THE EVOLUTION ISSUE

T.T. MARTIN

THE EVOLUTION ISSUE

BY

T. T. MARTIN

Evangelist

FOREWORD

The great monthly magazines, with exceptions, the big dailies, with exceptions, some Chautauqua lecturers, the Modernist (Evolutionist) preachers, some professors through their widely read books, and many professors in universities, colleges, normals and high schools, have gotten the impression broadcast that "all scientists believe in Evolution." Take, as an example, Professor E. G. Conklin of Princeton University: "There is no longer any doubt among scientists that man descended from the animals." I have given in "Hell and the High Schools" the names of one hundred and twenty great scientists who rejected Evolution—some of whom had at first accepted it and had written in favor of it, and then repudiated it. I give the following additional declarations that the reader may see how these men are deliberately blinding the people, and that the reader may be able to meet these bold misstatements. I could easily double this number.—T. T. M.

"The question which Evolution raises is not simply one of theism, a question which does not necessarily include the Bible as a revelation at all, but it raises the question as to Christ's teaching, works and authority."—Alfred Fairhurst, A. M., D. Sci., in "Atheism in Our Universities." Exactly! Pussy-footing, "middle of the road" editors, college presidents and professors are deceiving the people by saying that they oppose any Evolution that denies that there is a God, that "leaves out God," but that Theistic Evolution does not "leave out God." Theistic Evolution, every theory of Evolution, teaches that there are ten lies in the first chapter of Genesis that ten times says that everything brought forth "after his kind"; that therefore the Saviour's endorsing Genesis as the Word of God shows that He was not real Deity, and hence, not our real Redeemer. It means throwing the Bible as God's Word overboard and leaving the world without a Redeemer-Saviour. Let men be honest and face the issue squarely.

"The arguments presented by Darwin, in favor of a universal derivation from one primary form of all the peculiarities existing now among living beings, have not made the slightest impression on my mind. Until the facts of nature are shown to have been mistaken by those who have collected them and that they have a different meaning from that now generally assigned to them, I shall consider the transmutation theory as a SCIENTIFIC MISTAKE, UNTRUE IN ITS FACTS, UNSCIENTIFIC IN ITS METHOD, AND MISCHIEVOUS IN ITS TENDENCY."—Professor Louis Agassiz, the ablest naturalist at the time of his death.

"Some years ago Dr. Wm. Carruthers, Curator of the Botanical Department of the British Museum, then the retiring President of the Linnaean Society, told the author that HE WAS CERTAIN THAT AGASSIZ WOULD BE VINDICATED WITHIN A FEW DECADES FOR HAVING REJECTED THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION, as being without adequate proof to justify it; and that science would be compelled to find some other explanation of the processes of nature. For some years the author accepted the theory of evolution as probably the correct statement of the method which the Creator had adopted in creation. He joined the growing ranks of many who considered the prevailing opinion of scientists one to be followed, especially as he found no difficulty in recognizing the fact that if God had adopted evolution as the method, it in no way interferred with his established convictions about God as Creator and Ruler of the universe. The conversation with Dr. Carruthers, reported above, led him to make a more careful study of the actual facts which scientists had found in their investigation of the evidence to support the theory. Watching the reported results of the continued study of available facts by leading scientists, he has become convinced that Dr. Carruthers is justified in his judgment that scientists will discard the theory of the organic evolution of species, and turn to some other explanation of the processes of nature."—Howard Agnew Johnston, Ph.D., D.D., in "Scientific Christian Thinking for Young People."

"I marvel at the undue haste with which teachers in our universities and preachers in our pulpits are restating truth in the terms of Evolution while Evolution itself remains an unproven hypothesis in the laboratories of science."—Lord Kelvin, quoted in Evolution and the Supernatural.

"If the theory of Evolution be true, during the many thousands of years covered in whole or in part by present human knowledge, there would certainly be known a few instances, or at least one instance, of the evolution of one species from another. NO SUCH INSTANCE IS KNOWN. Abstract arguments sound learned and appear imposing, so that many are deceived by them. But, in this matter we remove the question from the abstract to the concrete. We are told that facts warrant the evolutionary theory. But do they? Where is one single fact?"—Dr. J. B. Warren.

"The very men who tell us that we are not one with anything above us, are the same men who insist that we are one with everything beneath us."—Professor Zahm in Evolution and Dogma.

"But it is the old story over again—hatred of religion concealed behind some new discovery of science or enveloped in some theory that for the nonce was raised to the dignity of an indisputable dogma."—Professor Zahm, in Evolution and Dogma.

"It is also untrue to identify primitive man with the savage of to-day, for anthropology, to say nothing of the Bible, gives proof that THE SAVAGE IS THE RESULT OF DEGENERATION."—Evolution and the Supernatural, W. H. Griffith Thomas.

"For my part I believe the Neanderthal man to be a specimen of a race not arrested in its upward climb but thrown down from a higher position."—Professor Dwight of Harvard.

"It is also curious that quite recently certain scientific authorities have come to the conclusion that man is not descended from the ape, but the ape from the man."—Evolution and the Supernatural.

The great Ampere: "Either Moses knew as much about science as we, or else he was inspired."

"If nature does all that she is said to do; if she everywhere displays evidences of power, intelligence, design, wisdom; why call her nature, and not God?"—Cicero.

"It is a perversion of language to assign any law as the efficient, operative cause of anything. A law presupposes an agent, for it is only the mode according to which the agent proceeds; it implies a power, for it is the order according to which that power acts. Without this agent, without this power, which are both distinct from itself, the law does nothing, is nothing."—"Natural Theology," p. 12.

"The public is ignorantly supporting the men who are sowing the seeds of destruction among them. I would not sound a false alarm, but the time is at hand when the public should take notice of the effects of its own ignorant acts."—Alfred Fairhurst, A.M., D.Sci., in "Atheism in Our Universities."

"This so-called scientific method is wrecking the Christian faith and destroying the usefulness of multitudes of young men and women."—Alfred Fairhurst, A.M., D.Sci., in "Aheism in Our Universities."

"Such teaching will destroy, and has already undermined, the faith of our people in the living God."—Prof. W. Brent Greene, of Princeton Theological Seminary.

"Prof. Haeckel declared that, rather than agree with Weissmann and Wallace, in denying the inheritance of acquired characters, 'it would be better to accept a mysterious creation of all the species as described in the Mosaic account.' This is exactly what Hugh Miller insisted upon, as did Wallace, Mendel, Agassiz, Virchow and other leading scientists."—Howard Agnew Johnston, Ph.D., D.D., in "Scientific Christian Thinking for Young People."

"Prof. Dana, of Yale, in his little book, Genesis and Science, points out that the order of creation is exactly that indicated in Genesis."—Howard Agnew Johnston, Ph. D., D. D., in "Scientific Christian Thinking for Young People."

"And so, after careful consideration of all the arguments adduced by science, it is necessary to say quite plainly that, if the Bible is true, Evolution can not be true."—Evolution and the Supernatural.

"Polytheism invariably degenerates into pantheism and never of itself reaches up to monotheism."—Bavinck, p. 169 (That is the death-knell of Evolution.—T. T. M.).

"From these considerations it is clear that no emergence from the brute can explain religion in man, for religion must of necessity be based on revelation, both in regard to its origin and also as to its truth."—Bavinck. p. 169.

"The dogma of a gradual development from a lower to a higher level is not sustained by the history of the Oriental peoples."—Quoted in Bavinck, p. 179.

"Does anyone think that the skill of the beaver, the instinct of the bee, the genius of a man, arose by chance, and that its presence is accounted for by anything done and by survival? What struggle for existence will explain the advent of a Beethoven? What doubtful instinct for earning a living as a dramatist will adduce for us a Shakespeare? These things are beyond science of the orthodox type. Then let it be silent and let it deny nothing in the universe until it has at least made an honest attempt to grasp the whole."—Sir Oliver Lodge,—HIBBERT JOURNAL Vol. 1, p. 218.

"The combination in time and space of all these thoughtful conceptions exhibits not only thought; it shows also premeditation, power, wisdom, greatness, prescience, omniscience, providence. In one word, all these facts in their natural connection, proclaim aloud the one God whom we may know, adore and love; and natural history must, in good time, become the analysis of the thoughts of the Creator of the Universe, as manifested in the animal and vegetable kingdoms, as well as in the organic world."—Professor Louis Agassiz, quoted in Zahm's EVOLUTION AND DOGMA.

"The relations and proportions which exist throughout the animal and vegetable world, have an intellectual, an ideal connection in the mind of the Creator. The plan of creation, which so commends itself to our highest wisdom, has not grown out of the necessary action of physical laws, but has the free conception of the almighty intellect, matured in his thought before it was manifested in tangible external forms." —Professor Louis Agassiz, quoted in Zahm's EVOLUTION AND DOGMA.

"Among those scientists of the first rank who, far from being forced to the atheistic conclusion, recognized a wonderful harmony between science and revelation, was a Kepler, who was led by meditations on the harmony of theology with mathematics to follow those laborious calculations, by which he first established the orbit of Mars, and then of other planets; among them was a Newton, called by Justus Liebig 'the

most sublime genius in a thousand years,' who asserted that his entire system of mechanics was untenable without the supposition of divine Power; a Davy, prince of chemists, who 'saw in the forces of matter the tools of Divinity'; a Linne, called by Professor Frass 'the greatest naturalist of all times' who commences his 'System of Nature' thus: 'Awakening I saw God, the Eternal, the Infinite, the Omniscient, the Omnipotent, and I was amazed. I read some of his traces in creation. What unspeakable perfection!' We find in the roster of scientists who believed in an inspired Bible and a divine Saviour, such men as Hans Christian Oerstedt, the great discoverer of electro-magnetism and the father of all modern electrical science, who declared that he 'had but a desire to lead men to God by his books'; Lovoisier, father of modern chemistry, a Christian; Maedler, who reached the front rank of modern astronomers without relinquishing his childhood faith and who said: 'A real scientist cannot be an infidel;' Ritter, greatest of geographers, who said: 'All the world is replete with the glory of the Creator;' Virchow, the surgeon of world-wide fame, who, all his life, was an outspoken opponent of the evolutionary theory, and whose last prayer, uttered in the presence of his fellow-scientists was 'Christi Blut und Gerechtigkeit.' (Christ's blood and righteousness)"—Th. Graebner in EVOLUTION.

And Sir David Brewster, doubtless the greatest scientist who ever lived, who said: "We have absolute proof of the immutability of species, whether we search in historic or geologic times," with seven hundred and fifty other scientists signed and published a statement that real Science and the Bible do not contradict each other on one single point.

"Think of a spectacle, if you can, of a teacher, a product of a modern university, who has been dogmatically taught the theory of evolution as a science, who has sat at the feet of professors who have presented a one-sided view of the theory, but who have failed to present any objections to it. Think of this dogmatic fledgeling, with his brand new Ph. D., standing before a class of boys and girls pouring his dogmatic teachings on evolution into their minds, which are like empty buckets ready to receive whatever is poured into them by a teacher. This theory, this naturalistic philosophy of the universe, is being insinuated into the minds of our young people by dogmatic teachers who know not what they do."—ATHEISM IN OUR UNIVERSITIES.

"Why is it that, everywhere the boundary line between species has been crossed, the connecting forms between the species have not only become extinct, but have so completely disappeared as to leave no evidence of their existence in fossil form?"—John F. Hergert, QUESTIONS EVOLUTION DOES NOT ANSWER.

"But the 'man-like ape', 'the ape-like man' and 'man's ape-like progenitor' are all creatures of the imagination. Geology knows nothing of them."—Herget's QUESTIONS EVOLUTION DOES NOT ANSWER.

"From the physical point of view it is simply impossible to exaggerate the wideness of the gap that separates men from even the highest animal."—La Conte, quoted in ATHEISM IN OUR UNIVERSITIES by Alfred Fairhurst.

"As a palaeontologist I have from the beginning stood aloof from this new theory of the transmutation of species now so widely admitted by the scientific world. Its doctrines in fact contradict what the animal forms buried in the rocky strata of the earth tell us of their own introduction and succession on the surface of the globe. The theory is a scientific mistake, untrue in its facts, unscientific in its methods and mischievous in its tendency.****There is not a fact known to science tending to show that any being in the natural process of reproduction and multiplication has ever diverged from the course natural to its kind, or that a single kind has ever been transmuted to any other."—Professor Agassiz in METHODS OF STUDY IN NATURAL HISTORY.

"In a book by Henry Murray, brother of David Christie Murray, the English publisher, this is told of Herbert Spencer; 'Walking up and down the lawn of Buchanan's house in Maresfield Gardens, I told him in a momentary absence of our host, what a load of personal obligation I felt under to "First Principles," and added that I intended to devote the reading hours of the next two or three years to a thorough study of his entire output. "What have you read of mine?" he asked. I told him.**** "Then," said Spencer (and it was the only time I ever heard such a counsel from the lips of any writer regarding his own works) "I should say that you have read quite enough." He fell silent for a moment, and then added, "I have passed my life in beating the air.' "—THE COLLAPSE OF EVOLUTION.

"Some hypothesis of a first-class investigator is adopted as an established fact by a second-class dabbler."—Professor Luther Tracy Townsend in EVOLUTION OR CREATION.

"The species have a real existence in nature," says Lyell, "and each was endowed at the time of its creation with the attributes and organs by which it is now distinguished." "Everything" says Sir Charles Bell, "declares the species to have its origin in a distinct creation not in a gradual variation from some original type."—Quoted in Townsend's EVOLUTION OR CREATION?

"There are two or three million of species on earth, sufficient field, one might think, for observation. But it must be said today that in spite of all the effort of trained observers, not one change of a species into another is on record." —Chas. Darwin, in LIFE AND LETTERS, Vol. III p. 15.

"As for biologists, they are farther now from agreement as to what constitutes the processes and conditions essential to organic evolution******than they were a generation ago." —Professor Patten of Dartmouth.

"It is true that gradual evolution, as advocated by Darwin, is seriously questioned by those who believe that it takes place by 'rapid jumps.' "—Professor Mall, of Johns Hopkins.

"In a book entitled 'The Science of Power' Benjamin Kidd shows that the theory of evolution as presented by Neitzche has a dominant tendency to paralyze everything in human life that is worth while. This is what he says: 'It denounces Christianity as being a system calculated to make degenerates out of men; denies the existence of God; overturns all standards of morality; eulogizes war as both necessary and desirable; praises hatred because it leads to war; denies to sympathy and pity any rightful place in a manly heart, and endeavors to substitute the worship of the superman for the worship of Jehovah.' The philosophy of Neitzche is a threat to the world's peace and progress. And what is more alarming than the philosophy itself is the fact that it is finding its many advocates. It backs up the theory of Evolution, and Neitzche names Darwin as one of the three great men of the century in whom he believed. In Neitzche's book 'Joyful Wisdom,' he would have the Kaiser do as he did, that is, seek to have the world under one soverign, the dream that 'lured the Kaiser into a sea of blood from which he emerged an exile seeking security under a foreign flag.' This terrible philosophy would convert the world into an arena of bloodshed, a conflict between brute beasts, each one trampling on every other and crushing out everything standing in the way. And we repeat, the most fearful thing about it is that it is advocated by men of influence who ought to know better. The fact that Neitzche died in an asylum for the insane should be a warning."—THE COLLAPSE OF EVOLUTION.

"The biologist requires not fewer than a million years (Haeckel's estimate is a thousand million) to evolve man from the lower forms of organized life and not fewer than several hundred thousand years to lift him out of the brute condition from which, according to evolutionists, he has been developed. On the other hand, the latest geologists have established the fact that not more than twelve or fifteen thousand years, AS AN OUTSIDE LIMIT, can be allowed for the entire life on earth of any being that has worn a human form."—THE COLLAPSE OF EVOLUTION. (See testimonies in "Hell and the High Schools").

"Palaeontology tells us nothing on the subject—it knows no ancestors of man."—Professor Branco.

"The current evolutional hypotheses have driven me almost to despair. When a scientific branch of such predominant importance as the theory of descent gets off the proper

track, it naturally detrimentally influences all the branches of knowledge with which it is organically associated."—Professor G. Steinmann, in THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION.

"The embryological methods of Haeckel have led the whole of palaeontological research in a wrong direction. The naive pedigrees constructed according to them have crumbled just as speedily as they have arisen. They cover, as with rotten wood, the ground of the forest, and only render more difficult the progress of the future."—Professor Ch. Deperet in UMBILDUNG DER TIERWELT.

"Of course the scientific world was shocked when Theodore Moreaux, director of the observatory at Bourges, entered the controversy by asserting, February, 1921, that these extraordinary figures, as all the fossils show, are preposterous, and that THE HUMAN RACE CAN NOT BOAST OF MORE THAN SOME THOUSANDS OF YEARS INSTEAD OF THE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS CLAIMED BY THE PALAEONTOLOGISTS."—McCann in GOD OR GORILLA.

H. G. Wells devotes 103 pages vol. 1, "Outline of History" to an elaborate moving picture of man's descent from the ape. Number of times phrases used:

Is probably or was probably—20. It must have been —12. It would seem—11. It may have been—9. May or may not—8. Perhaps—5. It seems to be—5. It is probable —4. Possibly—3. We may guess—3. So far as we can guess—1. This is pure guessing of course—1. It is supposed —1. They suppose—1. If we assume—1. It appears to be —1. It is possible—1. It may be possible—1. It is doubtful—1. It is commonly asserted—1. Almost certainly—1. Are said to be—1. Whole story is fogged—1. As yet we do not know—1. Confessedly jumbled—1. Inextricably mixed up—1. Ninety-six "suppose sos" in one hundred and three pages on "Outlines of History," and they call that "science!" and that it what is being taught and what we are paying for with our taxes!

"Rejecting God entirely, they worship not a golden calf but a self-certified opinion in which worms are the beginning and end of it all."—Mc Cann in GOD OR GORILLA.

"The agreement of science with Genesis is surely very striking. There is a gulf between matter and nothing; one between life and the non-living; and a third between man and the lower creation; and science can not bridge any of them."—Alfred Russel Wallace.

"In 1900 on the assembling of the International Peace Congress in Paris, L'UNIVERS published these forceful and significant words:—'THE SPIRIT OF PEACE HAS FLED THE EARTH BECAUSE EVOLUTION HAS TAKEN POSSESSION OF IT.' The plea for peace in past years has been inspired by faith in the divine nature and in the divine origin of man; men were then looked upon as children of one Father,

and war, therefore was fratricide. But now that men are looked upon as children of apes, what matters it whether they are slaughtered or not?" THE COLLAPSE OF EVOLUTION.

"The order of creation as stated in Genesis is faultless in the light of modern science, and many of its details present the most remarkable agreement with the result of sciences born only in our own day."—Sir J. W. Dawson, quoted in Townsend's EVOLUTION OR CREATION?

"The first thought that strikes the scientific reader is the evidence of divinity, not merely in the first verse of the record and the successive fiats, but in the whole order of creation. There is so much that the most recent readings of science have for the first time explained that the idea of man as the author becomes utterly incomprehensible. By proving the record true, science pronounces it divine; for who could have correctly narrated the secrets of eternity but God himself?"—Professor Dana, speaking of the Mosaic account of creation, in EVOLUTION OR CREATION? by Luther Townsend.

"The grand old Book of God still stands, and this old earth the more its leaves are turned and pondered, the more will it sustain and illustrate the sacred Word."—Professor Dana, in Townsend's EVOLUTION OR CREATION?

"Professor Dana of Yale, in his little book GENESIS AND SCIENCE points out that the order of creation is exactly that indicated in Genesis."—SCIENTIFIC CHRISTIAN THINKING FOR YOUNG PEOPLE.

"We must begin by showing that religion is not contrary to reason; then that it is venerable, to give respect for it; then to make it lovable and to make good men hope that it is true; then to show that it is true."—Pascal in one of his sublime PENSES quoted in Zahm's EVOLUTION AND DOGMA.

"Christian young men and women are being ignorantly subjected to the assaults of a godless philosophy under the name of 'evolution.' They have neither the information nor the ability to resist the attacks."—Fairhurst, in ATHEISM IN OUR UNIVERSITIES.

"Has the Christian public no way to reach and dethrone the atheists who sit in public places and devitalize the souls of men?" "Is it not time for Elijah to come calling down fire from heaven and bringing his sword to slay?"—Alfred Fairhurst, A. M., D. Sci. in "Atheism in our Universities."

"If a man is an atheist or an agnostic he ought not to be allowed to impose his views upon Christian young people. LIBERTY TO TEACH DOES NOT MEAN LIBERTY TO DESTROY CHRISTIAN FAITH."—Fairhurst in ATHEISM IN OUR UNIVERSITIES.

"The atheist, the agnostic, and the materialist have no rightful claim to a place on a college Faculty. THE PUBLIC DOES NOT SUPPORT STATE UNIVERSITIES FOR THE

PROPAGATION OF ATHEISM. A godless philosophy aims at the very foundations of Christian civilization."—Fairhurst in ATHEISM IN OUR UNIVERSITIES.

" 'Academic freedom' is but a thin cloak under which all villainies seek to hide. A godless philosophy is more destructive of human welfare than Krupp cannon and 'U' boats. A godless spiritual dwarf, whose faith and hope and high aspirations have been paralyzed by a destructive philosophy under the name of the 'scientific method' is the most worthless member of society."—Fairhurst in ATHEISM IN OUR UNIVERSITIES.

"If the hypothesis of evolution is true, living matter must have originated from non-living matter. This has been given up."—Herget, in "Questions Evolution does not Answer." And inheriting acquired characteristics has been given up; and Herbert Spencer said: "If there is no inheriting acquired characteristics, there has been no Evolution." What have they left? Yet professors, paid by our taxes, continue to stand before classes of our boys and girls and say, "Evolution is now a demonstrated science." "All scientists believe in Evolution." And the Modernist (Evolution) preacher and the time-serving Editor will continue to throw dust in the air and say, "Great is Dianna of the Ephesians." "Put the orthodox down!" "They are Mediaevalists!" "They are not up-to-date!"

"Woodruff, speaking of Henry Baker as 'the versatile microscopist of the Royal Society,' quotes him as saying: 'Nothing now seems more contrary to reason than that chance and nastiness should give a being to uniformity, regularity and beauty, ... and create living animals . . . .'"—John F. Herget in "Questions Evolution Does not Answer."

"It is indeed amazing that the theory of evolution, over which many master minds have exhausted their powers without coming to an agreement, should be taught with approval in many of our public schools and in most higher institutions of learning."—Alfred Fairhurst, A. M., D. Sci. in "Atheism in our Universities."

"The older I grow—and I now stand upon the brink of eternity—the more comes back to me the sentence in the catechism which I learned when a child, and the fuller and deeper its meaning becomes: 'What is the great end of man? To glorify God and enjoy him forever.' No gospel of dirt, teaching that men have descended from frogs through monkeys, can ever set that aside."—Thomas Carlyle.

THE EVOLUTION ISSUE

Address delivered in Los Angeles, California,
October 28th, 1923.

When I went to Leadville, Colorado, as Pastor, in 1892, I found in a population of fourteen thousand, a large number of professing Christians who would attend church every Sunday morning and then split the world wide open with sin clean to Hell before sundown; three thousand infidels; twenty-five hundred Spiritualists; four hundred and fifty houses of sin and shame, filled with young women, and saloons and gambling hells that had not closed their doors, day or night, Sunday nor any other day, for seventeen long years. But Leadville was tame then, compared to what it was in the early days. In those days a fifteen-year-old beardless boy drifted into that great mining camp; and, after supper, he drifted down Harrison Avenue with the great tide of sin. Strolling into a gambling hell, he stood by a table looking on. A gambler asked him to take a hand. The boy replied, "No; my mother and father asked me never to play cards." The gambler whipped out his revolver and blew the boy's brains out;—no one was ever arrested for it,—they were the days of "the survival of the unfittest." There was, in the West, at that time, a noted character by the name of "Dare-Devil-Dick," six feet two in height, with shaggy brown hair and beard, broad shouldered, usually wearing a cowboy hat, with a red bandanna handkerchief around his neck, no coat nor vest, heavy wool shirt, breeches stuffed into his boots, and a big revolver hanging from each hip,—a typical Western Mountaineer. Dare Devil Dick was known as the champion of the weak and defenseless. He had killed a half dozen men, each time in self defense or in defense of some one being imposed upon. The next morning some one told Dare Devil Dick about the gambler having killed the beardless boy. He sauntered down the street; stepped into the gambling

hell, and without a word, whipped out a revolver and blew the gambler's brains out. No one was ever arrested for it;—that was the day of "the survival of the fittest."

Three miles above Leadville, on the side of the mountain, was a little town by the name of Oro. Leadville was angelic, compared with Oro; Oro was "hell's half acre." One day a little bald-headed, peel-faced, thin-bodied Methodist preacher came into Oro, and tacked up a notice at the post-office that he would preach at the schoolhouse (there was no church in town) Friday night at eight o'clock. Within three hours "Notice No. 2.", signed by the toughs of the town, was tacked up under the Methodist preacher's notice, giving the preacher forty-eight hours to get out of town. But the little Methodist preacher had grit and didn't run. Before his forty-eight hours' limit was up, Dare Devil Dick happened into Oro; and went around to the Post Office to get his mail, and saw the two notices. He stepped to the desk and wrote "Notice No. 3," stating that it would cost any man his life to interfere with that Methodist preacher, signed it "Dare Devil Dick," and posted it up under "Notice No. 2." That meant blood on the moon and razors in the air. An ominous sullen silence pervaded the streets of Oro. Friday night, just at eight o'clock, Dare Devil Dick and the little Methodist preacher stepped into the schoolhouse door, Dare Devil Dick dressed in his usual style. The room was packed and jammed, and on the back seat sat the toughs of the town, armed to the teeth and ready to raise a rough-house. In the silence that could be felt the big mountaineer and the little preacher walked down the aisle side by side. Dare Devil Dick nodded his head toward a chair and the little Methodist preacher fell into it. Stepping forward and facing the audience, Dare Devil Dick said, "Gentlemen, you have said that this here innocent Methodist preacher shall not preach the gospel in this here town; but gentlemen, you're gwine to hear the gospel one time. I know what you're here for, but the first man that starts in to raise a rough house, I'm gwine ter snuff out his candle. I repeats it, gentlemen,

you're gwine ter hear the gospel one time." Then, stepping behind the table, he whipped out his big revolvers and laid them on the table; sat down; folded his hands in front of him; moved his quid of tobacco to the other side of his mouth, and, nodding to the little Methodist preacher, said, "Now parson, git up there and give 'em hell!"

You may think that because I am to address you on "Hell and the High Schools" I need a similar introduction. Let me disarm your suspicions at once. I come with no tirade against the High Schools. I consider our public-school system of America our greatest National asset. But, through them our young people are now being swept into Hell by wholesale; for Evolution is being taught in our High Schools, and in all of our tax-supported schools, from primary to University. Evolution means that God did not make man and other species of beings, that every kind of beings from amoeba, the first living cell, not as big as the point of a fine needle, up to man, evolved from lower species to higher. Genesis says, ten times in the first chapter, that everything brought forth "after his kind"; Evolution teaches that there are ten lies, that everything did not bring forth "after his kind," but that they all evolved from lower species to higher, from amoeba up to man. The Saviour endorsed Genesis as the Word of God; Deity would not endorse lies as the word of God; then the teaching of Evolution means that Genesis is not God's word, and that the Saviour was not Deity, God's Son, but only the bastard, illegitimate son of a fallen woman, and hence not our real Redeemer at all, and that we are therefore left without a Saviour.

I appreciate the privilege of speaking on this subject in your wonderful city, where your citizens sit round in your beautiful parks and lie about what a wonderful city you have, then wake up next morning and find it all true! I gladly come to do what I can to help save your people from the greatest curse that has ever come to the world, since Adam.

As certain as God is God, He is not on the side of Evolution in this great controversy, this death-struggle

with this monster curse to the human race. Two little street-gamins in Chicago, watched in awe as an invisible aeroplane was putting up in the sky in great white letters, "Lucky Strike," the name of a cigarette. One of them in wonder, almost fear, said "Dat's de Lord!" The other little fellow said, "No, 'tain't! God A'Mighty wouldn't be advertising a cigarette." In this terrible issue concerning Evolution, God would not be on the side of Evolution which dethrones God, and, as prophesied in Daniel 11:38, puts a "God of forces" in His place; that destroys the Bible as His revelation, after he has given hundreds of fulfilled prophecies to prove to us that it is His Word; and changes the sublimest message that ever fell on human ears,—"God so loved the World that he gave His only begotten Son that whosoever believeth on Him should not perish but have everlasting life," into "God so loved the world that He gave the bastard illegitimate son of a Jewish fallen woman to be the World's great Teacher and Example; and, if you do not choose to accept Him as Teacher and Example, there is no Hell anyway."

If it were not an issue of tremendous importance, such men as William E. Gladstone, the greatest statesman England ever produced, and Sir Robert Anderson of England, and others would not have given their lives, trying to save England from the curse; such men as Willian Jennings Bryan, the greatest statesman America has ever produced, Alfred W. McCann, the great New York lawyer, Philip Mauro, the New York lawyer and others would not be giving their lives trying to save America from it; such noted scientists as Sir David Brewster, J. W. Dawson, Rudolph Virchow, Louis Pasteur, Louis Agassiz and others would never have come out and fought it and warned of its danger.

It is no new thing. It comes from paganism, hundreds of years before Christ. Down the ages pagans and others have contended for it, and have tried to spread its teachings. The great body of infidels stand for it, and are spreading its teachings. The great body of Jews stand for it; Unitarians and others who deny that

the Saviour was really God's Son, and died for our sins and redeemed us from all iniquity, stand for it and are spreading its teachings. It did not, however, get any great grip on the world until Charles Darwin, an Englishman, took it up and spread it, though on his death-bed he turned from it and to the Saviour, (see appendix to "The Collopse of Evolution" by Professor L. T. Townsend). William E. Gladstone and others largely saved England from it and drove it from their country, but Germany took it up, and went wild over it. Germany's great scientist, Prof, Rudolph Virchow, "the greatest Chemist on the globe," after at first accepting Evolution and writing in favor of it, repudiated it and warned Germany that it was dangerous to the State and should be excluded from the schools. Germany would not heed the warnings of her great scientist, and it has damned her as it has damned Russia, whose Bolshevists and Nihilists are Evolutionists. Before the great world war, we sent our young men to the great German universities, and, when they came back, saturated with Evolution, we made them Presidents and head-professors of our colleges and great universities. In turn they have trained a generation of young men and women who are now in charge of our tax-supported schools; and they are now drilling Evolution into the children, even in the primary departments. They have us by the throat, forcing us by our taxes to pay their salaries, while they doom and damn our children; for, get it clearly:—Genesis in the first chapter says ten times, God having thus anticipated Evolution and given fair warning, that everything brought forth "after his kind;" Evolution teaches that there are ten lies, that everything did not bring forth "after his kind," but that one species evolved into another from the amoeba, the first tiny living cell, not as big as the point of a fine needle, through the different species, up to man; Genesis says that God created man in his own image; Evolution teaches that there is another lie, that man evolved from the lower animals, that the first man was midway between the anthropoid ape and modern man; Genesis says that the first man spoke a plain language; Evolution says

that there is another lie, that the first man had no language, but learned language by experience through many generations. Genesis says that the first man was created perfect and by sin against God fell from his pure state; Evolution says that there is another lie, that man's fall was a fall upward, that it was a fall from the unmoral to the moral man. Now the Saviour endorsed Genesis as the word of God; but Deity, God's Son, would not endorse thirteen lies as God's Word; hence Evolution puts the Saviour down as the bastard illegitimate son of a fallen woman, and we are forced, by our taxes, to pay the salaries of teachers in our tax-supported schools, to have this teaching drilled into our children, and thus doom and damn them for all eternity.

But to brow-beat the common people into submission, these Evolution professors, supported by our hard-earned money, stand before their classes and haughtily declare, "All scientists believe in Evolution" and publish it broadcast, in their books, and get it into the great magazines, and into the great dailies that all scientists now accept and believe in Evolution, and brand William Jennings Bryan, Alfred W. McCann, the great New York lawyer, George Mc Cready Price, the great scientist, Wm. B. Riley, the great preacher, and the rest of us who are exposing Evolution, as a lot of ignoramuses and asses; and yet they don't dare select men as their representatives to meet us before the people on the issue. They know that we will put them in the fix that Pat said he was going to put Mike in when they started in for a fight. Pat said:—"And Moik, faith an' whin I get through wit ye, Oi'm goin' to send ye home in such a fix that ye'll have to wear a photograph of yerself on your boosom, fer yer own wife to recognize ye." They know we'll make mincemeat out of them, if they dare meet us in debate before the people. It is far easier and it is far safer to stand on the pedestal of their pride and conceit—for little men need a big pedestal to stand on—and to talk down to Jesus Christ and say "Jesus Christ, when you endorsed Genesis, that says ten times that every thing brought forth 'after his kind' as God's Word, we Evolu-

tionists claim that you endorsed ten lies as the word of God, and we claim that we have proved that you were not really God's Son, that you were not real Deity, that you did not really die for our sins to redeem us from all iniquity, and that there is no Hell anyhow;" and in their haughty arrogance and pride brand all who expose them and their Bible-destroying, Christ-denying, soul-damning teachings as asses and ignoramuses; and, under the cowardly sissy plea of "Academic freedom," demand that we, with our taxes, pay their salaries, while they poison our children against the Bible as God's real Word, and the Saviour as God's Son who died for our sins to redeem us from all iniquity and send our children out into Eternity without real redemption; hence, to hell.

But, with an assumed air of contempt and pity for us who are exposing them and warning the people of the fearful danger, and to blind the common people and keep them paying with their taxes their salaries while they continue their soul-damning work, the Evolutionists say "All scientists accept Evolution and believe in it." That's crushing, you know. A more brazen, barefaced deception, not even excepting Joab saying to Amasa "Art thou in health, my brother?", and, as he kissed him drove the sword "in the fifth rib, and shed out his bowels to the ground;" not even excepting Judas Iscariot kissing Jesus Christ, has never been known. In "Hell and the High Schools" I have given the names and often the very words of one hundred and twenty of the world's greatest scientists who utterly rejected Evolution. In the introduction to this address which will be published in book form, I give the statements of many others of the world's great scientists. I could easily double these testimonies of the world's great scientists against Evolution.

Then, with increased brazenness, if such a thing were possible, they stand before our boys and girls in their classes, and publish it in their books and in the great monthly magazines and the great daily papers, and say, "Evolution is no longer a mere hypothesis, a mere theory.

It is now a demonstrated science as much as the law of gravitation. It is no longer a debatable question. It is an established science." In "Hell and High Schools" I give the testimony of forty great scholars that Evolution is not science at all, that it is only a theory, a hypothesis, only a guess. I head the list with Charles W. Elliot, President Emeritus of Harvard university, and President Hadley of Yale. Hear them:—Pres. Elliot: "Evolution does not seem to me to be the science of creation or of any tionthing else. It is merely a hypothesis - - - - - - **EVOLUTION IS A HYPOTHESIS AND NOT A SCIENCE AT ALL.**" Pres. Hadley of Yale: "It is not a universal science, because **IT IS NOT A SCIENCE AT ALL.**" Take this from John Ruskin: "I have never yet heard one logical argument in its favor. I have read many that are beneath contempt." Having thrown dust in the air and blinded the people by their persistent claims that "all scientists believe in evolution," when there is not one word of truth in it, they then turn sissy and whine when their terrible deadly work is exposed, "You are fighting science," that they may brow-beat the people into silence and continue to get their salaries from the taxes of the people. Do you ask why so many accept it? Let three of them answer: "These essays are for the most part intended to contribute to the process of destroying the infallibility of the scriptures,"—Huxley, in "Science and Hebrew Traditions." "In truth, from the period of the earliest ages of Greek thought man has been eager to discover some natural cause of evolution, and to abandon the ideas of supernatural intervention in the order of nature."—Prof. Henry Fairfield Osborn, in "The Origin and Evolution of Life."

Another: "We intend first, to reconstruct Bible History in harmony with the theory of Evolution. Second, to eliminate by this process all that is supernatural in the record."

But what about "Theistic Evolution?" That is just as rotten, just as Bible-destroying, just as Christ-denying and just as soul-damning as atheistic Evolution.

A little girl said to her little friend, "Why don't you and your mamma go to the same church that my mamma and I go to?" The little friend replied; "Oh! My mamma and I belong to a different abomination." Theistic Evolution is simply a different abomination.

In the south, the deacons of a colored church, not of the better, nobler kind, waited on the pastor one Monday morning and demanded that he resign. "Why, brethren, what is the matter? Can't I preach?" Asked the pastor, "Oh, Yes, pastor, you can preach," said the spokesman, "but we niggers don't want to hear you preach no mo; we wants you to resign dis mornin!" The pastor asked, "If I can preach, what fur den you wants me to resign?" "Cause you preached last night that no nigger could go to Heaven. We niggers don't want to hear you preach no mo. We wants you to resign dis mornin!" The pastor replied, "Why, my bruddern, I never preached last night that no nigger could go to Heaven. I'se a nigger myself and I 'spects to go to heaven. I nebber preached dat in my life." "Yes yer did, pahson; we heard ye preach it, and we don't want to hear yer preach no mo. We wants you to resign right now. You preached last night that no nigger could go to Heaven." The pastor replied: "I didn't preach last night dat no nigger could go to Heaven', all I said wus that no chicken thief could go to Heaven." The deacon replied, "Ah, Pahson, de words am different but de meaning am de same!" Some call themselves Theistic Evolutionists. "De words am different but de meaning am de same." No, they are not the same. It is the same in deadly poison, but it is the difference of the slick, slimy copper-headed moccasin and the rattlesnake; they will both kill, but the rattler gives fair warning; whereas the sneaking, slimy copperhead kills with out warning of the danger. Your old fashioned materialistic Evolution, as I have just shown from Huxley and Prof. Henry Fairchild Osborn of Columbia University, rattler-like, gives fair warning of the deadly work; but your new fad, your deceptive Theistic Evolution, copper-head like, gets in its deadly work without any warning of danger, under the cover of Theistic; Ma-

terialistic Evolution teaching that every thing from Amoeba to man has evolved from resident forces, from power within itself to evolve to higher species; Theistic Evolution holds that it is God's plan of creation by evolving one species from another, lower to a higher, from Amoeba to man. And they blind the people by saying that it is a more sublime conception of God than creation of each species directly; but it teaches as does materialistic Evolution, that all species evolve from lower to higher, from Amoeba to man; when Genesis says ten time that everything brought forth "After his kind." Theistic and materialistic say that there are ten lies; that when Genesis says that God created man in His own image, they both say that there is another lie, that the first man was mid-way between the anthropoid ape and modern man; that when Genesis says that the first man spoke a plain language, they both say that there is another lie, that the first man chattered like animals in the trees, that man only acquired language through many generations of experience; that when Genesis says that the first man was created perfect but sinned and fell, there is another lie, that what is called the fall was a fall upward, the evolving of the unmoral man into the moral man. But the Saviour endorsed Genesis as the Word of God. God's Son, real Deity, would not endorse thirteen lies as the Word of God; therefore Theistic Evolution brands the Saviour as the bastard illegitimate son of a fallen woman, just as surely as does materialistic Evolution, with the tremendous strategic advantage to the Evolutionist; first, he can, copper-head like, under the cover of "Theistic," strike without warning and the more effectively get in his deadly work; second, he can the more effectively deceive the common people, and continue to have them, through their taxes, to pay his salary while he robs their children of the Bible and their Redeemer who died to save them. Third, he can, bat-like, play double: the bat when walking on the ground among the other animals, creeps along humbly, identifying itself with them: thus the Theistic Evolutionists, when among Christians, talk humbly and piously of "Chris-

tianity," of the "Blessed Saviour," even speaking of their "Conversion" of their "Spiritual Experience," that the common people may continue to be misled and continue to pay their salaries with their taxes or by their gifts, while they continue to damn their children with this fatal teaching of Evolution; but the bat, when the birds are around, haughtily rises on wings and claims to be of the bird kingdom; so the Theistic Evolutionist, when among those who claim to have a corner on brains and class themselves as the "Intellectuals," the "Modern Minds," "the up to date" and look down on those of us who are exposing the deadly, damning, false teachings as "Mediaevalists," "Persecutors," etc., poses as of them, a full-fledged Evolutionist; and speaks of Hon. Wm. Jennings Bryan, W. B. Riley, R. A. Torrey and others, who expose them, as asses, ignoramuses, etc., and receive the encomiums and congratulations of their pastors in the Bible-destroying, Christ-denying, soul-dooming work, for being able and shrewd enough to "Get-by" with this double-dealing—and continue to hold their jobs and get their salaries from the taxes and gifts of the people of their hard earned money.

Let any Theistic Evolutionist who can reconcile the teaching that all species evolved from lower to higher, from Amoeba up to man, with the ten-times-repeated statement of Genesis that everything brought forth "After his kind," and that man was created in the image of God, and that the first man spoke a plain language, and that the first man was created perfect and by sin fell; and then reconcile the Saviour being Deity, really God's Son, with His endorsing these lies as the Word of God. They don't dare try to do it! For five years, through the public press, I have been appealing to them, challenging them, to publish to the world their attempt to reconcile those things. THEY DON'T DARE! But they go on deceiving the people, saying, "All scientists now believe in Evolution," when by the hundreds the really great scientists reject it, which they never tell the people; they go on saying that Evolution is no longer mere theory, a

mere unproven hypothesis, but a real demonstrated science, and that we are "fighting science," when by the scores great scholars declare that it is not a science at all, only an unproven guess. These Theistic Evolutionists continue to stand before the world saying that Evolution does not contradict the Bible or Christ, that they believe both! A heathen boy came to America to be educated; he was taught that the earth was round; the teaching of the heathen people was that the earth is flat, surrounded by a sea of buttermilk and then a ring of earth and another ring of cane juice, etc.; on his return home he was asked which theory he believed, and he replied that he believed them both! So the Theistic Evolutionist says he believes in Christ and Genesis both; and in Evolution!

Am I too harsh against the Theistic Evolutionist? Consider carefully this clear-cut deliverance from one of the great Theistic Evolutionists of the world, not an old-fashioned materialistic or atheistic Evolutionist, but a Theistic Evolutionist and a great one, the author of the greatest book out by a Theistic Evolutionist: From "Applied Evolution" by Marion D. Shutter: "Granted the greatness and goodness of Jesus, how do you account for him? What is the relation to him of this theory of Evolution? Do you mean to include Him and His works in the great scheme? Can it be done? and the answer is: Yes: if Evolution fails at one point it fails utterly." (He is right. Nothing is science which does not include all the facts. Listen to a really great scientists. "Directly a fact refuses to be pigeon-holed, and will not be explained on theoretical grounds, the theory must go or it must be revised to admit the new fact."—Sir William Crooks, "Living Age" (Vol. 238 P. 318—T. T. M.). "We have then a case of that special intervention by a non-resident Deity which we have repeatedly repudiated. Evolution must include Jesus or we must amandon the theory. There is no break or flaw or chasm" (If there is, it is not science.—T. T. M.). The process is one, from fire mist to soul; from soul to its highest expression. **Jesus is as much the product of**

the laws and forces in nature and in society as Shakespeare or Napoleon (That is Theistic Evolution, remember; then Jesus had a human father, as well as a human mother; then He was the bastard illegitimate son of a fallen woman. And that is what Theistic Evolution gives us as the Saviour of the world—T. T. M.) "The speaking serpent" (The Bible speaks of it—T. T. M.) "the tree of life" (The Bible speaks of it—T. T. M.) "The idea that eating certain kinds of fruit would give wisdom and immortality" (The Bible speaks of it—T. T. M.), "these are clearly legendary" (lies—T. T. M.), "or mythical elements" (lying elements—T. T. M. The Theistic Evolutionist is not alone in teaching this; here are two other noted men who teach the same thing: "Take away from Genesis the belief that Moses was its author on which only the strange belief that it is the word of God has stood, and there remains nothing in Genesis but an anonymous book of stories, fables and traditionary or invented absurdities or down right lies"—Tom Paine, in Age of Reason P. 86. "Is it not plain that Genesis was taken from the ancient fables of their (the Jewish, neighbors?"—Voltaire. "The story of Eve and the Serpent, of Noah and the Ark, drop to the level with the Arabian tales without being as entertaining"—Tom Paine, in Age of Reason P. 12.—T. T. M.) "As pictures or symbols they may be beautiful; but as history they are quite as far beyond the pale of facts as the fountain of youth or the dreams of alchemy" (That is Theistic Evolution, remember; that your Bible is filled with bare faced lies; that is what you are paying with your taxes to have drilled into your children.—T. T. M.). "For these reasons we **CANNOT ACCEPT THE STORY OF EDEN AND THE FALL AS HISTORY.**".. (Remember, this is Theistic Evolution; this is what with our taxes we are paying to have drilled into our boys and girls as science.—T. T. M.) "There is no more testimony in its favor when it appears in Jewish and Christian writings, when it appears in Genesis and in quotation from Genesis by Paul, than when we find it in Persian or Buddhist Scriptures" (This is theistic Evolu-

tion, remember; and it throws the hundreds of fulfilled prophesies overboard as no evidence for the Bible being God's word; it throws the teachings of the Saviour that the Old Testament is God's Word overboard as no evidence.—T. T. M.) "It is not the book in which we find a statement in which gives it credibility; it is the character of the statement itself" (It is? Then every man is himself the sole judge of what is truth, of what is right. Then the Mormon with his plurality of wives is as much right as the man who follows the Bible teaching of one husband and one wife; then the teaching of the Bible, "Remember the Sabbath Day to keep it holy," is no more right than your city with no Sabbath day at all; then the Bolshevist with his anarchy has as much authority as the Bible which says of private property that it is "thine own,"—and this is Theistic Evolution, remember, that we are having taught to our children and paying for with our taxes.—T. T. M.) "And let us remember that if this account of Eden and the Fall is not history" (then it is a lie; it never occurred—and this is Theistic Evolution. —T. T. M.) "The current creeds of Christendom not yet dissavowed or revised; the theology still assumed, even where it is not directly preached,—THESE HAVE NO FOOTING IN FACT." (There you have it!—and this is Theistic Evolution, and we have it taught to our children and pay for it with taxes—T. T. M.), "they are but such stuff as dreams are made of" (There you have it again!—that the Bible is a pack of lies—and that is Theistic Evolution—that is what we are paying for with our taxes to have drilled into our boys and girls, and if we dare protest we are told that we have no right to say what shall be taught or what shall not be taught in our tax supported schools, that we have no right to interfere with the liberty of the teachers.—T. T. M.). "They but cumber the intellectual ground of the church and the world" (Ahem! Ahem! "Intellectual ground! will they dare have the effrontry to compare themselves with those who believe the Bible to be the Word of God and Jesus Christ as the real Saviour "Who gave Himself for us that He might redeem us from all iniquity!" Hon.

William E. Gladstone at the close of his great life, said that he had known sixty of the great men of the world and that fifty-five of them believed the Bible to be the Word of God and Jesus Christ the Saviour. Sir David Brewster, doubtless the greatest scientist the world ever knew, who utterly rejected Evolution, signed a statement, together with over seven hundred other scientists, that the Bible is God's word and that the Bible and real science do not contradict each other at one point.—T. T. M.) "and should no longer be allowed to impose upon the human understanding" (there is your "Theistic Evolution," you simple minded straddlers that are trying to carry water on both shoulders and stand in with both sides by saying that you believe in "Theistic Evolution,"—T. T. M.). Let us now pass to the evidence that man has risen and not fallen" (Then your Bible is a lie—that is your Theistic Evolution.—T. T. M.); "that he did not begin perfect and deteriorate" (then your Bible is a lie—that is Theistic Evolution, remember.—T. T. M.); "but that he began low and imperfect" (then the Bible is a lie; that is Theistic Evolution.—T. T. M.); "and has been slowly but surely gaining in character and in power. First of all we have the testimony of science" (There is it! When, in "Hell and the High Schools," I have given the names, and often the very words of one hundred and twenty of the great scientists who rejected Evolution; and nine great scientists who at first accepted Evolution gave it up before dying; and in the same book I gave forty great scholars, beginning with Pres. Charles W. Elliot of Harvard and Pres. Hadley of Yale, who say that Evolution is not a science at all, but only an unproven theory, a guess—T. T. M.). (1) "If any thing is made clear by modern research and recent investigation it is that man was not created full grown in body and mind with an established character; but that he came up thru the animal and started on his human career with simply a few instincts inherited from the orders below and behind him." (And Evolutionists make that claim in the face of the facts that among the millions of fossils NOT ONE SINGLE FOSSIL OF AN INTER-

MEDIATE SPECIES HAS BEEN FOUND; that among the multiplied thousands and thousands of different species on the earth, NOT ONE SINGLE INTERMEDIATE SPECIES HAS BEEN FOUND; that the ice age of the world ended less than fifteen thousand years ago, and not one fossil of man before the ice age has been found (see the overwhelming testimonies in "Hell and The High Schools"); and that it has been proven, the point has been surrendered by leading Evolutionists, that acquired characteristics CAN NOT BE INHERITED—that makes the Evolution of Man or of any other Species an absolute impossibility. The Evolutionist who sees acquired characteristics cannot be inherited, and yet honestly believes that man or any other species has evolved from lower species, he's going to Heaven,—nothing charged against him up yonder,—too light above the burr of the ears to be responsible before God,—he's got a free pass!—T. T. M.) "These are proofs (Whew! T. T. M.) which must stand unshaken against any legend from the dim uncertain speculations of the world's childhood about a creation in a moment, complete and perfect from the dust of the earth and by the breath of God." (Then your Bible is a lie; there is your Theistic Evolution, taught to your children and paid for with your taxes,—T. T. M.) "(2) And when men came up from animals, so far were they from being holy and righteous that it took them ages upon ages to learn the difference between right and wrong." (Where is your standard of right and wrong, after you have thrown the Bible overboard? Who is to decide? The Bible says that the first man knew right from wrong, but Theistic Evolution, says that is a lie.—T. T. M.), "and they learned it not by direct revelation, from on high," (Then the Bible is a lie, and this is Theistic Evolution.—T. T. M.), "but through experiences of their savage life, as they played upon the instinct of self-preservation and the instinct of combining with others. They learned the difference between right and wrong, through animal pains and pleasures." (Whew! T. T. M.) "They learned to avoid things that hurt, and to do the things that

brought satisfaction. They learned to live in families; they learned to live in tribes******Through these processes did man first come to morality." (Whew! My dog has "learned to avoid the things that hurt and to do the things that brought satisfaction." Then he has "come to morality,"—of this Evolution kind, according to Theistic Evolution. Theistic Evolution does not believe the Bible is God's Word,—neither does my dog; Theistic Evolution does not believe in the Deity of the Saviour,—neither does my dog; Theistic Evolution does not believe that the Saviour died for our sins,—neither does my dog; Theistic Evolution does not believe in Hell,—neither does my dog.—T. T. M.) "(3) The race began unenlightened, and unmoral, and therefore without moral responsibility." (Then the Bible is a lie.—That is Theistic Evolution.—T. T. M.) "Then little by little it came on toward enlightenment, toward the appreciation of the distinction between right and wrong, and therefore toward responsibility." (Then man at first was not responsible,—then your Bible is a lie, and that is Theistic Evolution.—T. T. M.). "And for his knowledge of God and communion with Him,—the first man knew no God" (The Bible is a lie, that is Theistic Evolution.—T. T. M.), "but simply feared invisible beings, in the natural objects about them. The idea of one supreme wise and good being was the achievement of uncalendared ages*******This is the account science gives us today." (Then the Bible is a lie and the Saviour lied when He said it was God's Word—AND THIS IS THE TEACHING OF THEISTIC EVOLUTION.—T. T. M.). "and we place it over against the account preserved in Genesis which the scholarship of even orthodoxy itself is resolving into the 'baseless fabric of a vision'" (Whew! —but a man who knows no more about real science than a Theistic Evolutionist possibly ought not to be expected to know anything more about real orthodoxy than this. This author does not of course know anything about John A. Broadus, Professor James Orr of Glasgow, Scotland; Professor Robert Dick Wilson of Princeton, Professor A. T. Robertson, of Louisville, Kentucky; R. A.

Torrey of Los Angeles, California; Professor John R. Sampey, of Louisville, Kentucky; Bishop Warren A. Candler, of Atlanta, Georgia; and a host of others.—T. T. M.). "(4) The earth has never been cursed" (Then the Bible is a lie,—and this is your Theistic Evolution, T. T. M.); "human life has never been blighted," (then the Bible is a lie, and this is your Theistic Evolution,—T. T. M.); "we have never been shapen in iniquity and conceived in sin," (then the Bible is a lie,—this is your Theistic Evolution,—T. T. M.); "we are under no condemnation for the sins of an ancestor who never ate the forbidden fruit," (then the Bible is a lie,—this is your Theistic Evolution,—T. T. M.). "If the story of the fall is not history, then there is no great tempter, the devil, abroad in the universe," (then the Bible is a lie,—this is your Theistic Evolution,—T. T. M.); "if there has been no fall and no devil and no wrath of God, there is no endless hell-flaming and devouring in the future; no lake of fire and brimstone that awaits us when we die" (then the Bible is a lie,—this is your Theistic Evolution,—T. T. M.). "If there has been no break in the divine order, THEN THERE IS NO NEED OF ATONEMENT TO RESTORE IT." (There you have it!—your Theistic Evolution, and you pussy-footing back-boneless, time-serving, boot-licking Baptist and Congregational, and Presbyterian, and Disciple, and Methodist, and Episcopalian, and other editors and professors and pastors who don't dare own your own souls lest you fail to be looked upon by these pseudo-intellectual highbrows as the "modern man," "up to date," as of "the intellectual classes," who stand around, with a peacock's feather stuck in an old rooster's tail, and call yourselves "The Theistic Evolutionists"—and yet who, down in your souls, believe the Bible to be God's Word and who, Peter-like, love the Saviour who died for your sins, though you have been warming yourselves by the fire with the mob who crucify your Lord afresh,—hear this great representative of Theistic Evolution, further; note his biting sarcasm, and sneer at your crucified Lord.—T. T. M.) "A BLOODY SACRIFICE TO APPEASE THE WRATH OF AN OFFENDED GOD,

AN INNOCENT VICTIM TO TAKE THE PLACE OF GUILTY MEN*****." "(5) There is a place for Christ," (Yes!—"a place for Christ"!—they have to tack onto Christ, to give themselves some respectability; they have to hide under his robe, to save themselves from the contempt or wrath of the people; they have to hold onto Him in some way, in order to stand at all; they are like the old drunkard, who, about to go down, threw his arms around a lamp-post and said, "United we stand, divided —I fall"!—but hear Theistic Evolution further;—T. T. M.); "But NOT AS THE INCARNATE GOD; NOT AS THE BLOODY SACRIFICE; NOT AS THE SUBSTITUTE FOR SINNERS" (this is Theistic Evolution, remember,—T. T. M.); "but as the HUMAN LEADER AND EXAMPLE" (there you have it!— that the Saviour was only HUMAN; hence that he was the bastard illegitimate son of a Jewish fallen woman,—and this is Theistic Evolution, that we are forced, by our taxes, to pay to have taught to our children.—T. T. M.); "as the one who illustrates the victory of the spiritual over the animal; as the one who is able to teach us the secret of triumph. Is there no difference between these conceptions?" (There certainly is a difference! and, God helping me, the people shall see it; and when they do, these Bible-destroying, Christ-denying, soul-dooming, pseudo-scientists will teach their pseudo-science at their own expense, and not at the expense through their taxes, of the people whose children they are damning.—T. T. M.).

"If the genealogies given Him in Matthew and Luke be at all correct, what blood of saints and prophets and heroes ran in His veins! The faith of Abraham," (where did this Theistic Evolutionist learn of the "faith of Abraham"? In the book that Theistic Evolution brands as a pack of lies.—T. T. M.), "the imagination and emotion of David," (where did he learn of "the imagination and emotion of David"? In the book that Theistic Evolution brands as a pack of lies.—T. T. M.), "the wisdom of Solomon," (where did he learn of "the wisdom of Solomon"? In the book that Theistic Evolution brands as a pack of lies.—T. T. M.), "may have re-appeared in Him,

together with the gentleness and purity of Mary His Mother,'' (Whew!—and yet he and Theistic Evolution say that she became the mother of the Saviour before she was married to Joseph! and the Theistic Evolutionists have the brazenness to give that to our high-school boys and girls and to the world, as ''the gentleness and purity of Mary!''—T. T. M.) ''and the strength and integrity of Joseph His father,'' (there you have it! that Joseph was His father, AND THAT IS THEISTIC EVOLUTION!—that is commended to our High School boys and girls and to the world as ''strength and integrity,''—debauching and blighting the life of a young woman to whom he was engaged.—T. T. M.). ''He is the child of His own immediate family, the child of His nation, the child of all the nations that went before Him!'' (Praising the Saviour to the skies while branding Him as the bastard illegitimate son of a fallen woman!—and that is Theistic Evolution.'' If the Bible is not God's Word, but only the best religious thinking of long past ages, and the Saviour only a product of the Evolution of man, and there has been an Evolution of the human race, then the best thinking of our times ought to be better than in Bible times, and Evolution in nineteen hundred years ought to have produced a better being, a greater teacher, than Jesus Christ. LET THE EVOLUTIONISTS OF ANY AND ALL KINDS COMBINED GIVE US A BETTER BIBLE, WRITTEN IN OUR TIMES, THAN THE ONE WE HAVE. LET THEM PRODUCE A BETTER THAN JESUS THE CHRIST. COME TO THE CARMEL TEST. WILL THEY FACE IT? If they don't, they should confess they they are wrong, or THEY WILL STAND BEFORE AN HONEST WORLD A SELF-CONFESSED PACK OF HYPOCRITES. The hypocrites are not all in the churches. And this is the thing we are being forced, with our taxes to pay to have drilled into our boys and girls in our tax-supported schools! And if a man dares stand up and protest, he is held up to public scorn and contempt, by these ''intellectuals'' and their pussy-footing sympathizers, and lashed to bleeding by the cat-o-nine-tails of sarcasm and ridicule. They

scoff and jeer at William Jennings Bryan, W. B. Riley, R. A. Torrey, and others for carrying this fight to "the common people,"—the idea of "the common people" being able to understand Evolution!—and while they are doing this, they are training young men and women in our tax-supported State Normals and State Universities to go to all of our public schools and drill Evolution into the boys and girls, and they are even going down to the Primary children, and injecting this deadly poison into them. Take some examples:—From "The Tree Dwellers," Industrial and Social Historial series, by Katherine Elizabeth Dopp, Ph. D., the Extension Division of the University of Chicago; speaking of the mammals, "some became like cats" (God did not make them cats, they became like cats,—your Bible is a lie,—T. T. M.), "and some like dogs," (God did not make them dogs, they became like dogs.—your Bible is a lie,—T. T. M.). "Some became like rhinoceroses and some like hogs" (God did not make them rhinoceroses and hogs, they became rhinoceroses and hogs,—your Bible is a lie.—T. T. M.), "others became like monkeys and others became like horses" (God did not make them monkeys and horses; they became like monkeys and horses,—your Bible is a lie.—T. T. M.). Now remember, reader, that this is for the primary department. Your child is told by her teacher that this is the truth. The child hears the Pastor read, "And God said let the earth bring forth living creatures, AFTER THEIR KIND, cattle and creeping things and beasts of the earth AFTER THEIR KIND; and it was so. And God made the beasts AFTER THEIR KIND, and the cattle, AFTER THEIR KIND, and every thing that creepeth upon the ground, AFTER HIS KIND." And the child thinks, "Listen to those lies! My book at school, and my teacher, say that God did not make the animals after their kind, but that they became animals from lower creatures. That Bible tells lies." And the child hears the pastor read that the Saviour said that the Old Testament is God's Word, and the child thinks, "There! Jesus tells lies! If He was

God's Son He would not say that those lies are God's Word!" And that child's soul is damned for eternity.

Take another: from "Home Geography for Primary Grades," by Harold W. Fairbanks, Ph. D., Revised Edition, 1922, Educational Publishing Co., New York. Boston, Chicago, San Francisco: "Seals and whales are among the most interesting of the ocean animals. They are not fish, for they have to come to the surface of the water to breathe air. What a strange story these animals can tell! Their grandfathers lived upon the land, ever so long ago. They had four legs and walked around like other animals. They used to go into the water for food, and at last spent most of their spare time there. Their bodies and legs became changed so that they could paddle through the water."

Again: "If birds could talk, what stories we might hear. We might learn of a time ever so long ago, when their grandfathers were not birds at all. Then they could not fly, for they had neither wings nor feathers. These grandfathers of our birds had four legs, a long tail, and jaws with teeth. After a long time feathers grew out on their bodies and their front legs became changed for flying." Your child goes to her teacher, with wild-eyed astonishment, and asks if these things are so. The teacher, trained in your tax-supported Normals and Universities, by your taxes, says that those things are so. Your child then hears your pastor read "And God created great whales, and every living creature, which the waters brought forth abundantly AFTER THEIR KIND, and every winged fowl, AFTER HIS KIND," and the child thinks, "Listen to those lies in the Bible! My book at school, and my teacher, say that they were changed into whales and birds!" The child's faith in that Bible is gone. Then the child hears the Pastor read that the Saviour said that the Old Testament is God's Word, and the child thinks. "There! Jesus tells lies! If He was God's Son, He wouldn't tell lies." And that child's faith in the Saviour is gone forever, and her soul is doomed for Hell; and with your taxes, you paid to have it done. And this line of teaching is kept up, from the Primary Depart-

ment, through the Universities. As a result, only thirty-six percent of the Professors of Psychology believe that there is a God, or that the soul exists after death; only thirty-three percent of the Professors of Biology believe that there is a God, or that the soul exists after death; only thirteen percent of the Professors of Sociology believe that there is a God or that the soul exists after death.

But the great body of our people are blinded, thinking that only a few are being affected by it. I quote from an address by President Rufus W. Weaver, of Mercer University, Georgia:

"One of the foremost scholars in the North, Dr. J. H. Leuba, professor of psychology in Bryn Mawr, has made a thorough scientific study of the religious attitude of the leading professors in our American universities and reaches the conclusion that the beliefs IN A PERSONAL GOD and IN PERSONAL IMMORTALITY are disappearing in the thinking of those who are recognized as America's greatest teachers. In conclusion he says: 'The essential problem facing organized Christianity is constituted by the wide-spread rejection of its two fundamental dogmas—a rejection apparently destined to extend parallel with the diffusion of knowledge and the moral quality which makes for eminence in scholarly pursuits.' He finds that skepticism and pronounced unbelief increase as one passes upward from class to class in college.

"As the investigation passed from the students to professors, the results become more disturbing. He groups these teachers under two heads—'the lesser' and 'the greater,' including only those whose names in 'America's Men of Science,' a book in which there is listed the scientists of the United States, are indicated as being eminent authorities in their chosen field of science. There is much more skepticism and unbelief among the greater than among those of lesser standing. Limiting the summary of his report to the class of university professors described as 'the greater,' the following percentages are given: Only THIRTY-FOUR AND EIGHT-

TENTHS PER CENT of these eminent men of science who teach physics BELIEVE IN GOD; SIXTY-FIVE AND TWO-TENTHS PER CENT of them are EITHER AGNOSTICS or DISBELIEVERS; only SIXTEEN AND NINE-TENTHS PER CENT of the biological scientists BELIEVE IN GOD; TWENTY-FOUR AND EIGHT-TENTHS PER CENT are AGNOSTICS and DOUBTERS, while FIFTY-NINE AND THREE-TENTHS PER CENT DO NOT BELIEVE IN GOD AT ALL; THIRTY-TWO AND NINE-TENTHS PER CENT of the professors of history believe in a personal God and the REMAINDER ARE EITHER DOUBTERS OR DISBELIEVERS. The sociologists are undertaking in a scientific way to solve the problems of human society. NINETEEN AND FOUR-TENTHS OF THESE representatives and eminent teachers BELIEVE IN GOD, while NEARLY THREE-FOURTHS OF THEM DISBELIEVE ALTOGETHER IN THE PERSONALITY AND THE SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD. The psychologists are today recognized as exerting the greatest influence in the domain of education. Everyone who is preparing to teach is expected to study thoroughly this science. The reports which Dr. Leuba secured as the result of his questions addressed to the psychologists are the most disturbing of all. He found ONLY FIVE of these men OUT OF THIRTY-EIGHT eminent psychologists to whom he addressed his inquiries BELIEVED IN A PERSONAL GOD, and ONLY THREE who declared a belief either in conditional or unconditional immortality.''

Now keep in mind, that these are the men who will train the teachers of our tax-supported schools. EVERY ONE of these professors are Evolutionists; EVERY ONE of them were led into this infidelity by being taught Evolution in school.

The following weighty words from the great preacher-statesman Editor of **The Word and Way** of Kansas City deserve the most serious consideration of every American citizen who believes in our Constitution, who believes in the rights of man and the separation of Church and State; for even every honest, fair-minded in-

fidel will take the ground that if religion should not be taught in tax-supported schools, then religion should not be attacked and destroyed in tax-supported schools. And the words of Mr. Maiden apply with equal force to Catholics and all protestant denominations:

"The disclosures here made by investigations of Professor Leuba should summon every Christian man and woman in America to their feet. If these statements give us the just appraisement of our educational situations then there is no question as to what should be the attitude of all Christian people, especially Baptists, towards this insidious propaganda of atheism, agnosticism and infidelity. We appeal especially to our Baptist people in the South, and more especially to the editors of our Baptist papers throughout the South. What should be done? Baptists and their sympathizers now compose almost, if not quite, a majority of the whole population of the Southern States. Shall we continue to pay taxes to support state educational institutions and teachers who are laying themselves out to utterly destroy the Christian faith? Shall we as a people refer to this socalled Higher Education as anything but the work of satan intended to destroy the Christian Faith? If such heroes as John Clifford of England refused to pay taxes to support a corrupt English clergy and promote the Established Episcopal Church of England to the point that he was fined and threatened with imprisonment, shall we as American Baptists continue to pay taxes to support these hot beds of atheism and infidelity while they corrupt the lives and destroy the Christian faith of our young men and women? Shall we wait until these Godless teachers do for us what they did for Germany?

"It is time Lord for thee to work, for they have made void thy law. Therefore I esteem all thy precepts concerning all things to be right, and I hate every false way."

Let the reader consider the power of Evolution to poison and damn, the more it is studied. We have no statistics on the extent of its soul damning influence in

the primary departments of our tax-supported schools; for it is only recently that these Bible-hating, Christ-denying, soul-damning Evolutionists have gotten sufficiently conscienceless and fiendish to snatch the sucking child from its mothers breast to damn its soul. And we have not the statistics on their deadly work in the High Schools, the most impressionable, susceptible, dangerous age; for only recently have they begun their copper-head like work there.

But it has been shown that FIFTEEN PER CENT of the Sophomores in college have given up belief in the Bible as the Word of God and in the Saviour as God's Son and our real Redeemer; THIRTY PER CENT of the Juniors and FORTY-FIVE PER CENT of the Seniors. Then Prof. Leuba shows thas over SIXTY-FIVE PER CENT of the professors who teach Physics, over EIGHTY-THREE PER CENT of the professors of biology, over SEVENTY-SEVEN PER CENT of the professors of history, over EIGHTY PER CENT of the professors of sociology and over EIGHTY-SIX PER CENT of the professors of psychology do not believe the Bible to be God's word and the Saviour to be God's Son and our real Redeemer.

Look back over these appalling, heart-sickening statistics:—EVERYONE of these are damned by Evolution; and the longer they study it, from Sophomore up, the greater the proportion of those who are eternity-doomed by it. One question: WHAT WILL THE PROPORTION BE TWENTY YEARS FROM NOW, SINCE THEY HAVE NOW BEGUN DRILLING EVOLUTION INTO OUR CHILDREN FROM THE PRIMARY DEPARTMENT UP? Within fifty years the thing will be dead. In 1806, over eighty theories of so-called science contradicted the Bible—the evening zephyrs now sing their requiems over their forgotten graves. But in fifty years two generations will largely be doomed for eternity by this greatest curse the world has known since Adam fell. What can be done? As they will not select representatives to meet us in debate before the people, THE ONLY THING left is, through our Boards of Trustees

and our Legislatures, to drive Evolution teachers and Evolution books from all tax-supported schools; and then, as soon as it can be done, put in a series of graded books, from primary to university, giving fairly and honestly both sides of the Evolution issue,—that will make short work of the accursed thing.

The Evangelical denominations and some secret orders are raising a great storm because our Government pays annually a little money to Catholics for schools among the Indians, and they throw up their hands in holy horror and cry "Separation of Church and State!" and yet they close up like clams, and like dumb-driven cattle march up and pay their taxes to pay teachers to attack religion, to tear down religion, to destroy religion, to turn their children from the Bible as God's Word, and from the Saviour as their real Redeemer, to die in their sins and go out into outer darkness, lost forever; and that when Evolution has been rejected by hundreds of the great scientists of the world; when many, who at first accepted it, after thorough study repudiated it; when many of the great scholars declare positively that it is not a science at all, but only an unproven theory; when they have never found a single fossil of an intermediate species among the hundreds of thousands of fossils; when, among the multiplied scores of thousands of species, they have never found one specimen of an intermediate species; when the great glaciologists state positively that the ice age ended less than fifteen thousand years ago, and there is not a fossil of man back of the ice age, and it was impossible for man to have evolved in less than fifteen thousand years; when the great Evolutionists have now given up that acquired characteristics can ever be inherited. Take, as an example, the greatest living Biologist, Professor William Bateson of England, "AN ORGANISM CANNOT PASS ON TO ITS OFFSPRING A FACTOR WHICH IT DID NOT ITSELF RECEIVE IN FERTILIZATION." How CAN there be Evolution from a lower species to a higher, in the face of this fact? Professor S. C. Schmucker, of the great State Normal of Pennsylvania, in "The Meaning of Evo-

lution," page 261, says, "The blight of the fact that acquired characteristics cannot be transmitted, meets us here." Every honest man who will only think knows that Herbert Spencer was right when he said, "EITHER THERE HAS BEEN INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERISTICS OR THERE HAS BEEN NO EVOLUTION." Evolutionists have been whipped out of spontaneous generation, which they all held and called it "science," and have been forced to give it up; they have been whipped out of natural selection which they held and called it "science," and have been forced to give it up; they have been whipped out of "sexual selection," which they held and called "science" and have been forced to give it up; they have been whipped out of the "survival of the fittest," which they held as "science" and have been forced to give it up; they have now been whipped out of inheritance of acquired characteristics which they held as "science," and have been forced to give it up. Where is the Evolutionist of any standing who will have the temerity to stand before the people, or come out in the public and press, and show how there CAN be Evolution from lower to higher species, if there can be no inheriting acquired characteristics? And yet, Chinese-like, to "save their faces," they continue to stand before classes, their salaries paid by our taxes, and say, "all scientists now believe in Evolution," and "Evolution is no longer a mere theory, it is a demonstrated science," and continue to turn our children from the Bible as God's Word, and from the Saviour as their real Redeemer.

And what have they to build all this Evolution teaching on? ONLY ONE THING. Hear one of them—Prof. Schmucker in "The Meaning of Evolution," page 250, "Our ONLY MEANS of judging the relation between animals IS BY A SIMILARITY OF STRUCTURE." Similarity of the fin of the fish to the leg of the reptile, therefore the fish is evolved into the reptile. (The poor "fish!" Who can believe that? All the fish I ever saw get out on land, instead of evolving legs, died! But an Evolutionist will never see that!) The whale evolved

from a land animal and the land animal evolved from the fish—isn't that "science!" But it gets rid of the Bible and "the end justifies the means." Similarity of fore legs of reptiles to wings of birds; therefore the wing and bird evolved from the reptile; similarity of the skelton of an ape and man, therefore the man evolved from the ape or they both from a common ancestor. It is either Evolution or a plan, a design, on the part of the Creator. The Evolutionist adopts the Evolution explanation because it gets rid of the Bible and the Saviour and Hell. Was there a plan, a design, in the mind of the architect, or did the one-room house hatch out the two-room house, and that the three-room house, and that the four-room house, etc.?

The second similarity of structure on which Evolution is based is similarity of the life cell of man and animals. Evolutionist claim identity. THERE IS NOT ONE WORD OF TRUTH IN IT.

If the embryo, the life germ, of man and the different animals were the same, some of the life germs of the different animals would develop into man; some of the life germs of man would develop into the different animals.

The third basis of Evolution because of similarity of structure is the claim that the human embryo, from the egg, the life germ, in its development passes through all the stages of evolution up to man; they call it the recapitulation theory. Prof. Conklin of Princeton in "Evolution and the Bible," says, "In it we see evolution repeated before our eyes." THERE IS NOT ONE WORD OF TRUTH IN IT. "Worms and other articulates in embryo lie doubled backwards around the yolk, while all vertebrates are doubled in the opposite direction."—Fairhurst, M. A., D. Sc., in "Organic Evolution," page 145. Answer Prof. Fairhurst's question: "Why should the whole first half of Evolution be not even hinted at in the epitome?"—"Organic Evolution Considered," page 147. If the human embryo passes through the exact stages of the different species, some would stop at the worm stage, some at the fish stage, etc. But not one ever does. Listen to recent scientists on the subject: "The critical

comments of such embryologists as O. Hertwig, Keible and Vialleton, have practically torn to shreds the aforesaid bogenetic law. Its almost unanimous abandonment has left considerably at a loss those investigators who sought in the structure of organism the key to their remote origins or to their relationships."—Prof. Weber in "The Mechanical Side of Evolution."

But they have us by the throat; they have captured most of the great dailies; with some exceptions, as the Commercial Appel of Memphis, Tennessee; they have captured the big monthly magazines; they have captured the great universities, though most of the Christian colleges and universities are standing out against it; they have captured our tax-supported schools, from primary to university, and are forcing us by our taxes to pay their salaries to poison our children against God's Word, against the Saviour as real Redeemer and to send them to Hell when they die.

What can be done? Their great universities, such as Chicago and Columbia Universities, will not appoint seven men to meet William Jennings Bryan, W. B. Riley, J. W. Porter of Kentucky, J. Frank Norris of Texas, Prof. Geo. McCready Price, the California scientist, Alfred W. McCann, the New York lawyer, and myself in a series of debates before the people throughout America and have them published in cheap book form and scattered broadcast; and have them published by the great dailies. That would soon settle the question. They will not appoint these seven men and have them meet these seven men on the question: "Did the Saviour rise from the dead?" If He did not there is nothing left, anyway; if He did, that will prove that there is a God who is interested in us; that Jesus Christ is our real Redeemer; that the Bible is really God's Word, and hence that Genesis is correct. THEY DON'T DARE! They know that there would not be a greasy spot left of these seven men nor of their Bible-destroying, Christ-denying, soul-dooming theory of Evolution when the debates were over.

There is but one thing left—carry the fight to the people and THROUGH THE LOCAL BOARDS OF TRUS-

TEES AND THROUGH THE LEGISLATURES. (1) DRIVE OUT EVERY EVOLUTIONIST TEACHER FROM THE TAX SUPPORTED SCHOOLS IN AMERICA. (2) DRIVE OUT EVERY BOOK THAT TEACHES EVOLUTION FROM THE TAX-SUPPORTED SCHOOLS AS SOON AS THIS CAN SAFELY BE DONE. (3) UNTIL THIS CAN BE DONE, REQUIRE ALL TEACHERS IN EVERY TAX-SUPPORTED SCHOOL TO POST THEMSELVES AND EXPOSE EVOLUTION EVERY TIME IT COMES UP IN THE TEXT BOOK. (4) PUT IN ALL TAX-SUPPORTED SCHOOLS, FROM PRIMARY TO UNIVERSITY, A GRADED SERIES OF BOOKS ON EVOLUTION, GIVING IN EACH BOOK, FAIRLY AND SQUARELY, BOTH SIDES OF THE EVOLUTION ISSUE. If we do not do this last we send our children out of our tax-supported schools hot-house plants at the mercy of the great Evolution universities, dailies and magazines; if we do this, we will make short work of the Evolution issue.

At once the cry will be raised: "It will cause strife and division and trouble among the people!" It is the old cry of the guilty, God-provoking Ahab who had deserted the true God for their "God of forces"; and now they raise the hue and cry of "persecution" and demand that, with our taxes, we pay their salaries; they rob our children of God's Word and of the Saviour who died for their sins and doom them for eternity.

The pussy-footers, the backboneless compromisers, the man who is afraid he will lose his job or lose a little influence, or meet with opposition, will say, "Let it alone; it will soon blow over. Don't disturb the people. Don't be an agitator." We have already been lulled to sleep by this kind of talk till they have us by the throat. Do we say that about the Bolshevist who comes from Russia to spread his Bolshevism among our people? Do we say that about the anarchist? Do we say that about the one who stealthily spreads narcotics among the people? Do we say that about the ones who go among the people with small-pox, with diptheria, with scarlet-fever?

Do we say that about the ones who seduce our daughters? The ones who seduce our daughters are angels compared to the ones who turn them from God's Word and from the Saviour as their real Redeemer. For the ones who seduce our daughters leave them where they can yet turn to the Saviour and be redeemed and saved for eternity; but the ones who turn our daughters from God's Word, and from the Saviour as a real Redeemer, send them into eternity unredeemed, and with no hope.

"But it will be Union of Church and State"; "it will mean teaching religion in the tax-supported schools." Bah! If it is a violation of the Constitution to have religion taught in the public schools, is it not just as much a violation to have religion undermined and destroyed in public schools?

"It will infringe on the liberties of teachers; it will violate 'academic freedom'; haven't the teachers the right to teach what they believe to be the truth?"

If they have, let them teach it at their own expense, or at the expense of those who wish it taught, and to those who desire that kind of teaching. Are there no limitations as to what shall be taught? Shall teachers teach the destruction of private property, the destruction of the Government? If not, then there are limitations. Where shall the line be drawn? Shall they be allowed to teach that small-pox is not dangerous? that scarlet fever is harmless? that diptheria is not contagious? If they shall not be allowed to damn the body by their teaching, shall they be allowed to damn the souls of our children by their teaching? Shall they be allowed to teach that our daughters shall have plurality of husbands? that our sons shall have plurality of wives? If they shall not be allowed to debauch our children's bodies, shall they be allowed to debauch our children's souls? Shall there be no limitations? If there shall be limitations, where shall we draw the line? Hon. Wm. Jennings Bryan has put it, that the one who gives the pay check is the one to decide what shall be taught.

There can be no middle ground; either THE ONE WHO GIVES THE PAY CHECK SHALL DECIDE

WHAT SHALL BE TAUGHT, OR THE ONE WHO RECEIVES THE PAY CHECK SHALL DECIDE WHAT IS TO BE TAUGHT. WHICH SHALL IT BE? They have us by the throat, saying that the one who receives the check shall decide. If we submit, then the hooded men who poured the tea into Boston Harbor were criminals, and the preamble to our Constitution is a lie.

Then give me the Bolshevism of Russia in preference to the Czarism of the intellectual high-brow who brands God's Word as a tissue of lies and our Saviour as the bastard illegitimate son of a Jewish prostitute, and rams this down the throat of my child's soul and sends it into eternal doom. Where is the "spirit of 1776?"

As I close, I see millions of little faces turned up to me, their bright pleading eyes appealing for protection from the greatest curse that has come on this world since Adam. Among them are your children, your grandchildren, your great-grandchildren. It is in your power to save them from this terrible, this horrible, this eternity-blighting curse. Will you do it or will you slink away, and refuse to face the battle? In the battle of the Alamo, when Santa Anna and his vast hordes of Mexicans were marching on San Antonio to butcher the women and children (which was nothing, compared to the Evolutionist butchering the souls of our children by robbing them of God's Word and a real Saviour; for the women and children of San Antonio could yet go to Heaven, but this means the doom of our children for all eternity), Colonel Travis and a handful of men were in the Alamo waiting for the Texas army. One night, past midnight, Colonel Travis called his handful of men up and told them that the spies had just brought word that Santa Anna would attack just before daylight; he said that he commanded no man to stay; that doubtless every man who remained would be killed; but that if all would remain, they could possibly hold back Santa Anna's hordes until the Texas Army arrived, and thus save the women and children of San Antonio. Then he drew his sword from its scabbard, and drawing a line across the

floor, stepped across to the other side; one lone man slunk out, the others, one by one, in all the solemnity of the death march, walked across that line, except one; old Jim Bouie was lying on his cot, too sick to walk. He said, "Boys, you know me! You know I'd go across that line, but I'm too sick to walk." With a wild Texas yell, they rushed to the cot and swept it across the line. The one who slunk out overheard it and told of it. The Texas army arrived just in time to save the women and children. They found every one dead. They found the dead Mexicans piled waist-deep around old David Crockett of Tennessee. The Mexicans who were captured told of it; they said that David Crockett fired his gun until it was empty, killing at each shot; then he turned and slew with the butt of his gun until it was broken from the barrel; he then whipped out his revolvers and fired right and left until his own arms were pinioned from behind, and, throwing his half-empty revolvers to old Jim Bouie on the cot, he drew his hunting-knife, cut and slashed, cut and slashed, till the dead Mexicans were piled waist-deep around him. They said old Jim Bouie was the last to die; that each brave Texan as he fell threw his partly empty revolver on Bouie's cot, and that old Jim Bouie lay there firing with both hands till the Mexicans rushed upon him and cut him to pieces; as the glaze of death formed over his eyes, Jim Bouie fired his last shot, and the revolvers fell from his lifeless hands. They did that to save the bodies of women and children; this ten-million times greater battle, is to save for eternity the children of America from the greatest curse that has fallen upon this earth since Adam.

One man slunk out; WILL YOU? Drive out every member of the local board of trustees who will not do his duty in driving out every Evolution teacher and book from all of our tax-supported schools, from primary to university.

Drive out every legislator who will not go to the limit to drive out every Evolution teacher and book from our tax-supported schools, from primary to university;

then put in a graded series of books on Evolution, from primary to university, giving fairly, in each book, both sides of the Evolution issue. If we don't, our children are doomed. "Whosoever is fearful and afraid, let him return and depart early from Mount Gilead."

Other Books by the Same Author

"Evolution or Christ? Christ or Hell?"—

The Second Los Angeles Address

A Noted Educator: "It is a fearful arraignment; the issue is unavoidable, and it is clearly drawn."

"HELL AND THE HIGH SCHOOLS"

From Reviews:

Latest and Best Book on Evolution.—"Hell and the High Schools"

Evangelist T. T. Martin has just published this book on Evolution. It is a terrific arraignment. He meets the Evolutionists on their own ground and crushes them. They will never answer it—they can't. He carries the fight to the people. Every father and mother who will read the book will be aroused to the depths of their souls; every honest voter who reads it, will be ready to drive Evolution from all tax-supported schools.

It is a book for Catholics, Protestants and Baptists to scatter broadcast!

There are no honeyed words in it. It is on the Elijah-meeting-Ahab, Elijah-at-Carmel, John-the-Baptist-order. He lays the axe at the root of the tree; then lays off his coat and cuts the tree down; then digs up the stump, root and all!

It's a veritable "Slaughter of the Innocents!"

The book should be read by hundreds of thousands.

Wherever it is read it will make short work with Evolution and Evolutionists in all tax-supported schools. He shoves Evolution in denominational schools aside with "If the religious denominations will continue to be duped by the pussyfooting apologists for, and defenders of Evolution, and by some presidents and professors who deceive the people by denying that it is being taught in the schools; or by the deceptive plea that it is only being taught 'as a working hypothesis,' let them go ahead; but it is time for the honest tax-paying and voting fathers and mothers to take hold of this thing and see that their tax money is not used to damn their children."

He shows up mercilessly the flimsy reasoning of Evolutionists, and tells them "that they would not know logic if they met it in the road;" that "their brains have gone on a vacation," and proves it!

Evolution has met its Waterloo in this book.

While the book is cutting, crushing, it is not railing; it is not billingsgate; it is not reviling. The author, a scholar, with thorough college and seminary training, versed in Hebrew and Greek, a College Professor of Science in his young manhood, a

lifetime student of science, meets these "intellectuals" as they call themselves, on their own ground and the result is humiliating to the Evolutionists. He is unsparing in his logic, and the result will be mortifying to those Evolutionists who have not lost their sense of shame.

The chapter on "EVOLUTION NOT SCIENCE," is a scathing exposure of the hypocritical claim of the Evolutionists. He shows clearly that the claim of the Evolutionists that Evolution is now an established science is absolutely without foundation; that they have not one fact on which to rest their claim, but only guesses, possibilities and probabilities; that their course of reasoning is "Evolution is possible; therefore it's a fact; therefore it's a science." He piles the great scientists and scholars heaven high who testify positively that Evolution is not a science but only an unproven theory. He drives home the fact stated by Herbert Spencer that without inheriting acquired characteristics there can be no Evolution; he then shows that the great scientists have come out and confessed that there is no inheriting acquired characteristics; hence Evolution can never be a science.

The chapter on "Evolution repudiated by Great Scientists and Scholars" is an avalanche that overwhelms, buries, the wild hypocritical claim that "all scientists believe in Evolution." What an exposure! What a piling up of testimonies!

The chapter, "The Effects of Evolution on the Teachers of It," is startling in its revelations.

The chapter on "The Effects of Evolution on Students," is absolutely alarming.

The chapter on, "The Responsibility on Fathers and Mothers,"—who can read it without being stirred?

The only thing remaining is to now get this book to the people. The battle is won if we get the book to the people.

Evolution in this book finds itself in the grasp of a master and he chokes its strangle hold from the throats of our young people. In the author's mighty grasp the "Christianity-suckled soul-murderers," as the author calls them, writhe in vain.

Get the book! Read it! Get everyone you can to read it! Buy it and scatter it as a missionary work! Get everyone you can to buy it! Get Legislators to read it! Get public school teachers to read it! Get editors of county papers to read it! Get professors in colleges to read it! Get every college student to read it! Get fathers and mothers to read it! Get every high school boy and girl to read it."

"T. T. Martin has been for 23 years the leading Evangelist among Southern Baptists. He was at one time a science professor. He is a voluminous writer. There is nothing else on the subject of Evolution to compare with it."

"If Martin doesn't dig up Evolution, root and branch, we are no judge of this matter. He treats the subject in a plain matter of fact way, so that the most illiterate can get the meaning."

"Hell and the High Schools" by Evangelist T. T. Martin is altogether the severest arraignment of the deadly doctrine of Evolution that we have ever read. Evangelist Martin in his characteristic way goes straight at the heart of the matter and presents an array of facts and testimonies that are irrefutable. It is so plainly written and with such invincible reason and logic that no one except an unreasonable Evolutionist can fail to see."

"Hell and the High Schools" by Evangelist T. T. Martin is more than an argument; it is an appeal from the heart of a man who loves the souls of his fellowmen and feels a responsibility for their salvation which he must discharge as God's steward. He himself has been a teacher of science, but for years has been a fisher of men. He sees the "octopus" of Evolution threatening and already destroying our young men and young women in the high schools. He shows what is being taught in our schools; shows that Evolution is not a science; that it is repudiated by great scientists, and yet how it presumptuously challenges God in His sphere of creation. He shows its effect on teachers and students; and then points out the only hope. Preachers, school teachers, parents, patrons and trustees of our public schools ought to read this book."

"One of the most timely books that we have had the privilege of reading is "Hell and the High School" by T. T. Martin. When we had finished reading the book, the thought came to our mind that this little book comes for just such a time of uncertainty and skepticism as this."

GOD'S PLAN WITH MEN.....

B. H. Carroll: "I do not hesitate to commend to the whole world this book. All people having only a vague conception of the way in which God saves man, to them this book will be like a white light."

J. B. Gambrell: "Thousands ought to read this book to have the mists cleared up and themselves rooted and grounded."

Fleming H. Revell Company: "One of the sure signs that there is a reaction from the critical attitude toward the Bible and a widespread turning again to the affirmative interpretation of God's truth as found in the Holy Writ is seen in the approval given to 'God's Plan With men,' by the Religious Press generally. Methodist, Presbyterian, United Presbyterian, Baptist, Episcopalian, Reformed Church, Congregational and Disciple Editors join in welcoming the publication of this effective book. We can hardly recall such unanimity of opinion from such widely separated (theologically) bodies of Christians, on so vital a subject. Few writers on the theme of salvation have had such tribute paid to them. It is worthy of more than a passing notice. Here, very evidently, is a book which interprets God's great plan of salvation for men to the satisfaction of the Evangelistical Christian World."

REDEMPTION AND THE NEW BIRTH.....

I. N. Loftin: "In his new book, 'Redemption and the New Birth,' the author excels even his great work, 'God's Plan with men'."

J. A. Hacket: "It is a worthy successor of that already well known book by the same author, 'God's Plan With Men.' Some have said that Evangelist T. T. Martin's first book is the greatest book that has been published in the later times, but such a thing may not be so readily said after they shall have read this one."

R. G. Gavin: " 'Redemption and the New Birth' is another book by that prince of orthodox Bible students, Evangelist T. T. Martin. In my judgment, it is one of the ablest, cleverest presentations of the plan of salvation in print."

"HEAVEN, HELL AND OTHER SERMONS".....

A Leading Pastor of the South: "It is the greatest book I ever read. I sat up all night reading it. Bring out another volume of sermons at once."

"MARRIED LIFE—ITS PRESENT DAY DANGERS AND EVILS".....

A College President: "Every young man and every young woman in America ought to read this book."

"GOING TO HELL IN DROVES".....

A North Carolina College President: "The most terrific, and yet the most chaste, convincing arraignment of the Social Evils that I ever read."

"THE SECOND COMING OF CHRIST".....

"The best book I ever read on the subject. It is unanswerable."

Order from the Author,

Blue Mountain, Mississippi.