispute 13 be an agreement, the evidence of a witness who was present 14 and witnessed the making of it is direct, and if that witness 15 statement is true, then it conclusively establishes the fact 16 that that agreement was executed. Section 1852: "Indirect 17 evidence is that which tends to establish the fact in dispute 18 by proving another and which though true does not of itself 19 conclusively establish that fact, but which arouses an infer-20 ence or presumption of its existence." For example, from 21 the code, a witness proves an admission of the parties to 22 the fact in dispute. This proves the fact from which the 23 fact in dispute is inferred. That is, if the defendant said 24such a thigg is true, that admission is not the point in 25 dispute, but the jury may infer from the fact that the 26

1 defendant said it was true, that it naturally was true.

2

4

5

8

9

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

4P

As in this case, the fact that the defendant, in the ordinary

3 course of his business, put all of his checks in the banks in

Los Angeles, with the exception of one, and took that other

one away to a place where it was not likely to be discovered

by the authorities and caused it to be cashed and currency

got for that, does not in itself conclusively establish the

got for that, does not in itself conclusively establish the

fact that that money was used for bribe money, but it arouses

an inference or presumption and it is proved by a fact from

which the jury may draw the inference or presumption that

that money was not going to be used in an honest manner and

for bribery, although, as the code says, indirect evidence

may not of itself conclusively establish that fact. Now, the

jury has the right to draw inferences and presumptions. In-

direct evidence is classified by Section 1957 of the Penal

16 Code as being of two kinds, inference and presumption. An

inference, defined by Section 1958 is a deduction which the

reason of the jury makes from the facts proved without the

express direction of law to that effect. But there are some

inferences which the law directs must be drawn, and those are

when presumptions -- and presumption is a deduction which the

law expressly directs to be made from particular facts. And

Section 1960 says: " An inference must be founded on a fact

24 legally proved and on such a deduction from that fact as is

warranted by a consideration of the usual propensities or

passions of men or particular propensities or passions of

the person whose act is in question, the course of business, or the course of nature.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

2 3 4 SmNow, we don't contend this particular bit of evidence in it-5 self is the whole proof on the subject. It cannot be. 6 have a right to introduce partial evidence, subject to its 7 being stricken out later. If we do not connect it up later. 8 Section 1834 of the Code of Civil Procedure provides as fol-9 (Reading) "Partial evidence is that which goes to lows: 10 establish a detached fact in a series, tending to the fact 11 in dispute". The ultimate fact in dispute here is the fact 12 whether or not the defendant gave this money to Mr Franklin 13 with the intention to bribe Lockwood. Now, we are attempting 14 to prove that he received a certain piece of money and used 15 it for that particular purpose, and we are going to show that 16 headid his business ordinarily in a certain manner, and that 17 he departed at this particular transaction. That draws an 18 inference, which although not connected, taken in connection 19 with the other evidence in the case, will, we believe, estab-20 lish the fact. (Reading) "Partial evidence is that which 21 goes to establish a detached fact in a series, tending 22 to the fact in dispute. It may be received subject to being 23 rejected as incompetent, unless connected with the fact in 24 dispute by proof of other facts." Which we avow our in-25 tention of doing in this case. (Reading, authority further) 26

1 Now, we intend to place this money in the hands of the 2 defendant, all of it. We intend to show the menner in which he ordinarily disposed of it. We intend to show the 3 exception to that course of ordinary business on one parti-4 cular check, and we shall endeavor, and intend to trace the 5 proceeds of that particular check back into the hands of 6 this defendant through him to Mr Franklin, ultimately to 7 Lockwood. 8 the MR APPEL: 9 That is A very argument of counsel to support my objection. The provision of the code the gentleman has 10 read, your Honor, applied to civil cases. Now, it is true 11 12 that the rule of evidence in criminal and civil cases are 13 the same excepting in those instances, your Honor. the question of presumptions come in. No inference or pre-14 sumption sare allowed against the defendant. No one ought 15 to argue that a series of presumptions ought to convict 16 That is not good law, your Honor. 17 18 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Now, here is the proposition. A man goes down here and in the habit of cashing checks, say, at the International Bank. He gets drafts and checks in the course of his business and goes down here to the International Bank and he cashes them there. It is close to his office. I have very often done that when the very few checks came to me . It was close to my office, but if I happen to have a check in my pocket and I should go down the street to the First National Bank, and I remembered I had got to go a little further over to the Title Insurance and Trust Company, which is below that, and I said, "Well, they know me here in this bank, I had better cash this check in here, " and go over there with the money and cash that check in there, and then counsel would want to show that all of my checks prior to that time, that I had cashed them in the International Bank, a perfectly innocent transaction in itself, and then because I did a perfectly innocent t ransaction in cashing another check in the First National Bank, then he comes up with this as a detached fact from a series of facts and the code says, "from a series of facts connected with the case." It doesn't say "series of facts detached and unconnected from the case." The gentleman better read that section and ponder over it a little more. I happen to catch it as he read it, because, your Honor, I happened to go in the First National Bank, as I said, and cash a check, that they then must show all my conduct from the time I

1 Was born up to the time I cashed that check at the First 2 National Bank and tell this jury, AH! why didn't he go 3 and follow the customary course of his business? Ah! 4 must infer that this was for criminal purposes, so I say it 5 is so far fetched -- whatdifference does it make that this 6 gentleman, with all their avowals and propositions here to 7 exproved, that they have in hand, they say we propose to 8 trace this, we propose to do that, then, your Honor if they 9 have that evidence, what is the object of getting inferences 10 and presumptions? Come up with the facts and proof. 11 Prove the facts clear and directly. What difference does 12 it make? take the check you are speaking of. Now, say, 13 here, this check was cashed at a different place; cashed 14 for Mr. parrow, \$100,000 say. He put it in his pocket, he 15 came down to Los Angeles and gave a part of it to Franklin. 16 Show that that money he gave to Franklin, as you allege, 17 is the same money he received in Philadelphia or in Chicago 18 or received it in Los Angeles, or received it anywhere, if you can identify it. What difference does it make, I say, 19 where he got it from or how he got it? Now, if your 20 21 Honor please, 1 say that is too remote. They want to show 22 this disconnected detached thing. They want to show here 23 that Mr. Darrow had three or four hundred thousand dollars in his hand and then they say now, come over on the stad 2425 and show us what you did with every cent of that account... That the burden must not 26

1 sav it isn't right.

shift

1 to the defendant to show his innocence. That any guilt 2 or fact which tends to show guilt is admissible against 3him, it is true, but how the cashing of a check at one 4 place, the mere act of going into a bank and getting a check 5 cashed, show any criminality. Does that show that parti-6 cular money was used by him? Show it was removed every 7 time and place. I submit, if your Honor please, that these 8 other circumstances, not being material, should not be 9 material because one circumstance is material. I submit, 10 your Honor, it is proper evidence. 11 MR . FORD. If the Court please, there are some new things 12 brought out by the argument of counsel --13 THE COURT. Mr. Ford, counsel objected and he has the closing. 14 We must have an end to argument here somewhere. I think 15 the point has been fully presented. 16 MR . FREDERICKS. I think the counsel's argument was to the 17 weight of the testimony and not to its admissibility . 18 THE COURT. We must proceed orderly. When counsel makes 19 an objection he has a right to the opening and closing. 20 We cannot go on indefinitely. Read the question. 21 (Last question read by the reporter.) 22 2324

25

3

9

11

20

24

26

6-S

- MR APPEL: We have admitted a lot of those things to aid the prosecution in laying the foundation. I suppose really
- the question is now --THE COURT: There is no objection that there is no foundation 4
- laid. 5
- MR APPEL: No.
- 6 THE COURT: The question is clearly a question of materiality. 7
- MR APPEL: And we thought we would have that decided and 8
- THE COURT: Seems to me, you get the ruling that this 10

argument calls for the prosecution should offer the book.

- Not until we lay the foundation. 12
- THE COURT: Counsel has admitted the foundation. 13
- MR FORD: I seriously doubt that counsel can admit for his 14 15 client --
- THE COURT: Go on, and I will reserve the ruling until it is 16 offered in evidence. 17

the same admissions that I have made.

then we will not interrupt.

- MR APPEL: Mr Darrow here is an attorney in the case, and 18 the defendant, and he has stated in open court that he makes 19
- MR FORD: He does now, your Honor. 21
- MR APPEL: I have admitted for him under due consideration. 22
 - I would not do it --23 If there is any doubt about it, Mr Darrow has THE COURT:
 - made the same statement that Mr Appel made. 25 MR FORD: There are a large number of other checks there

that have no bearing on the case. I will have to direct 1 the attention of the witness to it, and I may state also, 2 your Honor, we are not going to offer this book in evidence. 3 but merely offer it for the purpose of being identified, and 4 will then submit photographs of it. The documents do not 5 belong to us, unless the defendant objects, of course. 6 I will attract your attention to check number 2; 7 check number 3; check number 5; check number 9; check number 8 15: check number 17: check number 24: check number 30: 9 check number 50; check number 52; check number 56; check 10 number 70: check number 77: check number 80: check number 83: 11 check number 89 and check number 92. I will ask you to 12 state whether or not each and all of the checks to which 13 your attention has been called were received by your bank 14 in the ordinary course of business, the stamps on the backs 15 thereof, placed there by your bank at the time they were re-16 ceived and paid in the ordinary course of business. I am 17 making it generally in that form covering your objection. 18 LR APPEL: We have admitted all that. 19 THE COURT: Those facts have all been admitted by Mr Darrow 20 personally and counsel. Let the witness answer. 21 MR FREDERICKS: The witness may answer, yes. A They have 22 IR FORD: And at the time that they were received were the 23 indorsements on the back of each check, with the exception

of your own bank indorsement, on the checks at that time?

24

25

26

A

They were.

```
And the indorsements, or rubber stamp --
1
   Q
```

- MR APPEL: We admit that all the indorsements were there 2which are usually the indorsements, your Honor, and that 3 their last indorsement was made by them in the course of 4 business when they paid it, by marking it "Paid", and with 5
- the date of it. 6
- MR FREDERICKS: Let the witness swear to it, then. 7
- MR DARROW: I object to that statement of counsel. 8
- MR APPEL: He said to my claent. "you would not admit it if 9
- xxx we could not prove it", and loud enough for the jury to 10
- hear it. Now. I know the Captain don't mean to do Mr Dar-11
- row any injury, but I just call the attention to that --12
- MR FREDERICKS: Nobody would have heard it. It was a little 13
- colloquy between ourselves, and I assumed that the defendant 14
- was man'enough, and had stamina enough in him, and manhood 15
- enough to have a private conversation with a man he was
- 16
- talking to and not try to bawl it out and make capital out 17
- of it, when it meant absolutely nothing, that is what I as-18
- sume. 19
- MR DARROW: I bbject to that statement and am going to al-20
- lege it as error, anything he said to me was said for the 21
- purpose of influencing someone, and he is nearer the jury 22
- than he is to me, and he has no right to make any such re-23
- marks. 24
- MR FREDERICKS: I will submit not one man on that jury heard 25
- it. 26

MR DARROW: I don't know whether they did or did not, but anyway, you have no right to make that remark.

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 7s 1 MR. APPEL. His manner of saying his last remark we assign
 - 2 as error, and we ask in case of any necessity that we
 - 3 | should remember the manner of the district attorney here.
 - 4 He should not address the defendant in that manner, your
 - 5 Honor.
 - 6 MR. FREDERICKS. The defendant is an attorney inthe case.
 - 7 MR . APPEL. He should not tell this jury, he ought to be man
 - 8 enough to do this and do that. That is not right. That
 - 9 is taking advantage of a man that is here on trial. I dare
 - 10 say, the gentleman probably would not say that to other
 - 11 people, although I have great confidence in Mr. Fredericks
 - 12 and in his manhood, but I don't think it is right. I think
 - 13 | it is taking advantage. I think it is cowardly to do that.
 - 14 I think so.

p

- 15 MR. FREDERICKS. I will take care of my part of it.
- 16 THE COURT. Gentlemen, just a moment.
- 17 MR. FREDERICKS. I am not playing the baby act.
- 18 MR. APPEL. Well, you are inferring that we are, and I will
- 19 tell you that I am just as much of a man as you are, I know
- 20 | you and I am not afraid of you.
- 21 THE COURT. Mr. Appel!
- 22 MR. APPEL. I have seen--
- 23 THE COURT. MR. Appel! Be seated or I will instruct the
- 24 bailiff to seat you.
- 25 MR. APPEL. He is referring to me.
- 26 THE COURT. I am going to dispose of this. Sit down.

MR. FREDERICKS. I am not referring to anybody.

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT. Let me take care of this now. Gentlemen, the court has frequently admonished counsel on both sides in this case to address their remarks to the court. Personally 1 did not hear of the remarks of Captain Fredericks until they were stated by counsel. Had I heard them or been aware of the fact I should certainly have reprimanded counsel for making any remarks. The remarks are entirely out of place, irrespective of their purport, their purport makes them still further out of place, and 1 am going to be content at this time with admonishing counsel, and this is the last admonition I am going to give to counsel on either side in this case, that any remarks made while court is in session must be made to the court. If necessary the court will translate them from the defendant to the prosecution and from the prosecution to the defendant. This is not a place and not a condition for any side remarks to be made by any counsel in this case. Address your remarks to the court. This incident has proved the necessity for absolutely enforcing that rule. It is seldom enforced in court, but it will be strictly and absolutely enforced against counsel on either side, no matter how trivial the side remark may be, it will not be permitted in this case. This incident has demonstrated the necessity for a very strict enforcement of that rule and it will be strictly enforced as against both sides, and I trust,

Gentlemen, you will govern yourselves accordingly.

The jury is admonished and directed to disregard the statements made by counsel at this time for any purpose whatever.

MR. FREDERICKS' I want the record to show, may it please the court, that my talk with counsel on the other side was in a subdued tone of voice and intended for counsel.

8 THE COURT. The record already shows that fact by my state-9 ment that 1 did not hear it.

MR. FREDERICKS. And intended as a pleasantry, and without any illfeeling at all.

12 THE COURT Let us have no more pleasantries.

MR. APPEL. I would not want the record to show the fact that the remark is not denied. I suppose I can show by every man on this side they heard it, and they are further away from him than the jury, and I do not want the record to show that to my mind the remark was not heard.

THE COURT. The record shows that I did not hear that remark and counsel can avail themselves of that and further than that I cannot go.

MR. FORD. If the court please, at this time I desire to offer in evidence the documents concerning which the witness has just now testified, being documents or what purport to be checks number 2, 3, 5, 9, 15, 17, 24, 13, 50, 52, 56, 70, 77, 80, 83, 89, 92.

MR. APPEL. We make this objection. We object to the offer

as made and to all of the instruments offered inevidence 1 as a whole and to each and every one of them individually, 2 on the ground that they are incompetent, irrelevant and 3 immaterial, hearsay, and not proof against this defendant 4 of any fact in dispute; upon the further ground that the 5 documents in evidence have not been -- we waived that before, 6 your Honor, what I was going to say--upon the further 7 fact that the introduction of the checks in evidence 8 would be against the provisions of the constitution; 9 would be denying the deferant the right to be confronted 10 with the witnesses that he may cross-examine them; that 11 the writings themselves are not evidence of the facts. 12 alleged to exist and which counsel on the other side 13 undertakes to prove, and your Honor, we call your attention 14 to just one decision upon that. 15 THE COURT. 1 am considering all of the argument you made 16 a few minutes as having a direct bearing upon this ques-17 tion, but the Court will hear you further. 18 MR. APPEL. Just one decision to submit, to especially 19 the last point. In the 64 North Carolina reportupage 20 74; this is cited by approval of Mr. Wharton and followed 21 by other United States decisions, and I only wish to 22 read this as being a case directly in point. (Reading) 23 "In the course of the trial the state offered inevidence 24 the books of the North Carolina Railroad Company at Thomas-25 ville Station in order to show that certain cotton, in regard 26

to which it was alleged that perjury had been committed, had been received by the def endant. It was shown that the entries were inthe hand of one Lea, a former agent of the company at that station, and were in reference to the ordinary business transactions of the corporation to which thev belong. The death of Lea was not shown, but that he was living a short time previously in the state of Missouri. The defendant objected to their introduction. The objection was overruled, and the defendant excepted." Now, the court says: "For the purpose of showing that the cotton, in regard to which the perjury is charged to have been committed, was received by the def endant, the books of the North Carolina Railroad Company at Thomasvi'le Station were offered inevidence. It was shown that the entries were inthe handwriting of one Lea, a former agent of the company at said station, and were in reference to the ordinary business transactions of the corporation. The death of Lea was not shown; but that he was living a short time previous in the State of Missouri. The evidence was objected to by the defendant; objection overruled; and the defendant excepted. We must assume that the entries furnished material evidence, and that Lea was living and was absent from the state. We take occasion to say that it was the duty of his Honor to pass upon this fact, and to set it out as a fact, and the recital of the evidence from which he made the inference a fact, is superfluous and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

irregular. It is a cherished rule of the common law, that in trials by jury the witnesses shall be openly examined and cross-examined, in the presence of the parties and of the jury.

1 "An exception is made in regard to dying declarations, but 9-P 2 this exception is restricted to indictments for homicide 3 against the party who caused the death, and is based on the maxim, 'No man shall take advantage of his own wrong'. 4 5 relaxation of the rule is also made, so as to admit in evi-6 dence what a witness who is dead swore on a former trial before a jury, or a committing magistrate; upon the ground that 7 8 the accused had the benefit of consulting the witness, and of the cross-examination, and is only deprived of one test 9 10 of truth, the presence of the witness before the jury, which 11 loss was caused by the act of God. In the case before us. it was material on the part of the State to prove the del-12 ivery of the cotton to the defendant at Thomasville. 13 14 make this proof, the presence of the witness was necesary (1), that he might be put under the obligation of an oath. 15 (2), that the jury might note his looks and demeanor, 16 (3), that the defendant might confront him with other witness-17 cs. and (4), that the defendant might cross-examine him. 18 Constitution Art. 1, Sec. 11 : 'In all criminal prosecutions 19 every man has a right to be informed of the accusation against 20 him, and to confront the accusers and witnesses with other 21 We take it that the word 'confront' does not witnesses." 22 simply secure to the accused the privilege of examining 23 24witnesses in his behalf, but is in affirmance of the rule of the common law, that in trials by jury, the witness must be 25present before the jury and accused, so that he may be con-26

fronted, that is, put face to face. Upon the trial, it 1 being proved that Lea was absent and not within the reach 2 of the process of the court, all of these safeguards which 3 the law has provided for the purpose of excluding falsehood. 4 in favor with one charged with an infamous crime, are by 5 the ruling of his Honor, put out of the way; and entries 6 made by Lea in the books of the railroad company are ad-7 mitted to prove the delivery of the cotton in the stead of --8 the solemn oath of Lea subjected to the tests of truth or-9 dained by the law of the land. Whether the entries would 10 be inadmissible as evidence, and proof of the death of Lea, 11 is a question not now presented. We are satisfied that the 12 entries were not admissible, and proof of Lea's absence from 13 the State. If such was the law, it would be infinitely 14 better for persons accused of crime to consent to have the 15 depositions of witnesses who are absent from the State read 16 in evidence; for they would thus secure the safeguards of 17 an oath, and of a cross-examination, and be deprived only of 18 the safeguard of confronting the witness in the presence of 19 the jury. And yet, neither the Chansellor, according to 20 the practice in England of issuing commissions to take depo-21 sitions of witnesses residing abroad, nor the Legislature. 22 in passing statutes for the same purpose, have ever supposed 23 that they had the power to deprive the accused of his rights 24 to confront his accusers and their witnesses before the jury. 25 As the trial was donducted, the defendant has, in this point, 26

been deprived of the safeguards provided by law in the favor of life and liberty, and therefore has not been con-victed according to law. There is error. This will be cortified to the end. &c."

3

4

5

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

Honor?

Now, these checks are signed by Frank Morrison; we have admitted that. But, Frank Morrison is not here to testify that the proceeds of those checks in any way, shape or manner were intended for any particular purposes, or reached, in

are the written word of Mr Morrison, but he is not on the 6 stand and what he said then, wherever he wrote that check, 7

fact or reality, the hands of Mr Darrow, and these checks

is just the same as if he had written a letter stating to Mr Fredericks: "This is a fact", and they undertook to

introduce the letter in evidence. It is hearsay. I submit

it, if your Honor please. 11 12 MR FORD: If the Court please --

THE COURT: Let me see that case. I want to read one part 13

overruled. IR APPEL: We except.

of it you read. (Counsel hands book to Court) Objection

LR FORD: The documents are admitted in evidence, your

THE COURT: Yes.

IN FORD: I desire to read them into the record at this time. (Reading): "No.2. Washington, D C. June 13, 1911. The

Riggs National Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the order of Clarence Darrow, Fifteen Thousand no/100 ,\$15,000.00,"

signed "Frank Morrison, Secy., Special No.5." Which document 24 is indorsed as follows, in pen and ink; "Clarence Darrow". 25

Rubber stamp indorsement "Pay National Metropolitan Bank,

scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- 1 Washington, D C, or order, prior endorsements guaranteed
- 2 Merch & Mech Savings Bank. William C Worthington, Cashier."
- 3 Rubber stamp endorsement "National Metropolitan Bank, June
- 4 19, 1911, prior endorsements guaranteed, Washington, D.C."
- 5 Rubber stamp endorsement: "Pay to the order of any bank or
- 6 banker, Jun 16 1911, Western Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago.
- 7 Harry R Moore, Cashier." Rubber stamp endorsement "Pay
- 8 any Bank or Trust Company or Order prior endorsements
- 9 guaranteed, The Continental Trust Company, Baltimore, Md.,
- 10 | F C Dreyer, Treas."
- 11 No. 3. Washington D.C, July 8th, 1911.
- 12 Pay to the order of Clarence Darrow -- Riggs National Bank,
- 13 formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the order of Clarence Darrow.
- 14 Fifteen Thousand mo.100 \$15,000.00." Signed "Frank Morri-
- 15 | son, Secy. Special No.5." Endorsed "Clarence Darrow in
- 16 ink. Again endorsed "Clarence Darrow, Trustee", in ink.
- 17 Rubber stamp endorsement "240 Pay to the order of the First
- 18 National Bank, Los Angeles, Cal. Prior endorsements guar-19 anteed. Equitable Savings Bank, Los Angeles, Cal. J C Carey,
- 19 anteed. Equitable Savings Bank, Los Angeles, Cal. J C Car
- 20 Assistant Cashier." Rubber Stamp endorsement "Pay any
- 21 Bank or Banker, prior endorsements guaranteed, July 13, 1911,
- 22 First National Bank, Los Angeles, Cal. W T Hammond, Cashier."
- 23 Rubber stamp endorsement "All prior endorsements guaranteed.
- 24 Pay any Bank or Banker or Order July 18, 1911, The Fourth
- 25 Street National Bank of Philadelphia, Pa, D 3 0. R J Clark,
- 11-P26 Cashier". Rubber stamp endorsement, letter "B" in a circle.

Rubber stamp endorsement "3", with the date "July 13, 1911" 1 in a circle. 2 "No. 5. Washington D.C. July 15. 1911. The Riggs 3 Hational Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the order of 4 Clarence Darrow, Ten Thousand no/100 \$10,000.00". Signed 5 "Frank Morrison, Secy. Special No.5." Endorsed in ink 6 "Clarence Darrow". Rubber stamp endorsement "Pay any Bank 7 or Trust Co or order, prior endorsements guaranteed. Jul 25, 8 1911, The Commercial National Bank of Los Angeles. Newman 9 Essick, Cashier." Rubber stamp endorsement "All previous 10 endorsements guaranteed. Pay to the order of any Bank or 11 Banker. Girard Mational Bank, Philadelphia, Joseph Wain, Jr., 12 Cashier. August 1, 1911." "Pay to the order of Girard 13 Commercial National Bank, Philadelphia, Pa. July 31, 1911, 14 all prior endorsements guaranteed. First National Bank of 15 Pittsburg, Pa. F H Richard, Cashier." 16 "No. 9. Washington, D C. July -- I beg pardon; on 17 check No.2 I omitted to read, if you will go back to that, 18 in red ink on the face of the check "Not over \$15,000." 19 On check No.5, on the face of the check, a rubber stamp 20 endorsement "No. 2, 1911, T." 21 "Check No.9. Washington, D.C. July 31, 1911. 22 Riggs Rational Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the order 23 of Clarence Darrow Fifteen Thousand and no/100 \$15,000.00. 24Signed "Frank Morrison, Special No.5" On face of check 25

appears in red ink the words Written "Not over \$15,000".

- Endorsed "Clarence Darrow", in ink; endorsed "C S Darrow, 1
- Trustee", in ink. Endorsed in rubber stamp "Pay any Bank 2
- or Trust Co or order, prior endorsements guaranteed, Aug 8 3
- 1911 Commercial National Bank of Los Angeles. Newman Essick
- 4
- Cashier." Endorsed rubber stamp "Pay to the order of 5
- Girard National Bank, Philadelphia Pa, Aug 14 1911, all prior
- endorsements guaranteed. First Mational Bank of Pittsburg, 7
- Pa. F H Richard. Cashier." Endorsed rubber stamp" All prev-8 ious endorsements guaranteed. Pay to the order of any Bank 9
- or Banker, Girard National Bank, Philadelphia. Joseph Wain, 10
- Cashier. Aug 15 1911." 11

}-₽-

- "No.15. Washington, D C. Aug 3rd 1911. Riggs National 12
- Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the order of Clarence 13
- Darrow Twenty-five Thousand and no/100 \$25,000.00"; signed 14
- "Frank Morrison. Secy. Special No.5." On face of check in 15
- red ink is written "Not over \$25,000". Endorsed "Clarence 16
- Darrow" in ink; endorsed "C 3 Darrow, Trustee" in ink; 17
- endorsed rubber stamp "240 Pay to the order of the First 18
- National Bank of Los Angeles, Cal., Aug 8 1911, Equitable 19
- Savings Bank, Los Angeles, Cal. J G Carey, Cashier. "
- 20 Endorsed "Pay any Bank or Banker, previous andorsements
- guaranteed. Aug 8, 1911. First Mational Bank, Los Angeles,
- 22
- Cal. W T Hammond, Cashier." Endorsed rubber stamp "All 23
- prior endorsements guaranteed. Tay any Bank or Banker or 24
- order Aug 14 1911. Fourth Street National Bank of Philadel-25
- phia. 3-39 R F Clark, Cashier. 5-39." 26

1	"Mo.17. Washington, D C, Aug 17 1911. Riggs National
$_2$	Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the order of Clarence
3	Darrow \$10,000, Ten Thousand and no/100. Frank Morrison,
$_4$	Secy. Special No.5." Written in red ink across the
5	face of the check: "Not over \$10,000." Endorsed in ink
6	"Clarence Darrow"; in ink, "C S Darrow, Trustee". Rubber
7	stamp endorsement: "Equitable Savings Bank of Los Angeles,
8	Cal." "Pay to the order of Continental and Commercial
9	National Bank 6240 of Chicago, Illinois, 6240, Aug 7 1911.
10	All prior endorsements guaranteed. Central National Bank.
11	This is rather confused. Do you want to read this?
12	IR AFPEL: I am not familiar with it. I don't know how.
13	MR FORD: Endorsements "Continental & Commercial National
14	Bank of Chicago, Nathaniel R Losch, Cashier." Endorsed
15	rubber stamp "Pay to the order of Philadelphia National
16	Bank, Bhiladelphia, Pa. Continental & Commercial National
17	Bank of Chicago. Nathaniel R Losch, Cashier."
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 13s1 "No. 24, Washington, D. C., Aug. 18, 1911. The Riggs
 - National Bank, formerly Riggs & Co., Pay to the order of 2
 - 3 Clarence Darrow Ten thousand oo/100 Dollars, \$10,000 00/100.
 - Frank Morrison, Secy. Special No. 5." in red ink on the 4

 - face of the check, "Not over Ten thousand Dollars." Endorsed 5
 - in ink, "Clarence Darrow. Clarence Darrow, Trustee. 6
 - Equitable Savings Bank, Ruby Wood, pay any bank or banker 7
 - Aug. 22, all prior endorsements guaranteed, the Citizens 8
 - National Bank of Los Angeles, Cal., W. Woods, Cashier." 9
 - "No. 30. Washington, D.C., Aug. 21, 1911. The Riggs 10
 - Mational Bank, formerly Riggs & Co., Pay to the order of 11
 - Clarence Darrow, Ten Thousand/Dollars ! / \$10.000 00/100ths." 12
 - Signed, "Frank Morrison, Secy. Special No. 5." Endorsed 13

 - in ink, "Clarence Darrow." Another endorsement in ink, 14
 - "O. A. Tveitmoe, Treasurer, defense fund State B. T. C." 15
 - Rubber stamp endorsement, "Pay to the order of Riggs 16
 - National Bank, Washington, D.C., the Anglo & London Paris 17
 - National Bank, 11-17 San Francisco, Cal., 11-17. And 18
 - in pencil mark, -pencil writing, the letters, "O.K."
 - and the following figures in column, "46-" 20
 - MR. APPEL. We object to that as not being part of the check! 21
 - 22 hearsay, incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial.
 - MR. FORD. It is an endorsement that is upon it, whatever 23
 - it may be. 24

- THE COURT. Let me see it. 25
- MR .APPEL. 1 add to my objection there is no foundation 26

- 1 laid for any reading of that pencil memorandum on the
- 2 back of the check in question; it is hearsay.
- 3 MR. FORD. 1 will state frankly to the court 1 don't know
- 4 the significance of it, but it is there onthe check and
- 5 perhaps is absolutely immaterial, but as long as it is there
- 6 we want it read into the record. I don't see any sig-
- 7 nificance in it myself at all one way or the other.
- 8 THE COURT. Let me see it, in view of the special objec-
- 9 tion. Appears to be a mere pencil memorandum having no
- 10 | reference whatever to the figures or to the matter under
- 11 | consideration. Objection sustained.
- 12 MR. FORD. The document itself is in evidence, your Honor.
- 13 Whatever may be upon it I simply want the record to show
- 14 what the document was, was the only object in reading it.
- 15 THE COURT. The pencil memorandum apparently is no part
- 16 of the document.

- 17 MR. FORD. I would like to interrogate the witness at this
- 18 point--well, I will do that later.
- 19 "No. 50. Washington, D.C. Sept. 2, 1911. The Riggs
- 20 | Mational Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the Order of
- 21 Clarence Darrow, Ten thousand and 00/100 Dollars,"
- 22 figures, \$10,000 00/100", signed, "Frank Morrison, Secy.
- 23 | Special No. 5." Endorsed in ink, "Clarence Darrow."
- 24 Endorsed, rubber stamp, "Pay any bank or Trust Co. or
- order, prior endorsements guaranteed. Sept. 8, 1911, Com
 - order, prior endorsements guaranteed. Dept. o, 1912,

- 1 Endorsed, "Pay to the order of Girard National Bank,
- 2 Philadelphia, Pa. all prior endorsements guaranteed. Sept.
- 3 13, 1911, The First National Bank of Pittsburg, Pa.
- F. H. Richard, Cashier." Endorsed, rubber stamp, "All 4
- 5 previous endorsements guaranteed. Pay to the Order of any
- bank or banker, Girard National Bank, Philadelphia, Fa., 6
- 7 Joseph Wayne, Jr., Cashier. Sept. 14, 1911."
- "No. 52. Washington, D.C. Sept. 9, 1911. The Riggs 8
- National Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the Order of 9
- Clarence Darrow Ten thousand and 00/100 Dollars. figures. 10
- "\$10,000 00/100", signed, "Frank Morrison, Secy. Special 11
- No. 5." In red ink on the face, "Not over Ten thousand
- 12
- Dollars." Endorsed, "Clarence Darrow." In ink. 13
- Endorsed, rubber stamp, "Pay any bank or Trust Co. or 14
- mercial Natl. Bank of Los Angeles, Newman Essick Cashier.

order, prior endorsements guaranteed, Sep. 14, 1911, Com-

- 16
- Rubber stamp endorsement, "Previous endorse-16-17. " 17
- ments guaranteed, Pay to the order of any bank or banker, 18
- Girard National Bank, Phila. Joseph Wayne, Jr. Cashier. 19
- Sep. 20, 1911." "Pay to the order of Girard National 20
- Bank, Philadelphia, Pa. all prior endorsements guaranteed, 21
- Sep. 19, 1911. The First vational Bank of Pittsburg, Pa. 22
- 23 F. H. Richard, Cashier."
- "No. 56--" 24

- THE COURT. Just a moment, Mr. Ford. Mr. Regers, were you leav-25
- 26 ing the court room?

MR . ROGERS . Yes, sir .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT. There is a matter 1 want to discuss before you leave. I had not quite disposed of the incident that occurred here a few moments ago when counsel went on with another question, but I deem it as well a little time might intervene. Mr. Rogers was not in the court room and for the further purpose of the record I want to now state what occurred and take such action which I feel must be taken under the circumstances. Mr. Ford was interrogating a Witness on the stand, and with the approval of the court was very properly asking him some questions not in the record at that moment. Mr. Ford's conduct was perfectly Counsel for the defendant had admitted some proper. matters, more or less material, and across the table and in a tone of voice that was not heard by the judge of this court but was heard by the defendant himself and by his attorney, Mr. Appel; Mr. Fredericks stated, according to the statement made by defendant and Mr. Appel, and acquiesced in by Captain Fredericks himself, stated that counsel would not have made the admissions if they had not been able to prove them. Captain Fredericks undoubtedly meant this as a facethous remark, but this is not the time or place for facetious remarks of that kind. Remarks of that kind ought not to have passed across the table. The defendant himself and his counsel took exception to the remark, and here is where the serious offense

1 took place: Captain Fredericks should have apologized 2 for the remark and might have ended it. I feel that was his duty. He saw not to do so but on the contrary added 3 a remark to which the def endant and his counsel took still 4 5 further offense and at which the court took offense. I have reflected on this more in the last thirty minutes and 6 I cannot answer for myself as having done my duty towards 7 8 the maintenance of good order in this court room to let the incident pass unnoticed. I feel that the facts as I have 9 recited them calls for more than a mere reprimand, which 10 was given, but I feel that it is an unlawful interference 11 with the proceedings of this court, tending to seriously 12 interfere with the due course of the trial and constitute 13 a contempt of court for which Captain Fredericks is fined 14 the sum of \$25. Following this incident and when the 15 court had directed the defendant, who appears as his own 16 counsel, and his attorney, Mr. Appel, to be seated, and 17 had informed those gentlemen that the court would give the 18 matter proper attention, Mr. Appel criticised the language 19 and conduct of Captain Fredericks as being cowardly. 20 conduct on his part certainly tends to and does, whenever 21 it occurs, interfere with the lawful proceedings of this 22 court, and tends to seriously interfere with the due course 23 of the trial, and I find him guilty of contempt of court, 24 bearing in mind the aggravating circumstances, the fine 25 26 assessed will be \$5.

968 MR . APPEL . Your Honor --THE COURT. You may proceed, Mr. Ford. 2 MR. APPEL. Your Honor, on behalf of the defendant, we do 3 not -- we except to the conduct of the court in finding his 4 counsel guilty of contempt of court during the trial of this case, for having had the manhood to get up here and 6 defend his client. On behalf of myself 1 do not find that the court has done anything more than he should do 8 in the finding, but in behalf of the defendant I take an 9 exception for whatever benefit he may get from it. As far 10 as I am concerned I think you ought to fine us more. MR . FREDERICKS . He can pay part of mine if counsel feels 12 it will do. 13 THE COURT. Gentlemen, stop this right here. There will 14 be no more comments across the table between counsel. This 15 is not the time or place for facetious remarks. 16 MR. FREDERICKS. The prosecution will be very glad to help 17 the court enforce that rule, as far as we are concerned. 18 THE COURT. I wanted to say this, Mr. Rogers, in your presence 19 and now if you desire to be excused the court will not 20 detain you. 21MR. ROGERS. In view of the fact that this witness is 22

1

5

7

11

23

24

25

26

going to take the remainder of the forenoon, and I might more expeditiously attend to some matters on the outside, I ask to be excused. MR. FORD. (Reading) "56. Washington, D.C. Sept./1911.

The Riggs National Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the scanned by LALAWLIBRARY

- order of Clarence Darrow, Ten thousand 00/100 Dollars." 1
- Figures, \$10,000 00/100." Signed, "Frank Morrison, Secy. 2
- Special No. 5." In red ink, "Not over Ten thousand Dollars." 3
- Endorsed in ink, "Clarence Darrow." Endorsed, rubber 4
- s tamp, "240 pay to the order of the First National Bank 5
- Tos Angeles, Cal. Sep. 23, 1911, Equitable Savings Bank 6
- Los Angeles, Cal. J. G. Carey, Cashier." "Pay Riggs 7
- National Bank, Washington, D.C. all prior endorsements 8
- guaranteed, Pay First National Bank, Detroit, Mich., 9
- F. G. Smith, Cashier. Pay to any bank or banker, all 10
- previous endorsements guaranteed, Sep. 23, 1911. First 11
- National Bank, Los Angeles, Cal. Cage No.3. W.T.S. 12
- Hammond, Cashier." 13

- "No. 70. Washington, D.C. Sept. 30, 1911. The 14
- Riggs National Pank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the
- 15 Order of Clarence Darrow, Ten thousand 00/100 Dollard",
- 16
- figures, \$10,000 00/100." Signed, "Frank Morrison, 17
- Secy, Special No. 5." In red ink, "Not over Ten 18
- thousand dollars." Endorsed in ink, "Clarence Darrow." 19
- Endorsed rubber stamp, "Pay any bank or Trust Co. or 20
- order, prior endorsements guaranteed, Oct. 6, 1911, Com-21
- mercial wath. Bank of Los Angeles, Newman Essick, Cashier. 22
- 16-17. Pay to the order of Girard National Bank, Phila-23
- delphia, Pa. All prior endorsements guaranteed, Oct. 11, 24
- 1911, the First National Bank of Pittsburg, Pa. F. H. 25
- - Richard, Cashier. " Rubber stamp, "All previous endorse

1 ments guaranteed. Pay to the order of any bank or banker, 2 Girard National Bank. Phila. Joseph Wayne, Jr. Cashier, 3 oct. 13, 1911." "No. 77. Washington, D.C. Oct. 16, 1911. The 4 Riggs National Bank. Formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the 5 order of Clarence Darrow, Ten thousand 00/100 Dollars." 6 In figures, "\$10,000 00/100", Signed, "Frank Morrison, 7 Secy, Special No. 5." In red ink, "Not over Ten thousand 8 Endorsed in ink, "Clarence Darrow." 9 dollars." in ink, "Clarence Darrow Trustee." Endorsed, rubber 10 stamp, pay any bank or trust Co. or order, prior endorse-11 ment guaranteed, Oct. 21, 1911, Commercial Natl. Bank of 12 Los Angeles, Newman Essick Cashier." Rubber stamp 13 endorsement, "All previous endorsements guaranteed. Pay 14 to the order of any bank or banker, Girard National Bank, 15 Phila. Joseph Wayne, Jr. Cashier, Oct. 27, 1911." "Pay 16 to the order of Girard National Bank, Philadelphia, Pa. 17 All prior endorsements guaranteed. Oct. 26, 1911. First 18 National Bank of Pittsburg, Pa. F. H. Richard, Cashier." 19 Rubber stamp endorsement, "All previous endorsements 20 guaranteed. Pay to the order of any bank or banker, 21Girard National Bank, Phila. Joseph Wayne, Jr. Cashier, 22 October 27, 1911." 23"No. 80. Washington, D.C. Oct. 25, 1911. 24Riggs National Bank, formerly Riggs & Co. Pay to the order 25of Clarence Darrow Ten thousand 00/100 Dollars." 26

1 figures, "\$10,000." Signed, "Frank Morrison, Secy Special $\mathbf{2}$ No. 5." Endorsed in ink, "Clarence Darrow. " Endorsed 3 in ink, "Clarence Darrow Trustee." Endorsed, rubber stamp, "240 Pay to the order of First National Bank, Los 4 5 Angeles, Cal. Oct. 31, 1911. Equitable Savings Bank, Los Angeles, Cal. J. G. Carey, Cashier." Rubber stamp 6 endorsed, "Pay to the order of any bank or banker, all 7 previous endorsements guaranteed, First National Bank Los 8 Angeles, Cal. Page No. 3 W. T. S. Hammond, Cashier." 9 Endorsed, rubber stamp, "Pay Riggs National Bank Washington 10 D.C. all prior endorsements guaranteed, First National 11 Bank Detroit, F. G. Smith, Cashier." 12 THE COURT. Gentlemen of the jury we will take a recess 13 14 until 2 o'clock. (Jury admonished. Recess until 2 P.M.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25